

information required by the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) is not required.

#### *E. Takings (E.O. 12630)*

This rule does not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have takings implications under E.O. 12630. Therefore, a takings implication assessment is not required.

#### *F. Federalism (E.O. 13132)*

Under the criteria in section 1 of E.O. 13132, this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a federalism summary impact statement. To the extent that State and local governments have a role in outer Continental Shelf activities, this rule will not affect that role. Therefore, a federalism summary impact statement is not required.

#### *G. Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988)*

This rule complies with the requirements of E.O. 12988. Specifically, this rule:

(a) Meets the criteria of section 3(a) requiring that all regulations be reviewed to eliminate errors and ambiguity and be written to minimize litigation; and

(b) Meets the criteria of section 3(b)(2) requiring that all regulations be written in clear language and contain clear legal standards.

#### *H. Consultation With Indian Tribes (E.O. 13175 and Departmental Policy)*

The Department of the Interior strives to strengthen its government-to-government relationship with Indian tribes through a commitment to consultation with Indian tribes and recognition of their right to self-governance and tribal sovereignty. We have evaluated this rule under the Department of the Interior's consultation policy, under Departmental Manual Part 512, Chapters 4 and 5, and under the criteria in E.O. 13175. We have determined that it has no substantial direct effects on Federally-recognized Indian tribes or Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA) Corporations, and that consultation under the Department of the Interior's tribal and ANCSA consultation policies is not required.

#### *I. Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rule does not contain information collection requirements, and a submission to the OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*) is not required.

#### *J. National Environmental Policy Act*

This rule does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the

quality of the human environment. A detailed statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required because, as a regulation of an administrative nature, this rule is covered by a categorical exclusion (*see* 43 CFR 46.210(i)). BOEM also determined that the rule does not implicate any of the extraordinary circumstances listed in 43 CFR 46.215 that would require further analysis under NEPA. Therefore, a detailed statement under NEPA is not required.

#### *K. Effects on the Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)*

This rule is not a significant energy action under the definition in E.O. 13211. Therefore, a Statement of Energy Effects is not required.

#### List of Subjects

##### *30 CFR Part 550*

Administrative practice and procedure, Continental shelf, Environmental impact statements, Environmental protection, Federal lands, Government contracts, Investigations, Mineral resources, Oil and gas exploration, Outer continental shelf, Penalties, Pipelines, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rights-of-way, Sulfur.

##### *30 CFR Part 553*

Administrative practice and procedure, Continental shelf, Financial responsibility, Liability, Limit of liability, Oil and gas exploration, Oil pollution, Outer continental shelf, Penalties, Pipelines, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rights-of-way, Surety bonds, Treasury securities.

Dated: February 15, 2019.

#### **Joseph R. Balash,**

*Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the BOEM amends title 30, chapter V, subchapter B, parts 550 and 553 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

#### **PART 550—OIL AND GAS AND SULFUR OPERATIONS IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 550 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 30 U.S.C. 1751; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334.

■ 2. Revise § 550.1403 to read as follows:

#### **§ 550.1403 What is the maximum civil penalty?**

The maximum civil penalty is \$44,675 per day per violation.

#### **PART 553—OIL SPILL FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR OFFSHORE FACILITIES**

■ 3. The authority citation for part 553 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 2704, 2716; E.O. 12777, as amended.

■ 4. In § 553.51, revise paragraph (a) to read as follows:

#### **§ 553.51 What are the penalties for not complying with this part?**

(a) If you fail to comply with the financial responsibility requirements of OPA at 33 U.S.C. 2716 or with the requirements of this part, then you may be liable for a civil penalty of up to \$47,357 per COF per day of violation (that is, each day a COF is operated without acceptable evidence of OSFR).

