

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1065]

**Certain Mobile Electronic Devices and  
Radio Frequency and Processing  
Components Thereof; Notice of  
Commission Determination To Amend  
the Notice of Investigation To Delete  
Certain Claims That Were Erroneously  
Included Due to an Apparent  
Typographical Error****AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade  
Commission.**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined sua sponte to amend the notice of investigation to delete claims 2–9 of U.S. Patent No. 8,633,936 (“the ‘936 patent”), which were erroneously included due to a typographical error.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on August 14, 2017, based on a complaint filed by Qualcomm Incorporated of San Diego, California (“Qualcomm”). 82 FR 37899 (Aug. 14, 2017). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices and radio frequency and processing components thereof that infringe one or more claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,487,658; U.S. Patent No. 8,698,558;

U.S. Patent No. 8,487,658; U.S. Patent No. 8,838,949; U.S. Patent No. 9,535,490; U.S. Patent No. 9,608,675; and the ‘936 patent. The notice of investigation named Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California as the respondent. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is participating in the investigation.

The complaint, as amended, states that Qualcomm alleges infringement of claims 1, 10–27, 29, 38, 49, 55–60, 67, and 68 of the ‘936 patent. The notice of investigation, however, lists the asserted claims of the ‘936 patent as claims 1–27, 29, 38, 49, 55–60, 67, and 68. Under Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)), good cause exists to amend the notice of investigation to correct this typographical error.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 3, 2018.

**Katherine Hiner,***Supervisory Attorney.*

[FR Doc. 2018–09818 Filed 5–8–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P****DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE****Notice of Lodging of Proposed  
Consent Decree Under the Clean Water  
Act**

On May 2, 2018, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. WCC Energy Group, LLC and Sammy Garrard*, Civil Action No. 3:18–cv–00515–JWD–EWD.

The Complaint in this Clean Water Act case was filed against WCC Energy Group, LLC and Sammy Garrard concurrently with the lodging of the proposed Consent Decree. The Complaint alleges that WCC and Mr. Garrard are civilly liable for violations of Section 311 of the Clean Water Act (“CWA”), 33 U.S.C. 1321. The Complaint seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief for two discharges of oil into navigable waters of the United States from an oil production facility in the Frog Lake Area of the Atchafalaya River Basin.

The Complaint alleges that the spills occurred in August 2017. The first spill involved oil discharged from a portion of the facility’s transfer line. The second

spill was caused by oil leaking off the deck of the facility’s production barge into the surrounding water, resulting in a sheen. WCC is an owner and operator of the facility. Mr. Garrard, the facility manager at the time of the spills, was an operator and person-in-charge.

Under the proposed Consent Decree each defendant will pay a civil penalty and perform corrective measures. WCC will pay a civil penalty of \$55,000. Mr. Garrard will pay a civil penalty of \$2,000 based on assessment of his limited financial ability to pay. The Consent Decree also requires WCC to perform corrective measures at its Frog Lake facility, including notifying the Coast Guard in advance of oil transfer operations, discontinuing the storage of oily waste at the facility, maintaining flow meter gauges and installing pressure gauges to ensure against oil losses along the transfer line, performing periodic inspections of the facility, and submitting reports on progress and compliance. The Consent Decree further requires Mr. Garrard to notify the Coast Guard of his work in the oil and gas industry over the next year.

The Publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Acting Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States of America v. WCC Energy Group, LLC and Sammy Garrard*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–11281/1. Comments may be submitted by either email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a> .
By mail .....	Acting Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department website: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$7.50 (25 cents per page

reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Thomas Carroll,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2018-09842 Filed 5-8-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2011-0186]

#### Inorganic Arsenic Standard; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comments concerning its proposal to extend the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval of the information collection requirements specified in the Inorganic Arsenic Standard.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by July 9, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:**

*Electronically:* You may submit comments and attachments electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

*Facsimile:* If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

*Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service:* When using this method, you must submit your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0186, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-3653, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Docket Office's normal business hours, 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., ET.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number (OSHA-2011-0186) for the Information Collection Request (ICR). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are

placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

*Docket:* To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this **Federal Register** notice) are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through the website. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You also may contact Theda Kenney at the phone number below to obtain a copy of the ICR.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Theda Kenney, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, telephone (202) 693-2222.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (*i.e.*, employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.*) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the OSH Act, or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The OSH Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657).

The information collection requirements in the Inorganic Arsenic Standard provide protection for workers from the adverse health effects

associated with exposure to inorganic arsenic. The Inorganic Arsenic Standard requires employers to: Monitor workers' exposure to inorganic arsenic, and notify workers of exposure-monitoring results; notify anyone who cleans protective clothing or equipment of inorganic arsenic exposure; develop, update, and maintain a housekeeping and maintenance plan; monitor worker health by providing medical surveillance; post warning signs, and apply labels to shipping and storage containers of inorganic arsenic; develop and maintain worker exposure monitoring and medical records; establish and implement written compliance programs; and provide workers with information about their exposures and the health effects of exposure to inorganic arsenic.

#### II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

#### III. Proposed Actions

The Agency is requesting an adjustment decrease of 1,920 burden hours, from 12,466 to 10,546 hours. This decrease is due to a decrease in the number of workers being exposed above the permissible exposure limit (PEL), and being exposed above the action level (AL), but below the PEL. There is also a decrease in the number of medical examinations administered annually. Therefore, this reduces the total cost burden for exposure monitoring sampling and medical exams from \$1,350,395 to \$1,121,959, even though the total cost has gone up for medical exams from \$221 to \$243.33.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Inorganic Arsenic Standard (29 CFR 1910.1018).

*OMB Number:* 1218-0104.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profits.

*Number of Respondents:* 889.