- 7400.11B . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C . . .".
- b. On page 46388, column 1, line 65, and column 2, line 2, under Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C . . .".
- c. On page 46388, column 1, line 52, under History, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018 . . .".
- d. On page 46388, column 1, line 62, under Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018, . . .".

§71.1 [Corrected]

- e. On page 46388, column 3, line 46, under Amendatory Instruction 2, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018, . . .".
- 28. For Docket No. FAA-2018-0018; Airspace Docket No. 17-AGL-20 (83 FR 46389, September 13, 2018).

Correction

- a. On page 46389, column 1, line 52, and column 2, line 5, under ADDRESSES, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C . . .".
- b. On page 46389, column 2, line 63, and column 3, line 1, under Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C . . .".
- c. On page 46389, column 2, line 60, under Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018, . . .".

§71.1 [Corrected]

■ d. On page 46390, column 1, line 12, under Amendatory Instruction 2, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018, . . .".

■ 29. For Docket No. FAA-2017-1088;

■ 29. For Docket No. FAA-2017-1088; Airspace Docket No. 17-AWP-25 (83 FR 46390, September 13, 2018).

Correction

- a. On page 46390, column 2, line 1, under ADDRESSES, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C . . .".
 b. On page 46390, column 3, line 13,
- and line 16, under Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C . . .".
- c. On page 46390, column 2, line 66, under History, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018 . . .".
- d. On page 46390, column 3, line 10, under Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018, . . .".

§71.1 [Corrected]

- e. On page 46391, column 1, line 21, under Amendatory Instruction 2, "... FAA Order 7400.11B, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, ..." is corrected to read "... FAA Order 7400.11C, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018, ...".
 30. For Docket No. FAA—2018—0328;
- 30. For Docket No. FAA-2018-0328; Airspace Docket No. 18-ASO-7 (83 FR 46639, September 14, 2018).

Correction

■ a. On page 46639, column 3, line 20, under History, ". . . FAA Order 7400.11B, dated August 3, 2017, and effective September 15, 2017, . . ." is corrected to read ". . . FAA Order 7400.11C, dated August 13, 2018, and effective September 15, 2018 . . .".

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 30, 2018.

Rodger A. Dean Jr.,

Manager, Airspace Policy Group. [FR Doc. 2018–24210 Filed 11–5–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 240

[Release No. 34-84511; File No. S7-24-18] RIN 3235-AL10

Commission Statement on Certain Provisions of Business Conduct Standards for Security-Based Swap Dealers and Major Security-Based Swap Participants

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Commission statement.

summary: The Commission is issuing a statement regarding certain provisions of its Business Conduct Standards for Security-Based Swap Dealers and Major Security-Based Swap Participants. The statement sets forth the Commission's position, for five years after the compliance date for the security-based swap dealer and major security-based swap participant registration rules, that certain actions with respect to provisions of the Commission's business conduct standards will not provide a basis for a Commission enforcement action.

DATES: The Commission's statement is effective November 6, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lourdes Gonzalez, Assistant Chief Counsel; Joanne Rutkowski, Assistant Chief Counsel; Devin Ryan, Senior Special Counsel; Kelly Shoop, Special Counsel; or Neel Maitra, Special Counsel, at 202–551–5550, in the Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

In 2012 the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") adopted business conduct rules for swap dealers and major swap participants ("CFTC's Business Conduct Rules").¹ To assist the swaps industry in implementing and complying with the CFTC's Business Conduct Rules, industry participants developed standardized counterparty

¹ Business Conduct Standards for Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants with Counterparties, 77 FR 9734 (Feb. 17, 2012).

relationship documentation that has been in force since 2012, and is currently used by over 22,000 counterparties.²

In 2016, pursuant to Section 15F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"),3 the Commission adopted final rules imposing business conduct standards (the "SEC's Business Conduct Rules") for security-based swap dealers ("SBS Dealers") and major security-based swap participants ("Major SBS Participants" and, together with SBS Dealers, "SBS Entities").4 As noted in the Commission's Adopting Release, the Commission endeavored to harmonize its rules with analogous CFTC requirements where possible to create efficiencies for entities that have already established infrastructure for compliance with analogous CFTC requirements.⁵ In certain instances, however, the Commission's requirements, and the associated representations that would be required under standardized counterparty relationship documentation, diverge from those of the analogous CFTC requirements, which are reflected in existing standardized counterparty relationship documentation. Market participants have expressed concerns about practical compliance difficulties presented by certain of these differences.6

The Commission is mindful of the time and costs that may be associated with a documentation initiative that would be undertaken solely to address the SEC's Business Conduct Rules. Therefore, to minimize potential market disruptions to existing counterparty relationships resulting solely from documentation implementation issues (upon their compliance date when compliance will first be required), for a limited time period, the Commission takes the position that certain actions with respect to provisions of the SEC's Business Conduct Rules will not

provide a basis for a Commission enforcement action, as set forth below.⁷

II. Commission Position

The Commission's position 8 is expressly limited to the SEC's Business Conduct Rules, 17 CFR 240.15Fh-1 (Rule 15Fh-1) through 240.15Fh-6 (Rule 15Fh-6), set forth below. The Commission emphasizes that its position is limited to the Commission's enforcement discretion with respect to Rules 15Fh-1 through 15Fh-6, and does not modify or change any contractual rights between counterparties to security-based swaps. Further, nothing in the Commission's position excuses compliance with Rule 15Fh-1(b), under which an SBS Entity cannot rely on a representation if it has information that would cause a reasonable person to question the accuracy of the representation.9 Unless specified below, all terms shall have the definitions set forth in Exchange Act Section 15F(h) and Rules 15Fh-1 through 15Fh-6. Finally, the Commission's position applies only to the exercise of its enforcement discretion as set forth in subsections A. through D. below, and only until five years after the compliance date for the SBS Entity registration rules.

A. Non-ERISA Employee Benefit Plans

For purposes of the provisions relating to special entities under Rules 15Fh–1 through 15Fh–6, it would not provide a basis for an enforcement action if an SBS Entity considers an employee benefit plan as defined in Rule 15Fh–2(d)(4) ¹⁰ not to be a special entity where: (i) The plan has previously represented in writing to the SBS Entity that it is not a special entity for swap purposes under the CFTC's Business Conduct Rules; (ii) at a

reasonably sufficient time ¹¹ prior to entering into a security-based swap with the plan, the SBS Entity notifies the plan in writing that it may opt into special entity status under Rule 15Fh–2(d)(4); ¹² and (iii) the plan does not opt into special entity status.

B. Written Representations: SBS Dealers Not Acting as Advisors

Reliance on the representations described below during the five years in which this Commission position is in effect would not provide a basis for an enforcement action:

- An SBS Dealer seeking to establish that it is not acting as an advisor to a special entity within the meaning of Rule 15Fh–2(a) relies on a written representation that a special entity will not rely on recommendations provided by the SBS Dealer ¹³ instead of having the special entity represent in writing that it acknowledges that the SBS Dealer is not acting as an advisor when the SBS Dealer recommends a security-based swap or a trading strategy that involves the use of a security-based swap to the special entity. ¹⁴
- With respect to a special entity as defined in Rule 15Fh-2(d)(3) (e.g., an employment plan subject to Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1002) ("ERISA Special Entity")), an SBS Dealer relies on a representation from the ERISA Special Entity's fiduciary that such fiduciary is not relying on recommendations provided by the SBS Dealer 15 instead of having the fiduciary represent in writing that it acknowledges that the SBS Dealer is not acting as an advisor when it recommends a security-based swap or a trading strategy that involves the use of a security-based swap to the ERISA Special Entity.¹⁶
- An SBS Dealer relies on a written representation from the ERISA Special Entity that any recommendation it receives from the SBS Dealer materially affecting a security-based swap transaction will be evaluated by a

² See International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") DF Protocol, List of Adhering Parties, available at https://www.isda.org/ protocol/isda-august-2012-df-protocol/adheringparties.

