

the requirements of the Department's Privacy Act regulations at 34 CFR 5b.7.

#### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

If you wish to determine whether a record exists regarding you in the system, you must contact the system manager at the address listed above. You must provide the necessary particulars of your name, SSN, and any other identifying information requested by the Department, while processing the request, to distinguish between individuals with the same name. Your request must meet the requirements of the regulations at 34 CFR 5b.5, including proof of identity.

#### EXEMPTIONS PROMULGATED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

#### HISTORY:

The system of records was published in the **Federal Register** on June 4, 1999 (64 FR 30106, 30171–30173), and amended on December 27, 1999 (64 FR 72384, 72405). This system of records was rescinded on August 8, 2017 (82 FR 37089–37094).

#### Appendix to 18–11–09

#### ADDITIONAL SYSTEM LOCATIONS:

Boston Office, 5 Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02109. New York Office, 32 Old Slip, New York, NY 10005. Philadelphia Office, The Wanamaker Building, 100 Penn Square East, Philadelphia, PA 19107.

Chicago Office, Citigroup Center, 500 W Madison Street Chicago, IL 60661.

Atlanta Office, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, GA 30303.

Dallas Office, 1999 Bryan Street, Dallas, TX 75201.

Kansas City Office, 1010 Walnut Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.

Denver Office, Cesar E. Chavez Memorial Building, 1244 Speer Boulevard, Denver, CO 80204.

San Francisco Office, 50 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.

Seattle Office, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98174.

U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20202.

[FR Doc. 2018–19688 Filed 9–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[Docket No. ED–2018–ICCD–0093]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request; E-Complaint Form(FERPA) and PPRA E-Complaint Form

**AGENCY:** Office of Management (OM), Department of Education (ED).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, ED is proposing a revision of an existing information collection.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before November 13, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** To access and review all the documents related to the information collection listed in this notice, please use <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching the Docket ID number ED–2018–ICCD–0093. Comments submitted in response to this notice should be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov> by selecting the Docket ID number or via postal mail, commercial delivery, or hand delivery. *Please note that comments submitted by fax or email and those submitted after the comment period will not be accepted.* Written requests for information or comments submitted by postal mail or delivery should be addressed to the Director of the Information Collection Clearance Division, U.S. Department of Education, 550 12th Street SW, PCP, Room 9089, Washington, DC 20202–0023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For specific questions related to collection activities, please contact Angela Arrington, (202)260–8915.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Education (ED), in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), provides the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed, revised, and continuing collections of information. This helps the Department assess the impact of its information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. It also helps the public understand the Department's information collection requirements and provide the requested data in the desired format. ED is soliciting comments on the proposed information collection request (ICR) that is described below. The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use

of information technology. Please note that written comments received in response to this notice will be considered public records.

*Title of Collection:* E-Complaint Form(FERPA) and PPRA E-Complaint Form.

*OMB Control Number:* 1880–0544.

*Type of Review:* A revision of an existing information collection.

*Respondents/Affected Public:* Individuals or Households.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses:* 500.

*Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours:* 500.

*Abstract:* The Family Policy Compliance Office (FPCO) reviews, investigates, and processes complaints of alleged violations of the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment (PPRA) filed by parents and eligible students. FPCO's authority to investigate, review, and process complaints extends to allegations of violations of FERPA by any recipient of United States Department of Education (Department) funds under a program administered by the Secretary (e.g., schools, school districts, postsecondary institutions, state educational agencies, and other third parties that receive Department funds). This revision includes the addition of the PPRA Complaint form that would allow parents to file a complaint. The Department expects to receive more than 10 complaints under the PPRA requiring approval.

Dated: September 5, 2018.

**Stephanie Valentine,**

*Acting Director, Information Collection Clearance Division, Office of the Chief Privacy Officer, Office of Management.*

[FR Doc. 2018–19672 Filed 9–10–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Proposed Distribution of Residual Citronelle Settlement Agreement Funds

**AGENCY:** Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of implementation of special refund procedures.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) announces the procedures for the disbursement of residual funds (totaling approximately \$59,000) remaining in various Citronelle Settlement Agreement escrow accounts to the parties to the Agreement.

