that the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendments No. 1 and 2, is consistent with the requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and in particular, with Section 6(b)(5) of the Exchange Act.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Rule 431 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, that the earlier action taken by delegated authority, Exchange Act Release No. 80206 (Mar. 10, 2017), 82 FR 14076 (Mar. 16, 2017), is set aside and, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Exchange Act, SR-BatsBZX–2016–30 is disapproved.

By the Commission. Brent J. Fields, Secretary. [FR Doc. 2018–16427 Filed 7–31–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 06/06-0346]

Stellus Capital SBIC, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Stellus Capital SBIC, L.P., 4400 Post Oak Parkway, Suite 2200, Houston, TX 77027, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ("the Act"), in connection with the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financings which Constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration ("SBA") Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Stellus Capital SBIC, L.P. proposes to provide loan financing to KelleyAmerit Holdings, Inc. (d/b/a Amerit Fleet Solutions), 1331 North California Blvd., Suite 150, Walnut Creek, CA 94596.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a)(4) of the Regulations because Stellus Capital SBIC, L.P., seeks to purchase the loan financing to KelleyAmerit Holdings, Inc. from Stellus Capital Investment Corp., an Associate of Stellus Capital SBIC, L.P. Therefore, this transaction is considered discharging an obligation of an Associate, requiring a prior SBA exemption.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on this transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

A. Joseph Shepard,

Associate Administrator for Office of Investment and Innovation. [FR Doc. 2018–16414 Filed 7–31–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No. 01/01-0435]

Ironwood Mezzanine Fund IV–A, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that Ironwood Mezzanine Fund IV–A, L.P., 45 Nod Road, Suite 2, Avon, CT 06001, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended ("the Act"), in connection with a financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under Section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730. **Financings which Constitute Conflicts** of Interest of the Small Business Administration ("SBA") Rules and Regulations (13 CFR 107.730). Ironwood Mezzanine Fund IV-A, L.P. proposes to provide debt and equity financing for the purpose of purchasing a subsidiary (Capewell Intermediate Holding, LLC) from an Associate, Capewell Holding, LLC. Capewell Holding, LLC is an Associate because Ironwood Mezzanine Fund III-A L.P., an Associate of Mezzanine Fund IV-A, L.P., owns more than ten percent of Capewell Holding, LLC.

The financing is brought within the purview of § 107.730(a) of the Regulations because proceeds from the transaction will directly benefit Associates Ironwood Mezzanine Fund III, L.P. and Ironwood Mezzanine Fund III–A, L.P.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on this transaction within fifteen days of the date of this publication to the Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW, Washington, DC 20416.

A. Joseph Shepard,

Associate Administrator, Office of Investment and Innovation.

[FR Doc. 2018–16415 Filed 7–31–18; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

[Docket No. FD 36208]

Progressive Rail Incorporated— Continuance in Control Exemption— St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Company, LLC

Progressive Rail Incorporated (PGR), a Class III rail carrier, has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2) to continue in control of St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Company, LLC (SPR), upon SPR's becoming a Class III rail carrier.

This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in *St. Paul & Pacific Railroad Company, LLC—Change in Operator Exemption—Santa Cruz and Monterey Bay Railway Company,* Docket No. FD 36207. In that proceeding, SPR seeks an exemption under 49 CFR 1150.31 to assume operations over approximately 31 miles of rail line (the Line) owned by the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission extending from milepost 0.433 at Watsonville Junction to milepost 31.39 at Davenport, Cal.

The earliest this transaction may be consummated is August 15, 2018, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the verified notice was filed). PGR states that it intends to consummate the transaction on August 16, 2018.

PGR will continue in control of SPR upon SPR's becoming a Class III rail carrier and remains in control of Class III carriers Airlake Terminal Railway Company, LLC, Central Midland Railway Company, Iowa Traction Railway Company, Iowa Southern Railway Company, Piedmont & Northern Railroad Company, and Chicago Junction Railway Company.

PGR states that: (1) The rail line to be operated by SPR does not connect with any other railroads in the PGR corporate family; (2) the continuance in control is not part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect this line with any other railroad in the PGR corporate family; and (3) the transaction does not involve a Class I rail carrier. Therefore, the transaction is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11323. *See* 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

Under 49 U.S.C. 10502(g), the Board may not use its exemption authority to relieve a rail carrier of its statutory obligation to protect the interests of its employees. Section 11326(c), however, does not provide for labor protection for transactions under §§ 11324 and 11325 that involve only Class III rail carriers. Accordingly, the Board may not impose labor protective conditions here because