³ In this document, all references to "Rules" shall mean those under the Exchange Act.

⁴ Business Conduct Standards for Security-Based Swap Dealers and Major Security-Based Swap Participants, 81 FR 29960 (May 13, 2016) ("Adopting Release"). Although the rules are now effective, the Commission determined not to require compliance with them until entities are required to register as SBS Dealers or Major SBS Participants. See id. at 30081.

⁵ Id. at 29964.

⁶ See, e.g., Letter from Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association ("SIFMA") and Institute of International Bankers, June 21, 2018 ("SIFMA June 2018 Letter"); Letter from Church Alliance to Brett Redfearn, June 26, 2018 ("Church Alliance June 2018 Letter").

⁷To the extent there are additional differences between the CFTC's Business Conduct Rules and the SEC's Business Conduct Rules that otherwise present documentation implementation difficulties that could result in potential for market disruption, the Commission encourages market participants to provide that information to the Commission.

⁸ The Commission's position is an agency statement of general applicability with future effect designed to implement, interpret, or prescribe law or policy.

⁹ See Section II.D., *infra*, for the Commission's position on written representations that were previously obtained in connection with swaps.

¹⁰ Rule 15Fh–2(d)(4) defines "special entity" to include: "An employee benefit plan as defined in section 3 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1002) and not otherwise defined as a special entity, unless such employee benefit plan elects not to be a special entity by notifying a security-based swap dealer or major security-based swap participant of its election prior to entering into a security-based swap with the particular security-based swap dealer or major security-based swap participant."

¹¹ See, e.g., Adopting Release, 81 FR at 29982 ("[I]t is important that the required disclosures be made at a reasonably sufficient time before the execution of the transaction to allow the counterparty to assess the disclosures.").

¹² This notification requirement mirrors the approach set forth in CFTC Regulation at 17 CFR 23.401(c)(6).

¹³ This written representation mirrors the requirement set forth in CFTC Regulation at 17 CFR 23.440(b)(2)(ii), the analogous provision to Rule 15Fh–2(a)(2)(i)(A).

¹⁴ See Rule 15Fh-2(a)(2)(i)(A).

¹⁵ This written representation mirrors the requirement set forth in CFTC Regulation 23.440(b)(1)(ii), the analogous provision to Rule 15Fh–2(a)(1)(ii).

¹⁶ See Rule 15Fh-2(a)(1)(ii).

fiduciary before the transaction occurs, instead of having an ERISA Special Entity represent in writing that any recommendation it receives from the SBS Dealer involving a security-based swap transaction will be evaluated by a fiduciary before the transaction is entered into.17

C. Safe Harbor for SBS Dealers and Major SBS Participants Acting as Counterparties to Special Entities

Rule 15Fh-5(b) provides a safe harbor for SBS Entities acting as counterparties to a special entity other than an ERISA Special Entity. As set forth in Rule 15Fh-5(b)(1)(ii)(B), to avail itself of the safe harbor the SBS Entity must among other things, obtain written representations from the representative of the special entity (the "qualified independent representative") that such representative: (1) Meets the independence test as required by Rule 15Fh-5(a)(1)(vii); (2) has the knowledge required under Rule 15Fh–5(a)(1)(i); (3) is not subject to a statutory disqualification under Rule 15Fh-5(a)(1)(ii); (4) undertakes a duty to act in the best interests of the special entity as required by Rule 15Fh-5(a)(1)(iii); and (5) is subject to the requirements regarding political contributions, as applicable, under Rule 15Fh-5(a)(1)(vi).