**DATES:** Comments are due by October 11, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are encouraged to submit written comments electronically to: Kristin L. Martin, Attorney-Advisor, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20585-0107, (202) 287-1550, Email: [kristin.martin@hq.doe.gov](mailto:kristin.martin@hq.doe.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristin L. Martin, Attorney-Advisor, Office of Hearings and Appeals, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave. SW, Washington, DC 20585-0107, (202) 287-1550, Email: [kristin.martin@hq.doe.gov](mailto:kristin.martin@hq.doe.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Cost of Living Council, a predecessor agency of the Department of Energy, acting pursuant to the Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, Public Law 91 39, 84 Stat. 796, 799, on August 22, 1973, issued a system of price controls on the first sale of all domestic production of crude oil. Eventually, regulations were promulgated controlling the allocation and prices of many refined petroleum products in addition to crude oil and providing for enforcement of these regulations. See 10 CFR part 210 *et seq.*; see also Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, Public Law 93-159, Exec. Order 11,748, 38 FR 33577 (December 6, 1973) (EPAA); Economic Stabilization Act of 1970, as amended, Public Law 92-210, 85 Stat. 743; Public Law 93-28, 87 Stat. 27, Exec. Order 11,748, 38 FR 33575 (December 4, 1973) (ESA); Cost of Living Council Order No. 47, 39 FR 24 (January 2, 1974).

The Citronelle Settlement Agreement funds resulted from funds collected by the Department of Energy (DOE) in connection with the approval of exception relief from the price control regulations in effect for the 341 Tract Unit of Citronelle Field (Unit) by the DOE Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA). *The 341 Tract Unit of the Citronelle Field*, 10 DOE ¶ 81, 207 (1983).

The Citronelle exception relief spawned years of administrative and judicial litigation, including litigation over the final terms and conditions of the relief, OHA's authority to grant the relief and the evidentiary basis for its decision, and the possible revision or termination of the relief. Ultimately, in December 1991, OHA issued a decision terminating the exception relief and requiring the transfer of the remaining Citronelle exception relief funds to an escrow account in the United States Treasury under the supervision of the DOE Controller. *The 341 Tract Unit of the Citronelle Field*, 21 DOE ¶ 81,009

(1991). In April 1992, OHA issued a decision addressing certain claims to the Citronelle escrow account funds, establishing deadlines and procedures governing claims to the funds, and scheduling an evidentiary proceeding. *The 341 Tract Unit of the Citronelle Field*, 22 DOE ¶ 85,069 (1992). In May 1994, OHA issued a Decision and Order setting forth its determination of the percentage of the funds that should be allocated to various entities. *The 341 Tract Unit of the Citronelle Field*, 24 DOE ¶ 81,035 (1994).

Those actions led to further litigation. The Unit appealed OHA's termination of exception relief decision to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), which affirmed OHA's decision. The Unit then sought judicial review in *R.H. Stechman, et al. v. Department of Energy*, No. 94-0887-A-M (S.D. Ala. 1994).

In order to avoid further extended judicial proceedings over the disposition of the Citronelle escrow account, DOE reached a settlement (Settlement Agreement) resolving, first, the claims to the DOE/Citronelle escrow fund reserved for various Refiner-Litigants and, second, the Unit's claims. The settlement agreement resolving the claims of the Refiner-Litigants was approved by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas on December 6, 1995. See 61 FR 48946, 48947 (Sept. 17, 1996).

