

Washington, DC 20460; and (2) OMB via email to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Yellin, Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division, Office of Compliance, Mail Code 2227A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-2970; fax number: (202) 564-0050; email address: yellin.patrick@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents, which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit: <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Generic Maximum Achievable Control Technology Standards for Acetal Resin; Acrylic and Modacrylic Fiber; Hydrogen Fluoride and Polycarbonate Production apply to new and existing facilities of the following four categories: Polycarbonates (PC) Production, Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers (AMF) Production, Acetal Resins (AR) Production, and Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Production. In general, all NESHAP standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance with 40 CFR part 63, subpart YY.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities:

Respondents are existing facilities and new of the following four categories: Polycarbonates (PC) Production, Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers (AMF)

Production, Acetal Resins (AR) Production, and Hydrogen Fluoride (HF) Production. The PC industry consists of facilities that produce polycarbonates, a process that involves a polymerization reaction using either a solution or suspension process in either a batch or continuous mode. All production of polycarbonates in the United States is currently based on the polymerization reaction of bisphenols with phosgene in the presence of catalysts, solvents (mainly methylene chloride) and other additives. The AMF industry consists of facilities that produce acrylic and modacrylic fibers, which are manufactured synthetic fibers in which the fiber-forming substance is any long-chain synthetic polymer containing acrylonitrile units. The AR industry consists of facilities that produce homopolymers and/or copolymers of alternating oxymethylene units. Acetal resins are also known as polyoxymethylenes, polyacetals, and aldehyde resins. The HF industry consists of facilities that produce and recover hydrogen fluoride by reacting calcium fluoride with sulfuric acid. In this subpart, hydrogen fluoride production is not a process that produces gaseous hydrogen fluoride for direct reaction with hydrated aluminum to form aluminum fluoride (*i.e.*, the hydrogen fluoride is not recovered as an intermediate or final product prior to reacting with the hydrated aluminum).

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR 63, Subpart YY).

Estimated number of respondents: 7 (total).

Frequency of response: Initially, occasionally, and semiannually.

Total estimated burden: 2,910 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total estimated cost: \$361,000 (per year), which includes \$43,100 in annualized capital/startup and/or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: The decrease in burden from the most-recently approved ICR is due to an adjustment. The change in the burden and cost estimates occurred because the most-recent amendments to these standards have been in effect for more than three years and the requirements are different during initial compliance (new facilities) as compared to on-going compliance (existing facilities). The previous ICR reflected those burdens and costs associated with the initial activities for subject facilities from the October 8, 2014 final rule. This included purchasing monitoring equipment, conducting performance tests, and establishing recordkeeping systems. This ICR, by in large, reflects

the on-going burden and costs for existing facilities. Activities for existing sources include continuous monitoring of pollutants and the submission of semiannual reports. There is a decrease in capital/startup vs. operation and maintenance (O&M) costs as calculated in section 6(b)(iii) compared with the ICR currently approved by OMB due there being no new respondents.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-03057 Filed 2-21-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2012-0531; FRL-9989-62-OEI]

Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NSPS for Surface Coating of Large Appliances (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has submitted an information collection request (ICR), NSPS for Surface Coating of Large Appliances (EPA ICR Number 0659.14, OMB Control Number 2060-0108), to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through March 31, 2019. Public comments were previously requested, via the **Federal Register**, on June 29, 2017 during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A fuller description of the ICR is given below, including its estimated burden and cost to the public. An agency may neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before March 25, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2012-0531, to: (1) EPA online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), or by email to docket.oeca@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; and (2) OMB via

email to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI), or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick Yellin, Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division, Office of Compliance, Mail Code 2227A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-2970; fax number: (202) 564-0050; email address: yellin.patrick@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents, which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit: <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Surface Coating of Large Appliances apply to large appliance coating facilities. In general, all NSPS standards require initial notifications, performance tests, and periodic reports by the owners/operators of the affected facilities. They are also required to maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance with 40 CFR part 60, subpart SS.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities: Large appliance surface coating facilities.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR part 60, subpart SS).

Estimated number of respondents: 72 (total).

Frequency of response: Initially, quarterly, and semiannually.

Total estimated burden: 7,220 (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total estimated cost: \$830,000 (per year), includes \$8,400 in annualized capital/startup and/or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is an adjustment decrease in the total estimated burden as compared to the previous ICR due to an adjustment to the number of respondents expected to submit excess emissions and monitoring systems performance reports from 100 percent of respondents to 20 percent of respondents. The prior ICR included an assumption that all respondents would experience an exceedance; based on Agency knowledge and experience with the NSPS, 20 percent is more likely representative of the actual number of respondents submitting these reports. These changes resulted in an overall decrease in the labor costs.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2019-03058 Filed 2-21-19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OGC-2018-0818; FRL-9989-74-OGC]

Proposed Partial Consent Decree, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed partial consent decree; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA" or the "Act"), notice is given of a proposed partial consent decree in *Sierra Club v. Pruitt*, No. 1:17-cv-02174-APM (D.D.C.). On October 19, 2017, Sierra Club filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, alleging that the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") failed to perform a non-discretionary duty to assess and report to Congress on the environmental and resource conservation impacts of the Energy Independence and Security Act's (EISA) Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) program, failed to complete the required anti-backsliding study to determine whether the vehicle and engine air pollutant emissions changes resulting from the RFS program's renewable fuel volumes adversely impact air quality, and failed to promulgate fuel regulations to implement appropriate measures to mitigate any such adverse impacts or make a determination that such regulations were unnecessary. The proposed partial consent decree would establish a deadline for EPA to take action on the anti-backsliding study.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed partial consent decree must be received by March 25, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID number EPA-HQ-OGC-2018-0818, online at www.regulations.gov (EPA's preferred method). For comments submitted at www.regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from www.regulations.gov. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Seth Buchsbaum, Air and Radiation Law Office (2344A), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564-2484; email address: buchsbaum.seth@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Additional Information About the Proposed Partial Consent Decree

The proposed partial consent decree would partially resolve a lawsuit filed by Sierra Club seeking to compel the Administrator to take action under the Clean Air Act to complete a study to determine whether the vehicle and engine air pollutant emissions changes resulting from the RFS program's renewable fuel volumes adversely impact air quality (Anti-backsliding Study), and either promulgate fuel regulations to implement appropriate measures to mitigate any such adverse impacts or make a determination that such regulations are unnecessary (Follow-up Action).

Under the terms of the proposed partial consent decree, EPA shall