

investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-CboeBYX-2018-019 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CboeBYX-2018-019. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-CboeBYX-2018-019 and should be submitted on or before October 17, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-20878 Filed 9-25-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84236; File No. SR-FICC-2018-006]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Order Granting Approval of Proposed Rule Change To Codify the Processing of Conditional Prepayment Rate Claims in the MBS Rules and Make Other Changes

September 20, 2018.

On July 26, 2018, Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC") filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed rule change SR-FICC-2018-006 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder.² The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on August 8, 2018.³ The Commission did not receive any comment letters on the proposed rule change. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission approves the proposed rule change.

I. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would make amendments to FICC's Mortgage-Backed Securities Division ("MBSD") Clearing Rules ("MBSD Rules")⁴ in order to (i) add terms governing MBSD's current processing of conditional prepayment rate ("CPR") claims to the MBSD Rules, and (ii) make certain clarifications and corrections in the MBSD Rules, as described below.⁵

A. CPR Claims

Mortgage pools⁶ are often traded in To-Be-Announced ("TBA") trades, which are trades for the actual

identities of and/or the number of pools underlying each trade are unknown at the time of trade execution.⁷ MBSD guidelines provide that two business days prior to the established settlement date of the TBA settlement obligations, the FICC MBSD clearing member ("Clearing Member") that has an obligation to deliver pools for the TBA transaction (*i.e.*, the "seller") must allocate the pools to be delivered.⁸ FICC states that pursuant to the MBSD Rules, Clearing Members may substitute an underlying pool after it has been allocated with respect to a pool deliver obligation by providing instructions to FICC.⁹

CPR is the percentage of the outstanding loan balance for a pool that is expected to be repaid over a one-year period.¹⁰ A CPR claim arises when an underlying TBA pool is allocated or substituted with a pool that pays down at a faster rate (*i.e.*, has a higher CPR) than the average pay down rate for pools of the same type as the underlying pool being replaced.¹¹ The result is that the buyer is receiving a pool with less value than anticipated based on the TBA terms.

As provided in the SIFMA Guidelines,¹² the industry currently has a process pursuant to which a buyer may make a CPR claim against the seller. The CPR claim process is intended to compensate the buyer for the excess amount that it is paying for the pool being delivered.¹³ Pursuant to SIFMA Guidelines, an entity is entitled to make a CPR claim if (i) the allocation or substitution giving rise to the CPR claim occurred after the factor release date¹⁴ following the scheduled contractual settlement date relating to the trade; (ii) the pools involved in the claim meet the criteria for fast paying pools in accordance with SIFMA Guidelines; (iii) the amount of the CPR claim is \$10,000 or greater, or, in the

⁷ Notice, 83 FR, at 39144.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ Notice, 83 FR, at 39144.

¹² Available at <https://www.sifma.org/resources/general/tba-market-governance/> under "Uniform Practices Manual." The SIFMA Guidelines are trading, clearing and settlement guidelines prepared by SIFMA intended to reflect common industry practices relating to confirming, comparing and settling mortgage-backed securities.

¹³ Notice, 83 FR, at 39144.

¹⁴ The term "factor release date" means, with respect to a pool, the date on which the Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae"), the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("Freddie Mac") or the Government National Mortgage Association ("Ginnie Mae"), as applicable, release the "factor" that represents the percentage of the agency's original balance of the pool that remains outstanding as of such date. *Id.*

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83767 (August 2, 2018), 83 FR 39143 (August 8, 2018) (SR-FICC-2018-006) ("Notice").

⁴ Available at <http://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures>.

⁵ Notice, 83 FR, at 39144.