The Settlement Agreement had five Parties and eight Eligible Entities or Groups. The Parties were: The United States, the Department of Energy, and specified Refiner-Litigants, Participant States, and Participant End-Users. The groups eligible to share in the remaining Citronelle funds were: the States, a group of End-Users, various Refiners (as defined in the Agreement), a group of Non-Litigant Refiners, the Consumers Power Company and various groups of Refiner Cooperatives, Cooperatives, and Airlines (as defined in the Agreement). The original amount governed by the Agreement was more than \$63,000,000.00. As of June 2018, approximately \$36,200.00 remained in the Airlines escrow account and approximately \$23,000.00 remained in the Non-Litigant Refiners escrow account.

The Agreement stipulates that funds remaining in the Non-Litigant Refiners escrow account after proper distribution to that group must be transferred to the Refiner-Litigants. It also stipulates that funds remaining in the Airlines escrow account after proper distribution to that group are to be distributed in the following proportions:

- 2/7 to the United States Treasury;

- 2/7 to the States in proportions listed in Exhibit L of the Settlement Agreement and detailed below;

- 2/7 to the Refiner-Litigants; and
- 1/7 to the End-Users

The Agreement requires that the funds remaining in the End-Users account be transferred to the Subpart V Crude Oil Proceeding. However, the Subpart V Crude Oil Proceeding closed in 2016, with all remaining funds being distributed equally between the United States Treasury and the States (in pro-rata proportions defined by that refund proceeding). See 69 FR 29300 (May 21, 2004).

### I. Proposed Procedure for Final Distribution of Citronelle Settlement Agreement Funds

The Citronelle Settlement Agreement funds will be distributed according to the following plan. Any funds remaining after the final distributions made in accordance with this plan will be considered unclaimed and will be transferred to the U.S. Treasury. Final distribution amounts will be calculated using the distribution percentages listed in an appendix to this Notice on the day the final Notice is published in the **Federal Register**.

#### *The Non-Litigant Refiners Account*

The Agreement requires that the balance of the Non-Litigant Refiners account be distributed to the Refiner-Litigants through an escrow account established for that purpose for the initial distribution of Citronelle funds and managed by the law firm Miller & Chevalier. Miller & Chevalier no longer represents the Refiner-Litigants. Further, DOE has not been able to obtain documentation regarding how previous Citronelle distributions were made among the various firms comprising the Refiner-Litigants. In light of these facts and because the Citronelle distribution proportions agreed to by the Refiner-Litigants were not a part of the Agreement and thus not binding on DOE, we propose that the Refiner-Litigant portion of the funds be divided in equal proportions for the firms, or successor firms, listed in Exhibit A of the Agreement. A list of these firms is included as an appendix to this Notice. If a listed firm, or successor firm, does not submit the Required Information described below by the specified deadline, the funds will be considered unclaimed and will be transferred to the U.S. Treasury.

#### *The Airlines Account*

The Airlines account remaining funds will be split according to the percentages prescribed in the Settlement

Agreement. Two sevenths of the Airlines account funds will be distributed to the United States Treasury. Two sevenths of the Airlines account funds will be distributed to the Refiner-Litigants Escrow Account. Two sevenths of the Airlines account funds will be distributed to the States in the proportions listed in Exhibit L of the Agreement.

One seventh of the Airlines account funds will be allocated to the End-Users account, which will be distributed in the same proportions as the residual Subpart V funds were distributed pursuant to our notice in 72 FR 46461, 46462 (August 14, 2007). The funds will be split equally, with half distributed to the United States Treasury and half distributed to the States. The funds distributed to the States will be divided in the proportions used for the final distribution of the Subpart V funds, which are identical to those listed in Exhibit L of the Agreement. All funds distributed to the States are subject to the same restricted uses as those received by that State as a result of the settlement of the case known as *In Re: Stripper Well Litigation*, M.D.L. No. 378. A list of distribution percentages is included as an appendix to this Notice. If a State does not submit the Required Information described below by the specified deadline, the funds will be considered unclaimed and will be transferred to the U.S. Treasury.

#### Required Information

In order to receive its allotted funds, each Recipient, including State Recipients, must submit the following no later than the 90th calendar day following publication of the Final Plan in the **Federal Register**:

- Statement of Intent: The Statement should be brief and include the Recipient's name and the representative's authority to claim the Recipient's funds.