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2019-05577 Filed 3-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### **Department of the Navy**

#### **32 CFR Part 718**

[Docket ID: USN-2018-HQ-0020]

RIN 0703-AB07

#### **Missing Persons Act**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule removes the DoD regulation on the Missing Persons Act because its content is internal to DoD. The corresponding internal guidance document has been updated since this rule was last amended, and it is publicly available. The rule is outdated and unnecessary.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on March 26, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lieutenant Colonel Theresa Strelbel at 703-693-0696.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is removing outdated internal information. The rule provides guidance to Department of the Navy entities on implementation and compliance with the Missing Persons Act. It does not add requirements beyond those established in Title 37 U.S.C. Chapter 10, "Payments to Missing Persons" (sections 551-559). The corresponding internal implementation guidance is

publicly available in DoD Issuance 2310.05, "Accounting for Missing Persons—Boards of Inquiry," at <https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/Documents/DD/issuances/dodi/231005p.pdf>.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review," therefore, the requirements of E.O. 13771, "Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs" do not apply.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 718

Military personnel.

#### PART 718—[REMOVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 718 is removed.

Dated: March 19, 2019.

**M.S. Werner,**

*Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019-05722 Filed 3-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### 32 CFR Part 728

[Docket ID: USN-2019-HQ-0002]

RIN 0703-AB09

#### Medical and Dental Care for Eligible Persons at Navy Department Facilities

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule removes the Department of the Navy (DON) regulation concerning Medical and Dental Care for Eligible Persons at Navy Department Facilities. Eligibility for healthcare in military medical treatment facilities is established in statute and other DoD regulations, therefore, this regulation is redundant and should be repealed.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on March 26, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Commander Lakesha Chieves at 703-693-0238.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Code of Federal Regulations, title 32, subtitle A, chapter VI, subchapter C, part 728, "Medical and Dental Care for Eligible Persons at Navy Medical Department Facilities" is a Department of the Navy regulation prescribing the eligibility for medical and dental care in Navy Medical Treatment Facilities to specific

eligible persons including active duty members of the uniformed services, their family members, members and family members of the Reserve Components of the uniformed services, retirees of the uniformed services and their family members, members of Foreign Military Services and their family members, beneficiaries of other Federal Agencies, and other persons deemed eligible for care. Eligibility for care in military medical treatment facilities is established in 10 U.S.C. 1071-1110b, 32 CFR part 199, "Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS)," and 32 CFR part 108, "Health Care Eligibility Under the Secretarial Designee Program and Related Special Authorities." The rule at 32 CFR part 728 is redundant and unnecessary. It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable and contrary to public interest since it is based upon removing redundant information.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review." Therefore, E.O. 13771, "Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs" does not apply.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 728

Dental health, Government employees, Health care, Military personnel.

#### PART 728—[REMOVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 5 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 728 is removed.

Dated: March 21, 2019.

**M.S. Werner,**

*Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019-05723 Filed 3-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

#### 32 CFR Part 732

[Docket ID: USN-2019-HQ-0003]

RIN 0703-AB10

#### Non Naval Medical and Dental Care

**AGENCY:** Department of the Navy, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule removes the Department of the Navy (DON) regulation concerning Non Naval Medical and Dental Care. This

regulation is redundant of a Department of Defense regulation on the payment of claims to private sector health care providers for health care services furnished to active duty members of all uniformed services, and it should be repealed.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on March 26, 2019.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Commander Lakesha Chieves 703-693-0238.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Code of Federal Regulations, title 32, subtitle A, chapter VI, subchapter C, part 732, "Non Naval Medical and Dental Care," prescribes the responsibility, eligibility and procedures for processing civilian medical and dental claims for active duty Navy and Marine Corps service members. The processing of civilian medical and dental care claims for active duty Navy and Marine Corps service members as directed by part 732 was superseded in 1991 by 32 CFR 199.16, "Supplemental Health Care Program." Section 199.16 provides for the payment of claims to private sector health care providers for health care services furnished to active duty members of all uniformed services. It has been determined that publication of this CFR part removal for public comment is impracticable and contrary to public interest since it is based upon removing redundant information.

This rule is not significant under Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review." Therefore, E.O. 13771, "Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs" does not apply.

#### List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 732

Dental health, Health care, Military personnel.

#### PART 732—[REMOVED]

■ Accordingly, by the authority of 10 U.S.C. 301, 32 CFR part 732 is removed.

Dated: March 21, 2019.

**M.S. Werner,**

*Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2019-05724 Filed 3-25-19; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P**