It would not provide a basis for an enforcement action with respect to relying on the safe harbor in Rule 15Fh-5(b)(1)(ii)(B) if, during the five years in which this Commission position is in effect, instead of obtaining these written representations, an SBS Entity relies on a written representation from the qualified independent representative that the representative has written policies and procedures reasonably designed to ensure that the representative satisfies the requirements for acting as a qualified independent representative. 18 This position is applicable only to the written representations set forth in Rule 15Fh-5(b)(1)(ii)(B) and is only applicable where the SBS Entity meets all other Commission requirements as set forth in Rule 15Fh-5(b).

D. Reliance on Previously-Obtained Written Representations

Finally, Rule 15Fh-1(b), as noted above, permits an SBS Entity to rely on

written representations from the counterparty or its representative to satisfy its due diligence requirements under Rules 15Fh-1 through 15Fh-6, unless the SBS Entity has information that would cause a reasonable person to question the accuracy of the representation. As the Commission stated when adopting the rule, the question of whether reliance on representations that had been obtained with respect to the CFTC's Business Conduct Rules would satisfy an SBS Entity's obligations under the SEC's Business Conduct Rules will depend on the facts and circumstances of the particular matter.19 The Commission's position is that, for purposes of Rule 15Fh-1(b), it would not provide a basis for an enforcement action if, during the five years in which this Commission position is in effect, an SBS Dealer relies on representations from a counterparty or representative that were previously provided in relation to swaps if the SBS Dealer is not aware of information that would cause a reasonable person to question the accuracy of the representation if the representation were given in relation to security-based swaps.20

By the Commission. Dated: October 31, 2018.

Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-24213 Filed 11-5-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2018-0736]

RIN 1625-AA00

Safety Zones; Coast Guard Sector New **Orleans Annual and Recurring Safety Zones Update**

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is amending its safety zone regulations for annual events in Coast Guard Sector New Orleans' area of responsibility. This rule adds four new recurring safety zones and amends the location or dates for two events already listed in the table. This action is necessary to protect

spectators, participants, and vessels from the hazards associated with annual marine events. This rulemaking would prohibit entry into the safety zones during the events unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans or a designated representative. **DATES:** This rule is effective December 6, 2018.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to https:// www.regulations.gov, type USCG-2018-0736 in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions about this rule, call or email Lieutenant Commander Benjamin Morgan, Sector New Orleans, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 504–365– 2281, email Benjamin.P.Morgan@ uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

CFR Code of Federal Regulations COTP Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans

DHS Department of Homeland Security FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking Section

U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background Information and **Regulatory History**

The Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) is amending Table 5 of 33 CFR 165.801 to update the table of annual firework displays and other marine events in Coast Guard Sector New Orleans' area of responsibility. The current list of annual and recurring safety zones in Sector New Orleans is published in Table 5 of 33 CFR 165.801. That most recent table was created through the interim final rule published on April 22, 2014 (79 FR 22398). The current Table 5 in 33 CFR 165.801 will be amended to include new safety zones expected to recur annually and provide new information on two existing safety zones.

On September 10, 2018, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled Safety Zones; Coast Guard Sector New Orleans Annual and Recurring Safety Zones (83) FR 45584). There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this change to the annual and reoccurring safety zones listed in Table 5 of 33 CFR 165.801. During the comment period that ended on October 10, 2018, we received no comments.

¹⁷ See Rule 15Fh-2(a)(1)(iii)(B). This written representation mirrors the requirement set forth in CFTC Regulation 23.440(b), the analogous provision to Rule 15Fh-2(a)(1)(iii)(B).

¹⁸ The Commission notes that this written representation is already required by Rule 15Fh-5(b)(1)(ii)(A), and mirrors the analogous requirement set forth in CFTC Regulation at 17 CFR 23.450(d)(1)(ii)(A).

 $^{^{19}\,}See$ Adopting Release, 81 FR at 29976.

²⁰ This position applies equally to the written representations addressed in Sections II.B. and C., supra.