- Information Required by the Agreement: The Agreement requires that certain Releases of Claims be executed and submitted to DOE before Recipients may receive distributions.

- If a Recipient has not ever submitted the relevant Release of Claims, it should contact DOE at the below address to obtain a copy of the release, and should submit the executed release with the other required information described in this section.

- If a Recipient has previously submitted the relevant Release of Claims, it should submit to DOE a notarized statement certifying that it has submitted the release. The notarized statement should be submitted with the

other required information described in this section.

- Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) Information: Each Recipient must submit all information necessary for DOE to make an electronic distribution of funds, including the name and contact information (phone number, email address, and mailing address) of a person designated to be the Point of Contact, banking information, and Tax ID number. DOE will not contact Recipients regarding problems, discrepancies, or other issues with EFT information. DOE will notify the designated Point of Contact when the EFT is initiated. If an EFT is unsuccessful and the Recipient does not contact DOE to correct the error by the 14th day following the EFT initiation, the amount not distributed will be considered unclaimed and will be transferred to the United States Treasury.

Submissions should in PDF format and must be submitted by email to [OHA.Filings@hq.doe.gov](mailto:OHA.Filings@hq.doe.gov). The subject line should include "Citronelle Settlement Agreement Recipient Documents" and the name of the State or other Recipient. The Releases of Claims contained in the Agreement's Exhibits may be obtained by contacting Kristin L. Martin, Attorney-Advisor, Office of Hearings and Appeals, by email at [Kristin.Martin@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Kristin.Martin@hq.doe.gov), or by telephone at (202) 287-1550.

## II. Appendix A—Proposed Distribution Percentages and List of Refiner-Litigants

### Citronelle Airline Account Funds

Refiner-Litigants 28.57142857142860000%

- Each Refiner-Litigant Entity is entitled to 0.865800865800867% of the total Airline Account Funds.

United States Treasury

35.71428571428570000%

Alabama 0.54804016064259400%

Alaska 0.13818786523157600%

American Samoa 0.00636083244822057%

Arizona 0.36634454245826900%

Arkansas 0.45449277491405100%

California 3.26944016176838000%

Colorado 0.38401187480512000%

Connecticut 0.60652108584973400%

Delaware 0.16956338168467300%

District of Columbia 0.08531354824083700%

Florida 1.65010975432690000%

Georgia 0.79531816470797200%

Guam 0.05263184468083650%

Hawaii 0.24538846523323400%

Idaho 0.14657787754978300%

Illinois 1.64040323767528000%

Indiana 0.87972416423889800%

Iowa 0.46535022190036900%

Kansas 0.40036549196707900%

Kentucky 0.45780595111052400%

Louisiana 0.84950225360465700%

Maine 0.26254694847105300%

Maryland 0.63946084248035600%

Massachusetts 1.22259929840854000%

Michigan 1.21688372104464000%

Minnesota 0.61974582045967800%

Mississippi 0.48769574322855100%

Missouri 0.70516872255815100%

Montana 0.16165040119813900%

Nebraska 0.26336705431455200%

Nevada 0.14466342873599700%

New Hampshire 0.16645300019308600%

New Jersey 1.31838653652643000%

New Mexico 0.23395138247190300%

New York 2.76553651908726000%

No. Mariana Islands 0.00329014604847478%

North Carolina 0.80159665169915200%

North Dakota 0.13090382462201500%

Ohio 1.3420299992372000%

Oklahoma 0.44109500817469100%

Oregon 0.35401620870755400%

Pennsylvania 1.66287802161090000%

Puerto Rico 0.34023415151078600%

Rhode Island 0.14160268359603600%

South Carolina 0.42578568669101500%

South Dakota 0.12770074547322300%

Tennessee 0.57787034891897200%

Texas 2.63486674686911000%

Utah 0.21069728945457100%

Vermont 0.08547809926032230%

Virgin Islands 0.16520939843142600%

Virginia 0.91659346391607800%

Washington 0.54540262288818800%

West Virginia 0.21344547509163300%

Wisconsin 0.62838735451951800%

Wyoming 0.14563871266099600%

Total 35.71428571428570000%

### Non-Litigant Refiners Account Funds

Refiner-Litigants 100%

- Each Refiner-Litigant Entity is entitled to 3.03% of the Non-Litigant Refiners Account Funds.