⁶ A mortgage pool is a collection of mortgage loans or other collateral assembled by an originator or master services as collateral for a mortgaged-back security. *Id.*

case that an entity is submitting a re-transmittal¹⁵ of a CPR claim, the CPR claim is \$500 or greater; and (iv) 90 percent of the buyer's claimable unit has settled.¹⁶

The proposed rule change would codify FICC's existing CPR claims process in the MBSB Rules, including adding a provision providing that a Clearing Member's cash settlement obligations would include the positive or negative amount of any valid CPR claim.¹⁷ FICC states that the proposed MBSB CPR claims process would generally follow the CPR claims process set forth in the SIFMA Guidelines and MBSB's current CPR claims process, with the following exceptions:

1. Definition of Claimable Unit

The proposed rule change would add to the MBSB Rules two definitions of "claimable unit," the use of which would depend on the type of transaction.¹⁸ According to SIFMA Guidelines and FICC's current process, CPR claims are based on a "claimable unit" which defines the pool or group of pools that are included in a particular CPR claim.¹⁹ Also according to SIFMA Guidelines, a claimable unit is based on all pools allocated for a trade between factor release dates that have the same underlying TBA characteristics, such as product, coupon, trade date, settlement date and price.²⁰

FICC states that it currently processes CPR claims using a different definition of claimable unit than the SIFMA definition. FICC states that its CPR claims process currently uses a definition of claimable unit based on characteristics of pools after MBSB Pool Netting²¹ takes place rather than based on underlying TBA characteristics. The Pool Netting process generally reduces the number of pool settlements by aggregating and matching offsetting allocated pools submitted by Clearing Members to arrive at a single net position per counterparty in a particular pool number.²² FICC states that if a pool obligation is a result of Pool Netting, FICC is unable to track the pool obligation to an original TBA trade or

trades and would be unable to group pool obligations for CPR claims based on TBA characteristics as provided in SIFMA Guidelines.²³

FICC proposes to use the same definition of claimable unit for CPR claims as SIFMA Guidelines if the pool obligations upon which the CPR claims are based have not been through MBSB Pool Netting. FICC states that this definition would be used for pool allocations or substitutions for pool obligations that have been allocated after the factor release date because pool obligations allocated after the factor release date do not go through the Pool Netting process.²⁴ As a result, FICC states that it would be able to track the pool obligation to an original TBA trade, which would allow FICC to group the pool obligation with other pool obligations based on TBA characteristics.²⁵

FICC proposes to use a different definition of a claimable unit from the SIFMA Guidelines definition for CPR claims based on pool obligations that are a result of Pool Netting.²⁶ FICC proposes to define a claimable unit for such pool obligations based on pool characteristics after Pool Netting, rather than based on the original TBA pool characteristics.²⁷ FICC states that this definition would be used for substitutions for pool obligations that are a result of Pool Netting because FICC would be unable to track the pool obligation to an original TBA trade and thus unable to group such pool obligation with other pool obligations based on TBA characteristics.²⁸

2. Re-Transmittal Threshold

The minimum threshold for a re-transmittal of a CPR claim under SIFMA Guidelines is \$500.²⁹ FICC's current process provides that the minimum threshold for re-transmittals is \$5,000.³⁰ FICC proposes to use the \$500 re-transmittal minimum threshold for allocations (and related substitutions), where the allocations were made after the applicable factor release date, in order to be more consistent with SIFMA Guidelines and established industry practice.³¹ Meanwhile, FICC proposes to use a \$5,000 re-transmittal threshold for substitutions relating to allocations that were made prior to the factor release date following the contractual

settlement date to avoid having to process multiple smaller transactions, which FICC believes would likely be administratively burdensome.³²

B. Proposed MBSB Rule Changes

To codify the CPR claims process as described above, the proposed rule change would add a description of the CPR claim process in a new Section 10 of MBSB Rule 9, including a defined term for "CPR Claim."³³ In addition, the proposed rule change would specify the validation process for CPR claims, which, as described above, would codify existing FICC practices relating to CPR claims and provide that the process for CPR claims is consistent with SIFMA Guidelines, in each case, with the exceptions noted above.³⁴

