

storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or engaging in any other activity subject to the EAR; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the EAR, or from any other activity subject to the EAR.

*Second*, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of a Denied Person any item subject to the EAR;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by a Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby a Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from a Denied Person of any item subject to the EAR that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from a Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the EAR with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by a Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the EAR that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the EAR, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to a Denied Person by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the EAR where the only items involved that are subject to the EAR are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

In accordance with the provisions of Sections 766.24(e) of the EAR, Mahan

Airways, Al Naser Airlines, Ali Abdullah Alhay, and/or Bahar Safwa General Trading may, at any time, appeal this Order by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022. In accordance with the provisions of Sections 766.23(c)(2) and 766.24(e)(3) of the EAR, Pejman Mahmood Kosarayanifard, Mahmoud Amini, Kerman Aviation, Sirjanco Trading LLC, Mahan Air General Trading LLC, Mehdi Bahrami, Sky Blue Bird Group, and/or Issam Shammout may, at any time, appeal their inclusion as a related person by filing a full written statement in support of the appeal with the Office of the Administrative Law Judge, U.S. Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 South Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202-4022.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 766.24(d) of the EAR, BIS may seek renewal of this Order by filing a written request not later than 20 days before the expiration date. A renewal request may be opposed by Mahan Airways, Al Naser Airlines, Ali Abdullah Alhay, and/or Bahar Safwa General Trading as provided in Section 766.24(d), by filing a written submission with the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement, which must be received not later than seven days before the expiration date of the Order.

A copy of this Order shall be provided to Mahan Airways, Al Naser Airlines, Ali Abdullah Alhay, and Bahar Safwa General Trading and each related person, and shall be published in the **Federal Register**. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect for 180 days.

Dated: December 11, 2018.

**Douglas R. Hassebrock**,

*Director, Office of Export Enforcement, performing the non-exclusive functions, and duties of the Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 2018-27225 Filed 12-14-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-823; A-834-807; A-307-820]

#### Silicomanganese From India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela: Final Results of Expedited Third Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of these sunset reviews, the Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. The magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail are indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Applicable December 17, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacqueline Arrowsmith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 23, 2002, Commerce published the *Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Orders: Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela (AD Orders)*.<sup>1</sup> On October 2, 2013, the Department published the notice of continuation of these *AD Orders*.<sup>2</sup>

On September 11, 2018, Commerce published the notice of initiation of the sunset reviews of the *AD Orders* on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).<sup>3</sup>

On September 13, 2018, Commerce received a notice of intent to participate from Eramet Marietta, Inc. (Eramet) as a domestic interested party, within the deadline specified in 19 CFR

<sup>1</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Orders: Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela*, 67 FR 36149 (May 23, 2002).

<sup>2</sup> See *Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 78 FR 60846 (October 2, 2013) (*Third Continuation of the AD Orders*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 83 FR 45887 (September 11, 2018) (*Initiation*).

351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>4</sup> Eramet claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act as a producer in the United States of the domestic like product. On October 1, 2018, the Department received a complete substantive response to the notice of initiation from Eramet within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive responses from respondent interested parties. As a result, the Department conducted an expedited, *i.e.*, 120-day, sunset review of these *AD Orders* pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

**Scope of the Orders**

For purposes of these orders, the products covered are all forms, sizes and compositions of silicomanganese, except low-carbon silicomanganese, including silicomanganese briquettes, fines and slag. Silicomanganese is a ferroalloy composed principally of manganese, silicon and iron, and normally contains much smaller proportions of minor elements, such as carbon, phosphorous and sulfur. Silicomanganese is sometimes referred to as ferrosilicon manganese. Parties can find the full description of the scope of these *AD Orders* in the Issues and Decision Memo, which is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit in Room B8024 of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/>. The signed and electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping in the event of revocation and the magnitude of dumping margins likely to prevail if the orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>4</sup> See Eramet’s submission “Five-Year (“Sunset”) Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders on Silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela: Notice of Intent to Participate” (September 13, 2018).

**Final Results of Sunset Review**

Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on silicomanganese from India, Kazakhstan, and Venezuela would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. We determine that the weighted-average dumping margins likely to prevail are up to the following percentages:

Country	Weighted average margin (percent)
India .....	20.53
Kazakhstan .....	247.88
Venezuela .....	24.62

**Notification to Interested Parties**

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a). Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 10, 2018.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2018–27242 Filed 12–14–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[C–570–043]

**Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is rescinding the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on stainless steel sheet and strip (SSSS) from the People’s Republic of China

(China) for the period July 18, 2016, through December 31, 2017.

**DATES:** Applicable December 17, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charlotte Baskin-Gerwitz, Enforcement and Compliance, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4880.

**Background**

On April 2, 2018, Commerce published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the CVD order on SSSS from China for the period July 18, 2016, through December 31, 2017.<sup>1</sup> On April 30, 2018, Hans-Mill Corporation and C.Y. Housewares (Dongguan) Co., Ltd. (collectively, C.Y. Housewares), requested an administrative review of its exports of subject merchandise to the United States.<sup>2</sup> On June 6, 2018, in accordance with section 751(a) the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the order on SSSS from China.<sup>3</sup> On June 22, 2018, C.Y. Housewares timely withdrew its request for an administrative review.<sup>4</sup>

**Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), Commerce will rescind an administrative review, “in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review.” C.Y. Housewares withdrew its request within the 90-day time limit. Because we received no other requests for review of the order on SSSS from China, we are rescinding the administrative review of the order in full, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

**Assessment**

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of SSSS from China during the period of review at rates equal to the

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation: Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 83 FR 13949 (April 2, 2018).

<sup>2</sup> See C.Y. Houseware’s letter, “Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People’s Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review,” dated April 30, 2018.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 83 FR 26258 (June 6, 2018) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>4</sup> See C.Y. Houseware’s letter, “Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People’s Republic of China: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated June 22, 2018.