

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Sipco LLC on August 6, 2018. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain wireless mesh networking products and related components thereof. The complaint names as respondents: Emerson Electric Co. of St. Louis, MO; Emerson Process Management LLLP of Bloomington, MN; Emerson Process Management Asia Pacific Private Limited of Singapore; Emerson Process Management Manufacturing (M) Sdn. Bhd. of Malaysia; Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc. of Round Rock, TX; Rosemount Inc. of Shakopee, MN; Analog Devices, Inc. of Norwood, MA; Linear Technology LLC of Milpitas, CA; Dust Networks, Inc. of Union City, CA; Tadiran Batteries Inc. of Lake Success, NY; and Tadiran Batteries Ltd. of Israel. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, cease and desist orders and impose a bond during the 60-day review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the requested remedial orders;
- (iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;
- (iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third

party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the requested exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the requested remedial orders would impact United States consumers.

Written submissions on the public interest must be filed no later than by close of business, eight calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. There will be further opportunities for comment on the public interest after the issuance of any final initial determination in this investigation. Any written submissions on other issues should be filed no later than by close of business nine calendar days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. Complainant may file a reply to any written submission no later than the date on which complainant's reply would be due under § 210.8(c)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.8(c)(2)).

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to § 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the docket number ("Docket No. 3333") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, Electronic Filing Procedures<sup>1</sup>). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information,

<sup>1</sup> Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures: [https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf).

including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel,<sup>2</sup> solely for cybersecurity purposes. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.<sup>3</sup>

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and of §§ 201.10 and 210.8(c) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.10, 210.8(c)).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2018.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2018-17116 Filed 8-9-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1380 (Final)]

### Tapered Roller Bearings From Korea Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject investigation, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury by reason of imports of tapered roller bearings from Korea that have been found by the U.S. Department of Commerce ("Commerce") to be sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV").<sup>2 3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

<sup>3</sup> Electronic Document Information System (EDIS): <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

<sup>2</sup> 83 FR 29092 (June 22, 2018). Whether establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded is not an issue in this investigation.

<sup>3</sup> Commissioner Rhonda K. Schmidlein dissenting. Commissioner Jason E. Kearns did not

## Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)), instituted this investigation effective June 28, 2017, following receipt of a petition filed with the Commission and Commerce by The Timken Company, North Canton, Ohio. The Commission scheduled the final phase of the investigation following notification of a preliminary determination by Commerce that imports of tapered roller bearings from Korea were being sold at LTFV within the meaning of section 733(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(b)). Notice of the scheduling of the final phase of the Commission's investigation and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** of February 27, 2018 (83 FR 8504). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 5, 2018, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 735(b) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)). It completed and filed its determination in this investigation on August 6, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4806 (August 2018), entitled *Tapered Roller Bearings from Korea: Investigation No. 731-TA-1380 (Final)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 6, 2018.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2018-17125 Filed 8-9-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-18-036]

### Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** August 24, 2018 at 9:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.

participate in the determination in this investigation.

2. Minutes.

3. Ratification List.

4. Vote on Inv. Nos. 731-TA-678-679 and 681-682 (Fourth Review) (Stainless Steel Bar from Brazil, India, Japan, and Spain). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission by September 11, 2018.

5. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 7, 2018.

**William Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2018-17260 Filed 8-8-18; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### Bharanidharan Padmanabhan, M.D., Ph.D.; Decision and Order

On October 20, 2017, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration (hereinafter, DEA or Government), issued an Order to Show Cause to Bharanidharan Padmanabhan, M.D., Ph.D. (hereinafter, Respondent), of Brookline, Massachusetts. Order to Show Cause (hereinafter, OSC), at 1. The Show Cause Order proposes the revocation of Respondent's Certificate of Registration on the ground that he does "not have authority to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the state in which . . . [he is] registered with the DEA." *Id.* at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3)).

Regarding jurisdiction, the Show Cause Order alleges that Respondent holds DEA Certificate of Registration No. BP7993290 at the registered address of 30 Gardner Road #6A, Brookline, Massachusetts 02445. OSC, at 1. This registration authorizes Respondent to dispense controlled substances in schedules II through V as a practitioner. The Show Cause Order alleges that this registration expires on March 31, 2020. *Id.*

The substantive ground for the proceeding, as alleged in the Show Cause Order, is that Respondent is "without authority to handle controlled substances in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, the state in which . . . [he is] registered . . . with the DEA." *Id.* at 1. Specifically, the Show Cause Order alleges that the Massachusetts "Board of

Registration in Medicine Indefinitely Suspended" . . . [Respondent's] medical license" on May 11, 2017, and that this indefinite suspension "became effective on July 11, 2017 and remains in effect." *Id.*

The Show Cause Order notifies Respondent of his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement while waiving his right to a hearing, the procedures for electing each option, and the consequences for failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2 (citing 21 CFR 1301.43). The Show Cause Order also notifies Respondent of the opportunity to submit a corrective action plan. OSC, at 2-3 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(c)(2)(C)).

By letter dated November 13, 2017, Respondent requested a hearing. Hearing Request, at 1. According to the Hearing Request, Respondent "wish[es] to show why . . . [he] should retain" Certificate of Registration No. BP7993290. *Id.* Respondent's Hearing Request refers to the "alleged" action of the Massachusetts Board of Registration in Medicine (hereinafter, Massachusetts Board) "indefinitely suspending . . . [his] license" as "corrupt and legally void," and states his "position [to be] that DEA must hold all action in abeyance till the federal courts have ruled on the unlawfulness of the racketeers' action in May 2017." *Id.* at 2.<sup>1</sup>

The Office of Administrative Law Judges put the matter on the docket and assigned it to Administrative Law Judge Mark M. Dowd (hereinafter, ALJ). I adopt the following statement of procedural history from the ALJ's Order Denying The Respo[n]dent's Request for Abeyance, Granting the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition, and Recommended Rulings, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Decision of the Administrative Law Judge dated January 26, 2018 (hereinafter, R.D.).

On November 20, 2017, this tribunal ordered the Government to file evidence to support the allegations that the Respondent lacked state authority to handle controlled substances.

On December 4, 2017, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition . . . . The Government submitted evidence that the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Board of Registration in Medicine indefinitely suspended the Respondent's medical license on May 11, 2017, in the form of the Final Decision and Order from Commonwealth of Massachusetts Board of Registration . . . . Gov't Mot. at Ex. 2, a. The Suspension was stayed for sixty days [a period which has since expired] to allow the

<sup>1</sup> There is no corrective action plan, or indication that Respondent submitted a corrective action plan, in the record before me.