

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Natural Resources Conservation Service**

[Docket No. NRCS–2018–0006]

Notice of Recommended Standard Methods for Use as Soil Health Indicator Measurements

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA).

ACTION: Notice of availability of proposed technical note “Recommended Soil Health Indicators and Associated Laboratory Procedures” for public review and comment.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the intention of NRCS to issue a technical note on a group of recommended standard methods for soil health indicators selected by a collaborative multi-organizational effort, as described in the document. USDA/NRCS and partner efforts to assess soil health problems and impacts of management nationally, as part of conservation planning and implementation, will be facilitated if soil health indicators are measured using a standard set of methods. Soil health is defined as the capacity of the soil to function as a vital living ecosystem to sustain plants, animals, and humans. Six key soil physical and biological processes were identified that must function well in a healthy soil, and therefore would especially benefit from measurement methods standardization: (1) Organic matter dynamics and carbon sequestration, (2) soil structural stability, (3) general microbial activity, (4) C food source, (5) bioavailable N, and (6) microbial community diversity. The chosen methods met several criteria including indicator effectiveness with respect to management sensitivity and process interpretability, ease of use, cost effectiveness, measurement repeatability, and ability to be used for agricultural management decisions. The soil health indicator methods included are soil organic carbon (dry combustion), water-stable aggregation (Mikha and Rice, 2004), short-term mineralizable carbon (Schindelbeck *et al.*, 2016), four enzymes: β -glucosidase (Deng and Popova, 2011), N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase (Deng and Popova, 2011), acid or alkaline phosphatase (Acosta-Martínez and Tabatabai, 2011), and arylsulfatase (Klose *et al.*, 2011), permanganate oxidizable carbon (Schindelbeck *et al.* 2016), autoclaved citrate extractable (ACE) protein (Schindelbeck *et al.* 2016), and phospholipid fatty acid analysis (Buyer

and Sasser 2012). Standard operating procedures to be used in laboratories have been provided in the appendices.

DATES:

Applicable Date: This is Applicable September 14, 2018.

Comment Date: Submit comments on or before December 13, 2018. A final version of this technical note will be published after the close of the 90-day period and after consideration of all comments.

ADDRESSES:

Obtaining Documents: You may download the draft Technical Note at <https://go.usa.gov/xUFJE>.

Comments should be submitted, identified by Docket Number NRCS–2018–0006, using any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- *Mail or hand-delivery:* Public Comments Processing, Attention: Regulatory and Agency Policy Team, Strategic Planning and Accountability, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Building 1–1112D, Beltsville, Maryland 20705.

NRCS will post all comments on <http://www.regulations.gov>. In general, personal information provided with comments will be posted. If your comment includes your address, phone number, email, or other personal identifying information (PII), your comments, including PII, may be available to the public. You may ask in your comment that your PII be withheld from public view, but this cannot be guaranteed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Diane Stott, National Soil Health Specialist, Soil Health Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 915 W State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907, diane.stott@in.usda.gov.

Electronic copies can be downloaded or printed from <https://go.usa.gov/xUFJE>.

Requests for paper versions may be directed to: Public Comments Processing, Attention: Regulatory and Agency Policy Team, Strategic Planning and Accountability, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Building 1–1112D, Beltsville, Maryland 20705.

Signed this 28th day of August 2018, in Washington, DC.

Leonard Jordan,

Acting Chief, Natural Resources Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 2018–19985 Filed 9–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–16–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**Bureau of Industry and Security****Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; License Transfer and Duplicate License Services**

AGENCY: Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: To ensure consideration, written comments must be submitted on or before November 13, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Room 6616, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the internet at docpra@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Mark Crace, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482–8093 or at mark.crace@bis.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. Abstract**

The collection is necessary under Section 750.9 of the Export Administration Regulation (EAR) which outlines the process for obtaining a duplicate license when a license is lost or destroyed. Section 750.10 of the EAR explains the procedure for transfer of ownership of validated export licenses. Both activities are services provided after the license approval process. The supporting statement will use the terms “transfer” and “duplicate” to distinguish the unique activities of each. When no distinction is made, the response supports both activities.

II. Method of Collection

Transfer: When a request to transfer a license or licenses is received, BIS reviews the proposed transfer, and if approved, submits a validated letter authorizing the transfer of ownership.

Duplicate: When a request for a duplicate license is received, the original license is found in BIS’s Export Control Automated Support System (ECASS) and the duplicate is then issued by ECASS. The request for a

duplicate license is a written submission; the output is electronic.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0694–0126.

Form Number(s): N/A.

Type of Review: Regular submission.

Affected Public: Private Sector.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 110.

Estimated Time per Response: 1 to 30 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 31.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0. (This is not the cost of respondents' time, but the indirect costs respondents may incur for such things as purchases of specialized software or hardware needed to report, or expenditures for accounting or records maintenance services required specifically by the collection.)

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

Legal Authority: Export Administration Act of 1979, Section 15(b) of the EAR, Section 750.9 and 750.10 of the EAR.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Sheleen Dumas,

Departmental Lead PRA Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2018–19956 Filed 9–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–07–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–802]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2016–2017

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Fimex VN sold certain frozen warmwater shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), February 1, 2016, through January 31, 2017.

DATES: Applicable September 14, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irene Gorelik or Josh Simonidis, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6905 or (202) 482–0608, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 12, 2018, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.¹ On August 9, 2018, we invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.² For events since the *Preliminary Results*, see *Issues and Decision Memorandum*.³

Scope of the Order⁴

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is certain frozen warmwater shrimp. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States item numbers: 0306.17.00.03, 0306.17.00.06, 0306.17.00.09, 0306.17.00.12, 0306.17.00.15, 0306.17.00.18, 0306.17.00.21, 0306.17.00.24, 0306.17.00.27, 0306.17.00.40,

¹ See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Determination of No Shipments; 2016–2017*, 83 FR 10673 (March 12, 2018) (*Preliminary Results*) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See Memorandum re: “Case and Rebuttal Brief Schedule,” dated August 9, 2018.

³ See Memorandum re: “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results” (Issues and Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice.

⁴ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 70 FR 5152 (February 1, 2005) (*Order*).

1605.21.10.30, and 1605.29.10.10. The written description of the scope of the *Order* is dispositive. A full description of the scope of the *Order* is available in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues which parties raised, and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached at Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, Commerce determined that the following companies, as initiated, did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR: (1) Au Vung One Seafood Processing Import & Export Joint Stock Company; (2) Bien Dong Seafood Co., Ltd.; (3) BIM Seafood Joint Stock Company; (4) Cafatex Corporation and its claimed aka names (a) Taydo Seafood Enterprise and (b) Xi Nghiep Che Bien Thuy Sue San Xuat Cantho; (5) Cam Ranh Seafoods; (6) Ngo Bros, also initiated as, Ngo Bros Seaproducts Import-Export One Member Company Limited, and NGO BROS Seaproducts Import-Export One Member Company Limited; (7) Quang Minh Seafood Co., Ltd., also initiated as Quang Minh Seafood Co LTD; (8) Tacvan Frozen Seafood Processing Export Company, also initiated as Tacvan Seafoods Company, Tacvan Seafoods Company (“TACVAN”), and Tacvan Seafoods Company (TACVAN); (9) Thong Thuan Seafood Company Limited; (10) Trong Nhan Seafood Company Limited, also initiated as Trong Nhan Seafood Co., Ltd. (“Trong Nhan”); and (11) Vinh Hoan Corp. As we have not received any information to contradict this preliminary determination, we determine for these final results that the