

■ 3. In § 52.1586, paragraph (b)(1) is amended by adding a sentence at the end of the paragraph to read as follows:

**§ 52.1586 Section 110(a)(2) infrastructure requirements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \* Submittal from New Jersey dated October 17, 2014 to address the CAA infrastructure requirements of section 110(a)(2) for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> is approved for (D)(i)(I).

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2018–17361 Filed 8–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[Docket No. EPA–R02–OAR–2018–0197; FRL–9981–63—Region 2]

#### Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the New York Portion of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT, 2008 8-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Area; Correction

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notification of adequacy; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects an error in the table posted in the June 8, 2018, notification of adequacy of the motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEB) for the New York portions of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. The MVEBs were submitted by New York State Department of Environmental Conservation as part of the SIP revision for the area's 2008 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. The MVEB budget table in the original post listed incorrect units for the actual MVEBs. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), therefore, is correcting the table to show the correct units.

**DATES:** This correction is effective on August 14, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hannah Greenberg, Environmental Protection Agency Region 2, Air Programs Branch, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007–1866; (212) 637–3829, [greenberg.hannah@epa.gov](mailto:greenberg.hannah@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA published a notification of adequacy on June 8, 2018, (83 FR 26597) which found that the 2017 MVEBs for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) submitted by the

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation for the 2008 NAAQS for ozone are adequate for transportation conformity purposes for the New York portions of the New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-CT 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. In this document, EPA erroneously listed the 2017 MVEB units as tons per year. The actual 2017 MVEB units are tons per day. Therefore, the table is being corrected to list the correct units.

#### Correction

In the notification of adequacy published in the *Federal Register* on June 8, 2018 (83 FR 26597), on page 26598, in the second column, the table:

TABLE 1—2017 MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOR NYMTC  
[Tons per year]

Year	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>
2017 .....	65.69	117.21

is corrected to read:

TABLE 1—2017 MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS FOR NYMTC  
[Tons per day]

Year	VOC	NO <sub>x</sub>
2017 .....	65.69	117.21

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671 q.

Dated: July 20, 2018.

**Peter D. Lopez,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 2018–17369 Filed 8–13–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 62

[EPA–R02–OAR–2018–0712; FRL–9981–99—Region 2]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; United States Virgin Islands; Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a Clean Air Act (CAA) section 111(d)/129 negative declaration for the United States Virgin Islands, for Commercial and industrial solid waste incineration (CISWI) units.

This negative declaration certifies that CISWI units subject to sections 111(d) and 129 of the CAA do not exist within the jurisdiction of the United States Virgin Islands. The EPA is accepting the negative declaration in accordance with the requirements of the CAA.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on September 13, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward J. Linky, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007–1866 at 212–637–3764 or by email at [Linky.Edward@epa.gov](mailto:Linky.Edward@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document “we,” “us,” or “our” refer to the EPA. This section provides additional information by addressing the following:

- I. Background
- II. What comments were received in response to the EPA's proposed rule?
- III. What action is EPA taking today?
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background

The Clean Air Act (CAA) requires that state<sup>1</sup> regulatory agencies implement the emission guidelines and compliance times using a state plan developed under sections 111(d) and 129 of the CAA.

The general provisions for the submittal and approval of state plans are codified in 40 CFR part 60, subpart B and 40 CFR part 62, subpart A. Section 111(d) establishes general requirements and procedures on state plan submittals for the control of designated pollutants.

Section 129 requires emission guidelines to be promulgated for all categories of solid waste incineration units, including commercial and industrial solid waste incineration (CISWI) units. A CISWI unit is defined, in general, as “any distinct operating unit of any commercial or industrial facility that combusts, or has combusted in the preceding 6 months, any solid waste as that term is defined at 40 CFR 241.” See 40 CFR 60.2875. Section 129 mandates that all plan requirements be at least as protective as the promulgated emission guidelines. This includes fixed final compliance dates, fixed compliance schedules, and Title V permitting requirements for all affected sources. Section 129 also requires that state plans be submitted to EPA within one year after EPA's promulgation of the emission guidelines and compliance times.

States have options other than submitting a state plan in order to fulfill

<sup>1</sup> Section 302(d) of the CAA includes the United States Virgin Islands in the definition of the term “State.”