Specifically, the proposed rule change would specify that CPR claims submitted would be reviewed by FICC to validate the following: (i) The claimable unit with respect to the CPR claim meets the criteria for fast paying pools as set forth in SIFMA Guidelines; (ii) the CPR claim amount is \$10,000 or greater, unless the CPR claim is a re-transmittal of a CPR claim, in which case, (a) if the CPR claim relates to an allocation of a pool effected after the factor release date following the contractual settlement date and/or substitution of related pools, the amount is \$500 or greater, or (b) if the CPR claim relates to a substitution of a pool that was allocated prior to the factor release date following the contractual settlement date, the amount is \$5,000 or greater; and (iii) 90 percent of the Clearing Member's claimable unit has settled.

Consistent with FICC's current CPR claims process, the proposed rule change would also specify that (1) FICC maintains the right to process CPR claims with no minimum denomination, (2) CPR claims may be apportioned to more than one participant, (3) CPR claims may be comprised of both debits and credits, (4) FICC would process all CPR claims on the Class "B" settlement date in the month following the transmittal month, and (5) FICC would notify the Clearing Member that the CPR claim has been rejected if the CPR claim is determined to be invalid.

In addition, that the proposed rule change would specify that FICC shall not guaranty CPR claim payments, and any credit to be received with respect to a CPR claim would be reduced to the extent the corresponding debit in

¹⁵ A re-transmittal of a CPR claim occurs when a party with the pool deliver obligation passes the CPR claims it received to the entities that sent it the pools it used for delivery. *Id.*

¹⁶ Notice, 83 FR, at 39144.

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ *Id.*

²⁰ *Id.*

²¹ Pursuant to the MBSB Rules, the term "Pool Netting" means the service provided to Clearing Members, as applicable, and the operations carried out by FICC in the course of providing such service in accordance with MBSB Rule 8.

²² Notice, 83 FR, at 39145.

²³ *Id.*

²⁴ *Id.*

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁷ *Id.*

²⁸ *Id.*

²⁹ *Id.*

³⁰ *Id.*

³¹ *Id.*

³² *Id.*

³³ *Id.*

³⁴ *Id.*

connection with a CPR claim is not paid.³⁵

FICC states that to ensure that Clearing Members understand the potential credits and debits relating to CPR claims, the proposed rule change would add credits and debits relating to CPR claims in Section 7 of MBSD Rule 11 as items for end of day cash balance computations.³⁶

FICC states that to further describe the CPR claims process as set forth above, a cross-reference for the defined term “CPR Claim” and new defined terms “Claimable Unit” and “Factor Release Date” would be added to MBSD Rule 1, which are consistent with existing FICC practices relating to CPR claims and with SIFMA Guidelines, in each case, with the exceptions noted above.³⁷

FICC states that the definitions for Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae would be corrected in MBSD Rule 1 to be consistent with industry practice and with their usage throughout the MBSD Rules.³⁸ In addition, the definition of “SIFMA Guidelines” would be clarified by adding a link identifying the location of the SIFMA Guidelines on the SIFMA website.³⁹

II. Discussion and Commission Findings

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act⁴⁰ directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. The Commission believes the proposal is consistent with the Act, specifically Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act and Rule 17Ad-22(e)(23)(i) under the Act, as discussed below.⁴¹

A. Consistency With Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act⁴² requires, *inter alia*, that the rules of the clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

As described above, FICC proposes to codify its existing CPR claims process and to specify the validation process for CPR claims. First, FICC proposes to specify that CPR claims submitted would be reviewed by FICC to validate

(i) the claimable unit with respect to the CPR claim meets the criteria for fast paying pools as set forth in SIFMA Guidelines; (ii) the CPR claim amount is \$10,000 or greater, unless the CPR claim is a re-transmittal of a CPR claim, in which case, (a) if the CPR claim relates to an allocation of a pool effected after the factor release date following the contractual settlement date and/or substitution of related pools, the amount is \$500 or greater, or (b) if the CPR claim relates to a substitution of a pool that was allocated prior to the factor release date following the contractual settlement date, the amount is \$5,000 or greater; and (iii) 90 percent of the Clearing Member’s claimable unit has settled.

Consistent with FICC’s current CPR claims process, FICC also proposes to specify that (1) FICC maintains the right to process CPR claims with no minimum denomination, (2) CPR claims may be apportioned to more than one participant, (3) CPR claims may be comprised of both debits and credits, (4) FICC would process all CPR claims on the Class “B” settlement date in the month following the transmittal month, and (5) FICC would notify the Clearing Member that the CPR claim has been rejected if the CPR claim is determined to be invalid.

In addition, FICC proposes to specify that FICC shall not guaranty CPR claim payments, and any credit to be received with respect to a CPR claim would be reduced to the extent the corresponding debit in connection with a CPR claim is not paid.

These proposed changes would codify FICC’s existing processes surrounding CPR claims and make the CPR claims process more consistent with SIFMA Guidelines. The Commission believes that the codification would enable Clearing Members to better understand how CPR claims would be validated and processed through FICC’s facilities and how FICC’s CPR claims process would differ from SIFMA Guidelines with respect to the definition of claimable unit and the re-transmittal minimum threshold, as set forth above. By enabling Clearing Members to better understand the CPR claims process, the proposal is designed to help ensure that CPR claims are submitted and processed correctly and thus promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of such securities transactions.

Additionally, FICC proposes to make several clarifying changes. First, as described above, the proposed rule change would add credits and debits relating to CPR claims in Section 7 of MBSD Rule 11 as items for end of day cash balance computations. In Second,

a cross-reference for the defined term “CPR Claim” and new defined terms “Claimable Unit” and “Factor Release Date” would be added to MBSD Rule 1, which are consistent with existing FICC practices relating to CPR claims and with SIFMA Guidelines, in each case, with the exceptions noted above. Third, the proposed rule change would correct the definitions for Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae in MBSD Rule 1 to be consistent with industry practice and with their usage throughout the MBSD Rules. Fourth, FICC proposes to add a description of the CPR claim process in a new Section 10 of MBSD Rule 9, including a defined term for “CPR Claim.” Finally, the definition of “SIFMA Guidelines” would be clarified by adding a link identifying the location of the SIFMA Guidelines on the SIFMA website.

By proposing these clarifying changes to the CPR claims rules, the Commission believes that the proposed changes are designed to help Clearing Members better understand and remain compliant with the CPR claims rules to help ensure that CPR claims are submitted and processed correctly, and thus promoting the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of such securities transactions.

As each of the aforementioned changes are designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(F).

B. Consistency with Rule 17Ad-22(e)(23)(i)

Rule 17Ad-22(e)(23)(i) under the Act requires a covered clearing agency⁴³ to establish, implement, maintain and enforce written policies and procedures reasonably designed to provide for publicly disclosing all relevant rules and material procedures.⁴⁴

As described above, the proposed rule changes would (1) codify FICC’s existing CPR claims process and (2) make clarifications to the existing CPR claims process. The Commission

⁴³ A “covered clearing agency” means, among other things, a clearing agency registered with the Commission under Section 17A of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78q-1 *et seq.*) that is designated systemically important by the Financial Stability Oversight Counsel (“FSOC”) pursuant to the Clearing Supervision Act (12 U.S.C. 5461 *et seq.*). See 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(a)(5)-(6). On July 18, 2012, FSOC designated FICC as systemically important. U.S. Department of the Treasury, “FSOC Makes First Designations in Effort to Protect Against Future Financial Crises,” available at <https://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/tg1645.aspx>. Therefore, FICC is a covered clearing agency.

⁴⁴ 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(e)(23)(i).

³⁵ *Id.*

³⁶ *Id.*

³⁷ *Id.*

³⁸ *Id.*

³⁹ *Id.*

⁴⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

⁴¹ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F); 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(20).

