

BEGINNING at the point above described as the true point of beginning; thence North 18°45' East 294.58 feet to a ½" iron pipe; thence South 54°18' East, 222.17 feet to a ½" iron pipe and the Northerly line of Healdsburg-Alexander Valley County Road; thence South 47°18'30" West, 57.19 feet along said County Road; thence curving to the right from a tangent that bears South 47°18'30" West, with a radius of 700.00 feet for a distance of 132.00 feet; thence North 31°53' 15" West, 30.00 feet; thence curving to the right from a tangent that bears South 58°06'45" West with a radius of 670.00 feet for a distance of 125.85 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel Three

AN EASEMENT of access to the Russian River from all points on the Northerly boundary of the foregoing 6.14 parcel across the lands of Basalt Rock Company, Inc. contiguous to such boundary and said river. BEING the same land and Easements described as Parcel 2 in Deed recorded in Book 1721 of Official Records, at Page 81, Sonoma County Records.

APN: 091-020-016

Dated: October 24, 2018.

Tara Sweeney,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018-26782 Filed 12-10-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4337-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[19X L1109AF LLUT980300
L12200000.PM0000-24-1A]

Notice of Public Meeting for the Utah Resource Advisory Council/Recreation Resource Advisory Council, Utah

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, and the Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management's (BLM) Utah Resource Advisory Council (RAC)/Recreation Resource Advisory Council (RRAC) will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The Utah RAC/RRAC will hold a public meeting on January 10 and 11, 2019. The group will meet on January 10, 2019, from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. and on January 11, 2019, from 8:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the BLM Utah State Office, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101. Written comments may be sent to the BLM Utah State Office, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lola Bird, Public Affairs Specialist, BLM Utah State Office, 440 West 200 South, Suite 500, Salt Lake City, Utah 84101; phone (801) 539-4033; or email lbird@blm.gov. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to leave a message or question for the above individual. The FRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Replies are provided during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda topics will include BLM updates from the State Director, the planning efforts for the Grand Staircase-Escalante and Bears Ears National Monuments, Washington County issues, recreation fee proposals, and other planning updates.

A public comment period will take place on January 11, 2019, from 1:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m., where the public may address the RAC/RRAC. Depending on the number of people who wish to speak, and the time available, the time for individual comments may be limited. Written comments may also be sent to the BLM Utah State Office at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice.

The meeting is open to the public; however, transportation, lodging, and meals are the responsibility of the participating individuals.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comments, please be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 43 CFR 1784.4-2.

Anita Bilbao,

Associate State Director.

[FR Doc. 2018-26748 Filed 12-10-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DQ-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1068]

Certain Microfluidic Devices; Commission Determination To Review in Part a Final Initial Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding; Extension of Target Date

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (the "Commission") has determined to review in part the final initial determination (the "ID") issued by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") on September 20, 2018, finding a violation of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, in connection with certain asserted patents. The Commission has also determined to extend the target date for the completion of this investigation to February 11, 2019.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket ("EDIS") at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On September 6, 2017, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. of Hercules, CA; and Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC of Livermore, CA (collectively, "complainants"). 82 FR 42115 (Sept. 6, 2017). The complaint (and supplement thereto) alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337") based

upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain microfluidic devices by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–12 and 14–16 of U.S. Patent No. 9,500,664 (“the ‘664 patent”); claims 1–15 of U.S. Patent No. 9,089,844 (“the ‘844 patent”); claims 1–21 of U.S. Patent No. 9,636,682 (“the ‘682 patent”); claims 1–27 of U.S. Patent No. 9,649,635 (“the ‘635 patent”); and claims 1, 2, 4–8, and 14–21 of U.S. Patent No. 9,126,160 (“the ‘160 patent”). *Id.* The Commission’s notice of investigation named as the sole respondent 10X Genomics, Inc. of Pleasanton, CA (“10X”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.*

On March 6, 2018, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claims 14–17 of the ‘160 patent; claim 3 of the ‘664 patent; claims 2, 8, 11, and 14–15 of the ‘844 patent; claims 2–3 of the ‘682 patent; and claims 2–4, 9–10, 15, 22, and 27 of the ‘635 patent. *See* Order No. 12, *unreviewed*, Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination (Order No. 12) Partially Terminating the Investigation as to Certain Patent Claims (March 6, 2018). On March 26, 2018, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claims 1 and 18 of the ‘160 patent; claims 6, 7, 9, and 13 of the ‘844 patent; claims 4 and 13 of the ‘682 patent; and claims 5 and 17 of the ‘635 patent. *See* Order No. 16, *unreviewed*, Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an ID (Order No. 16) Partially Terminating the Investigation as to Certain Patent Claims (March 26, 2018). On April 16, 2018, the Commission terminated the investigation as to claims 2, 6, 7, and 19 of the ‘160 patent; claims 5–7, 10, and 12 of the ‘664 patent; claims 1, 3–5, 10, and 12 of the ‘844 patent; claims 5–6, 8, 10–12, 15, and 20–21 of the ‘682 patent; and claims 6–8, 11–12, 18–20, and 23–26 of the ‘635 patent. *See* Order No. 19, *unreviewed*, Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination (Order No. 19) Partially Terminating the Investigation as to U.S. Patent No. 9,089,844 and Other Asserted Patent Claims (Apr. 16, 2018).

