## III. Backfitting and Issue Finality

DG-5061 describes a method that the staff of the NRC considers acceptable for use by nuclear power plant licensees in meeting the requirements for the cybersecurity requirements in 10 CFR 73.54. The revision updates the guidance by incorporating lessons learned and guidance documents since the original publication of the guide.

On October 21, 2010, the Commission issued SRM-COMWCO-10-0001, which clarified the scope of the cyber security rule. In the SRM, the Commission determined as a matter of policy that the NRC's cyber security regulation (10 CFR 73.54) should be interpreted to include Systems Structures and Components in the Balance of Plant that have a nexus to radiological health and safety at NRClicensed nuclear power plants. The Commission clarified the scope of the rule to include digital assets previously covered by cyber security regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. In response to this SRM, the licensees updated their cyber security plans to incorporate BOP systems into their cyber security plans. This revision includes guidance for SSCs in the BOP.

Issuance of this DG, if finalized, would not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule) and would not otherwise be inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. As discussed in the "Implementation" section of this DG, the NRC has no current intention to impose this guide, if finalized, on holders of current operating licenses or combined licenses.

However, the scope of issue finality provided extends only to the matters resolved in the license or regulatory approval. Early site permits, design certification rules, and standard design approvals typically do not address or resolve compliance with operational programs such as the cybersecurity requirements in 10 CFR 73.54. Therefore, the various issue finality provisions would not apply to applications referencing an early site permit, design certification rule, or standard design approval with respect to the security matters addressed in this draft regulatory guide.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of August, 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Thomas H. Boyce**,

Chief, Regulatory Guide and Generic Issues Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 2018–18231 Filed 8–22–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## **POSTAL SERVICE**

# 39 CFR Part 111

# **USPS Marketing Mail Content Standards**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service<sup>TM</sup>. **ACTION:** Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service is contemplating amendment of the Mailing Standards of the United States Postal Service, Domestic Mail Manual (DMM®), to revise content standards for USPS Marketing Mail® letter-size and flat-size pieces regardless of level of sortation. This proposed change would limit all USPS Marketing Mail, regular and nonprofit, letter-size and flat-size, to content that is only paper-based/ printed matter; no merchandise or goods will be allowed of any type regardless of "value." All items not eligible to be sent as USPS Marketing Mail letter-size or flat-size pieces would need to shift to another product (e.g., Priority Mail®, Parcel Select®) to be mailed. In an effort to obtain as much customer and mailer feedback as possible, the Postal Service will post this advance notice of proposed rulemaking for an extended comment period.

**DATES:** Comments on this advance notice of proposed rulemaking are due October 22, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Mail or deliver written comments to the Manager, Product Classification, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW, Room 4446, Washington, DC 20260–5015. Comments and questions can also be emailed to *ProductClassification@usps.gov* using the subject line "USPS Marketing Mail Content Eligibility."

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct questions to Elke Reuning-Elliott by email at *elke.reuning-elliott@ups.gov* or phone (202) 268–4063.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In order to improve both processing and the delivery of goods and merchandise moving through the mail stream, the Postal Service proposes to limit content in USPS Marketing Mail, regular and nonprofit, letter-size and flat-size pieces, to paper-based/printed matter content. The limitation to nonmerchandise, paper-based/printed matter content would serve three goals: (1) Facilitate levels of service expected for the processing and delivery of merchandise that include end-to-end tracking and visibility, (2) move fulfillment of merchandise and goods out of USPS Marketing Mail, consistent with the transfer of fulfillment parcels out of Standard Mail (the predecessor to

USPS Marketing Mail) in Docket No. MC2010-36, and (3) reduce operational inefficiencies when machines are unable to process letter-size or flat-size shaped inflexible items. Shifting goods and merchandise out of the letter-size and flat-size categories helps improve processing capabilities and ultimately shifts these items to mail streams with full end-to-end tracking capability consistent with market expectations. The Postal Service has many products available to support this shift and seeks to align postal processing with the intentions of its mailing customers. This shift also simplifies the mailing experience: Letter-size and flat-size pieces will move through processing and delivery more efficiently. Packages with goods and merchandise will have an Intelligent Mail® package barcode (IMpb®) and will travel through the package network stream.

#### Ruth Stevenson,

Attorney, Federal Compliance.
[FR Doc. 2018–18105 Filed 8–22–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2018-0490; FRL-9982-74-Region 3]

Air Plan Approval; Maryland; Continuous Opacity Monitoring Requirements for Municipal Waste Combustors and Cement Plants

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland (SIP Revision 16–04). This revision pertains to clarifying continuous opacity monitoring requirements and visible emission standards for municipal waste combustors (MWCs) and Portland cement plants. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before September 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2018-0490 at http://www.regulations.gov, or via email to Spielberger.susan@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted,