

hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, filed electronically via ACCESS, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>14</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs.

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of the administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

Where the respondent reported reliable entered values, we calculated importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to each importer (or customer).<sup>15</sup> Where Commerce calculated a weighted-average dumping margin by dividing the total amount of dumping for reviewed sales to that party by the total sales quantity associated with those transactions, Commerce will direct CBP to assess importer- (or customer-) specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit rates.<sup>16</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is greater than *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent), Commerce will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.<sup>17</sup> Where an importer- (or customer-) specific *ad valorem* or per-unit rate is zero or *de minimis*, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>18</sup>

Consistent with Commerce's assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR

produced by Bonuts, PT, or Unicatch, for which the producer did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>19</sup>

For the firms covered by this review, we intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review. For the non-reviewed firms for which we are rescinding this administrative review, Commerce intends to instruct CBP 15 days after publication of these preliminary results of review to assess antidumping duties at rates equal to the rates of cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, during the period May 20, 2016, through June 30, 2017, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(2).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Bonuts, PT, and Unicatch will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for other manufacturers and exporters covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 2.24 percent, the all-others rate in the LTFV

investigation.<sup>20</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notifications

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 3, 2018.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
5. Duty Absorption
6. Use of Facts Available With an Adverse Inference
7. Comparisons to Normal Value
8. Date of Sale
9. Export Price and Constructed Export Price
10. Normal Value
11. Currency Conversion
12. Verification
13. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018-17163 Filed 8-9-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-533-825]

#### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2016

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting an administrative review of the

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>16</sup> *Id.*

<sup>17</sup> *Id.*

<sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>19</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>20</sup> See *Certain Steel Nails from Taiwan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 80 FR 28959 (May 20, 2015).

countervailing duty (CVD) order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. We preliminarily determine that Jindal Poly Films Limited of India (Jindal) and SRF Limited/SRF Limited of India (SRF) received countervailable subsidies during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable August 10, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elfi Blum and Kathryn Wallace, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0197 and (202) 482-6251, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On July 3, 2017, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from India, for the period July 1, 2016, through June 30, 2017.<sup>1</sup> In accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2) and (3), in July 2017, we received five review requests. DuPont Teijin Films, Mitsubishi Polyester Film, Inc., and SKC, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners) requested reviews of Ester Industries Limited (Ester), Garware Polyester Ltd. (Garware), Polyplex Corporation Ltd. (Polyplex), SRF, Jindal, and Vacmet India Limited (Vacmet). Additionally, Polyplex USA LLC requested reviews for Ester, Garware, Jindal, MTZ Polyesters Ltd. (MTZ), Polyplex, SRF Limited, Uflex Ltd. (Uflex), and Vacmet. Finally, Chiripal Poly Films Limited (Chiripal), SRF, and Jindal each self-requested to be reviewed in the instant review.

Subsequently, on September 13, 2017, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.222(c)(1)(i), Commerce published a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET Film from India.<sup>2</sup>

On October 3, 2017, we placed on the record U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) import data for purposes of respondent selection, and

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 82 FR 30833 (July 3, 2017).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

invited parties to comment.<sup>3</sup> On October 10, 2017, SRF and Jindal each submitted comments requesting selection for individual examination.<sup>4</sup> Subsequently, SRF,<sup>5</sup> Jindal Poly Films Ltd. (India)<sup>6</sup> each timely withdrew their requests for review. Polyplex USA timely withdrew its requests for a review on December 12, 2016, for all companies.<sup>7</sup>

On January 23, 2018, Commerce issued a memorandum tolling all deadlines for this investigation by three days due to the shutdown of the federal government.<sup>8</sup> On March 23, 2018,<sup>9</sup> and June 1, 2018, we extended the deadline for the preliminary results of this review to August 3, 2018.

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by this order are all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. Excluded are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches thick. Imports of PET film are classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item number 3920.62.00.90. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

**Partial Rescission of Administrative Review**

Commerce initiated a review of ten companies in this segment of the proceeding.<sup>10</sup> In response to timely filed

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum “Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Release of U.S. Customs Entry Data for Respondent Selection” dated October 3, 2017.

<sup>4</sup> See SRF’s CBP Comments; see also Jindal’s Letter, “PET Film from India: Comments on CBP Data” dated October 10, 2017.

<sup>5</sup> See SRF’s Letter, “Withdrawal of Request for Countervailing Duty Admin Review of SRF Limited (SRF)” dated December 11, 2017.

<sup>6</sup> See Jindal’s Letter, “Withdraw Requests for Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order and Countervailing Duty Order” dated December 10, 2017.

<sup>7</sup> Polyplex USA’s Letter, “Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Request of Partial Withdrawal of Administrative Review,” dated December 12, 2017.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum, “Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government” dated January 23, 2018. All deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by three days.

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review—2016” dated March 23, 2018; see also Memorandum, “Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review” dated June 1, 2018.

<sup>10</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR

withdrawal requests, we are rescinding this administrative review with respect to Uflex and MTZ, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Accordingly, the companies subject to the instant review are: Ester Industries Ltd.; Garware Polyester Ltd.; Jindal; Polyplex Corporation Ltd.; SRF; and Vacmet India Limited, of which Commerce has selected Jindal and SRF as the mandatory respondents.<sup>11</sup>

**Methodology**

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, we preliminarily determine that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a government-provided financial contribution that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>12</sup> For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an Appendix to this notice.

The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://trade.gov/enforcement/frn/index.html>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

**Companies Not Selected for Individual Review**

For the companies not selected for individual review, because the rates calculated for Jindal and SRF were above *de minimis* and not based entirely on facts available, we applied,

42974, 42974 (September 13, 2017). The ten companies were Chiripal, Ester, Garware, Jindal, MTZ, Polyplex, SRF (as SRF Limited and SRF Limited of India), Uflex, and Vacmet).

<sup>11</sup> See Memorandum, “Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India: Selection of Respondents for Individual Examination” dated November 27, 2017.

<sup>12</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

consistent with section 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act, a subsidy rate based on a simple average of the subsidy rates calculated for Jindal and SRF because publicly ranged sales data was not submitted by respondents.

#### Preliminary Results of Review

We preliminarily determine the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rates for the period January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016 to be:

Manufacturer/exporter	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
Jindal Poly Films Limited of India .....	10.71
SRF Limited .....	7.47
Ester Industries Limited .....	9.09
Garware Polyester Ltd .....	9.09
Polyplex Corporation Ltd .....	9.09
Vacmet India Limited .....	9.09

#### Disclosure and Public Comment

Commerce will disclose to parties to this proceeding the calculations performed in reaching the preliminary results within five days of the date of publication of these preliminary results.<sup>13</sup> Interested parties may submit written comments (case briefs) within 30 days of publication of the preliminary results and rebuttal comments (rebuttal briefs) within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>14</sup> Rebuttal briefs must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs.<sup>15</sup> Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.<sup>16</sup>

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must do so within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results by submitting a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system.<sup>17</sup> Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, we will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined.<sup>18</sup> Parties should confirm by

telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Issues addressed at the hearing will be limited to those raised in the briefs.<sup>19</sup> All briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically and received successfully in their entirety through ACCESS by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

Unless the deadline is extended pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of the issues raised by the parties in their comments, within 120 days after publication of these preliminary results.

#### Assessment Rates and Cash Deposit Requirement

Upon issuance of the final results, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of review.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties, in the amounts shown above for each of the respective companies shown above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most-recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: August 3, 2018.

**Christian Marsh,**  
*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Partial Rescission of Administrative Review
4. Scope of the Order
5. Subsidies Valuation Information
6. Analysis of Programs

<sup>19</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

7. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2018-17177 Filed 8-9-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### International Trade Administration

[A-583-856]

#### Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily determines that producers/exporters subject to this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable August 10, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Stolz, Shanah Lee, or Emily Halle, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4474, (202) 482-6386, and (202) 482-0176, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain corrosion-resistant steel products (CORE) from Taiwan.<sup>1</sup> The period of review (POR) is June 2, 2016, through June 30, 2017. We initially selected two companies, Sheng Yu Steel Co., Ltd. (SYSCO), and the previously collapsed Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd. (Prosperity), Yieh Phui Enterprise Co., Ltd. (YP), and Synn Industrial Co., Ltd. (Synn) entity (collectively, YP/Synn/Prosperity entity), for individual examination.<sup>2</sup> For a complete

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 42974 (September 13, 2017) (*Initiation Notice*).

<sup>2</sup> In the less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation of the AD order, we collapsed YP with its affiliate Synn and treated YP/Synn as a single entity in that proceeding. See *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from Taiwan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, in Part, 81 FR 35313 (June 2, 2016) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM) (*Taiwan CORE LTFV Final*); unchanged in *Certain Corrosion-Resistant Steel Products from India, Italy, the*

Continued

<sup>13</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d)(1).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2).

<sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

<sup>17</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>18</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310.