On September 20, 2018, the ALJ issued the ID, which finds 10X in violation of section 337 as to the ‘664 patent, the ‘682 patent, and the ‘635 patent. On September 28, 2018, the ALJ issued her recommendations on remedy, bond, and the public interest. The ALJ recommended that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order directed to 10X’s infringing products and a cease

and desist order directed to 10X. The ALJ also recommended a bond of 100 percent of entered value during the Presidential review period. *See* 19 U.S.C. 1337(j)(3).

On October 3, 2018, Complainants and 10X each filed petitions for review. OUII did not file a petition for review. On October 11, 2018, the Complainants, 10X, and OUII filed responses to those petitions.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID in part. In particular, the Commission has determined to review the following:

- (1) Whether 10X indirectly infringes the ‘682 and ‘635 patents.
- (2) Whether 10X’s Chip GB infringes claims 1 and 14 of the ‘664 patent.
- (3) Whether 10X’s Chip SE infringes claim 20 of the ‘160 patent and claim 1 of the ‘664 patent.

As the petitions and responses thereto have adequately addressed these issues, the Commission does not request any briefing on these issues. The Commission has determined to not review the remainder of the ID.

In connection with the final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue a cease and desist order that could result in the respondent being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or likely to do so. For background, see *Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337–TA–360, USITC Pub. No. 2843 (December 1994) (Commission Opinion).

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are

subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission’s action. *See* Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005. 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainants and OUII are requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission’s consideration. Complainants are also requested to state the date that the patents expire and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported. Complainants are further requested to supply the names of known importers of the products at issue in this investigation. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on December 17, 2018. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on December 24, 2018. Such submissions should address the ALJ’s recommended determinations on remedy and bonding and the public interest. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit eight true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number (“Inv. No. 337–TA–1068”) in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (*See* Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, https://www.usitc.gov/secretary/fed_reg_notices/rules/)

handbook_on_electronic_filing.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity purposes (all contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements). All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: December 4, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–26740 Filed 12–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–18–058]

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: December 14, 2018 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote on Inv. Nos. 701–TA–598 and 731–TA–1408 (Final)(Rubber Bands from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission by December 27, 2018.

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.
In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.
Issued: December 7, 2018.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018–26925 Filed 12–7–18; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States et al. v. The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority, d/b/a Carolinas Healthcare System; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)–(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina in *United States and State of North Carolina. v. The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority, d/b/a Carolinas HealthCare System*, Civil Action No. 3:16–cv–00311–RJC–DCK. On June 6, 2016, the United States and the State of North Carolina filed a Complaint alleging that The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority formerly known as Carolinas HealthCare System (or CHS) and now doing business as Atrium Health (“Atrium”) included provisions in its contracts with health insurers that restricted insurers from steering their members to lower-cost, high-quality providers, in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. The proposed Final Judgment, filed November 15, 2018, enjoins Atrium from (1) enforcing provisions in its current insurer contracts that restrict steering and transparency; (2) having contract provisions with an insurer that would prohibit, prevent or significantly restrain the insurer from using certain steering methods or providing transparency; and (3) penalizing, or threatening to penalize, any insurer for

its use of certain steering methods and transparency.

Copies of the Complaint, proposed Final Judgment, and Competitive Impact Statement are available for inspection on the Antitrust Division's website at <http://www.justice.gov/atr> and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina. Copies of these materials may be obtained from the Antitrust Division upon request and payment of the copying fee set by Department of Justice regulations.

Public comment is invited within 60 days of the date of this notice. Such comments, including the name of the submitter, and responses thereto, will be posted on the Antitrust Division's website, filed with the Court, and, under certain circumstances, published in the **Federal Register**. Comments should be directed to Peter J. Mucchetti, Chief, Healthcare and Consumer Products Section, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 4100, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: 202–307–0001).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement.

United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina Charlotte Division

United States of America and the State of North Carolina, Plaintiffs, v. The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority, d/b/a Carolinas Healthcare System, Defendant.
Case No. 3:16–cv–00311–RJC–DCK
Judge Robert J. Conrad, Jr.

COMPLAINT

The United States of America and the State of North Carolina bring this civil antitrust action to enjoin Defendant, The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Hospital Authority, d/b/a Carolinas HealthCare System (“CHS”), from using unlawful contract restrictions that prohibit commercial health insurers in the Charlotte area from offering patients financial benefits to use less-expensive healthcare services offered by CHS's competitors. These steering restrictions reduce competition resulting in harm to Charlotte area consumers, employers, and insurers.

I. CHS AND ITS UNLAWFUL STEERING RESTRICTIONS

1. CHS is a North Carolina not-for-profit corporation providing healthcare services with its principal place of business in Charlotte. Its flagship facility is Carolinas Medical Center, a large general acute-care hospital located in downtown Charlotte. It also operates nine other general acute-care hospitals in the Charlotte area.

2. CHS is the dominant hospital system in the Charlotte area, with approximately a 50 percent share of the relevant market, and 2014 revenue of approximately \$8.7 billion. Its closest competitor by size is Novant, which owns five general acute care hospitals in the Charlotte area and has less than half