⁴² 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

believes these proposed changes to codify and clarify FICC's existing practices in regards to the CPR claims process would assist in publicly disclosing all relevant and material procedures regarding the CPR claims process. Therefore, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent Rule 17Ad-22(e)(23)(i) under the Act.

III. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act, in particular the requirements of Section 17A of the Act⁴⁵ and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that proposed rule change SR-FICC-2018-006 be, and hereby is, *approved*.⁴⁶

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴⁷

Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-20885 Filed 9-25-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-84238; File No. SR-GEMX-2018-32]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq GEMX, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Risk Protections

September 20, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 11, 2018, Nasdaq GEMX, LLC ("GEMX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

⁴⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

⁴⁶ In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁴⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend GEMX Rules 100(a)(5) which contains definitions, Rule 711, "Acceptance of Quotes and Orders" and Rule 714, "Automatic Execution of Orders."

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at <http://nasdaqgemx.cchwallstreet.com/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

GEMX proposes to amend Rule 714, Automatic Execution of Orders, by placing all risk protections within this rule and further creating sections to distinguish order protections, order and quote protections and quote protections. The Exchange believes that providing Members with a single rule with all risk protections will provide an easy reference to the mandatory single leg risk protections on GEMX.

The Exchange is amending Rule 714(b) to rename the caption from "Other Order Protections" to "Other Risk Protections." The Exchange is amending references to "order protections" to "risk protections" within that rule to more broadly describe the type of protections offered on GEMX. Finally, the Exchange is relocating rule text from Rule 714(c) to the end of proposed Rule 714(b), which states, "In the event of unusual market conditions and in the interest of a fair and orderly market, the Exchange may temporarily establish the levels at which the order protections contained in this paragraph are triggered as necessary and appropriate." These non-substantive rule changes are intended to bring greater clarity to the rule.

The Exchange proposes to add the following to proposed Rule 714(b)(1), "The following are order risk protections on GEMX:" The Exchange proposes to list all order protections within Rule 714(b)(1). The Exchange proposes to relocate Limit Order Price Protection from Rule 714(b)(2) to proposed Rule 714(b)(1)(A). The Exchange also proposes to add a new sentence to the end of proposed Rule 714(b)(1)(A) which provides, "Limit Order Price Protection shall not apply to the Opening Process or during a trading halt." The Exchange is adding this sentence, which was not contained in the initial rule change, to make clear the limitations as to when this protection is available on GEMX. The Exchange notes the Limit Order Price Protection rejects orders to buy (sell) as the greater of the Exchange's best offer (bid) plus (minus) either an absolute dollar or a percentage. The Exchange notes that the bid or offer is not established until after an option series options for trading. Applying this protection during the Opening Process is not necessary as the quote width allowance is tighter during the Opening Process.³ With respect to trading halts, Opening Process procedures will be used to reopen an option series after a trading halt, therefore, the same protections noted for the Opening Process will apply for a trading halt and the same restrictive boundaries would apply.⁴ This sentence memorializes the Exchange's current practice. The Exchange believes that this rule text will bring greater clarity to the Limit Order Price Protection functionality.

The Exchange proposes to relocate and re-number Market Order Spread Protection from Rule 711(c) to proposed Rule 714(b)(1)(B). The Exchange also proposes to add a sentence which provides, "Market Order Spread Protection shall not apply to the Opening Process or during a trading halt." The Exchange believes that the Market Order Spread Protection is unnecessary during the Opening Process and during a trading halt because protections are in place during the Opening Process to ensure that the best bid and offer displayed on the Exchange are within a reasonable range.⁵ The

³ With respect to the Opening Process, a Quality Opening Market is required. A Quality Opening Market a bid/ask differential applicable to the best bid and offer from all Valid Width Quotes defined in a table to be determined by the Exchange and published on the Exchange's website. See GEMX Rule 701(a)(7).

⁴ See GEMX Rule 701(d).

⁵ See note 3 above. With respect to trading halts, Opening Process procedures will be used to reopen an option series after a trading halt, therefore, the same protections noted for the Opening Process will