### List of Refiner-Litigants

Amoco Oil Company

Ashland Oil, Inc.

Atlantic Richfield Company

Axel Johnson, Inc.

BHP Petroleum Americas Refining, Inc.

Castle Oil Corporation

Charter International Oil Company

Charter Oil Company

Chevron U.S.A., Inc.

Clark Oil & Refining Corporation

The Coastal Corporation

Commonwealth Oil Refining Company

Conoco, Inc.

Crown Central Petroleum Corp.

Diamond Shamrock Refining & Marketing Company

Exxon Corporation

Fina Oil and Chemical Company

Gulf States Oil & Refining Co.

Kerr-McGee Refining Corporation

La Gloria Oil and Gas Company

Marathon Oil Company

Mobil Oil Corporation

New England Petroleum Corporation

Oxy USA, Inc.

Shell Oil Company

Sprague Energy Corporation

Tesoro Petroleum Corporation

Texaco, Inc.

Texaco Refining & Marketing, Inc.

Tosco Corporation

Total Petroleum, Inc.

Union Pacific Resources Company

Wyatt Energy, Inc.

Signed in Washington, DC on: August 27, 2018.

**Poli A. Marmolejos,**

*Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.*

[FR Doc. 2018-19687 Filed 9-10-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### National Nuclear Security Administration

#### Secretarial Determination of a National Security Purpose for the Sale or Transfer of Enriched Uranium

**AGENCY:** National Nuclear Security Administration, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** On August 21, 2018, the Secretary of Energy issued a determination (“Secretarial Determination”) covering the transfer of low enriched uranium in support of the tritium production mission. The Secretarial Determination establishes the national security purpose of these transfers, therefore the transfers will be conducted under the *USEC Privatization Act of 1996*.

**DATES:** The Secretary of Energy signed the determination on August 21, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Audrey Beldio, NNSA Domestic Uranium Enrichment Program Manager, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20585, telephone (202) 586-1963, or email [audrey.beldio@nnsa.doe.gov](mailto:audrey.beldio@nnsa.doe.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Currently, the United States does not possess a fully domestic uranium enrichment capability. The U.S. uranium enrichment market consists of foreign enrichment technologies that cannot be used to meet national security requirements for enriched uranium.

Acknowledging that it will take time to develop enrichment technologies and allow for thorough analysis to inform an acquisition decision for producing unobligated LEU, NNSA’s Domestic Uranium Enrichment strategy includes NNSA Defense Programs down-blending approximately 20 metric tons of HEU to LEU for use as fuel in tritium production reactors. The uranium will be transferred to the NNSA federal partner, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) only for use as fuel in a reactor producing tritium and not for resale or retransfer. TVA will pay for the value of uranium to be received. Use of this material is compliant with long-standing U.S. policy and international

commitments that require LEU used for defense purposes to be free of peaceful use restrictions (“unobligated”). TVA is responsible for preserving the unobligated LEU to be used as fuel in tritium production reactors.

The Department’s transfers of uranium are conducted in accordance with its authority under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and consistent with other applicable law. These uranium transfers will be conducted under Section 3112(e)(2) of the *USEC Privatization Act of 1996*, which provides for transfers of enriched uranium to any person for national security purposes, as determined by the Secretary.

Signed in Washington, DC, on September 5, 2018.

**Philip T. Calbos,**

*Acting Deputy Administrator for Defense Programs, National Nuclear Security Administration.*

#### Appendix

##### Department of Energy

Set forth below is the full text of the Secretarial Determination:

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**