

throughout the auction. Prior to and at the close of Auctions 101 and 102, the Commission will post public notices on the Auctions website, which articulate the procedures and deadlines for the respective auctions. The Commission makes this information easily accessible and without charge to benefit all Auction 101 and Auction 102 applicants, including small businesses, thereby lowering their administrative costs to comply with the Commission's competitive bidding rules.

151. Prior to the start of bidding in each auction, eligible bidders are given an opportunity to become familiar with auction procedures and the bidding system by participating in a mock auction. Further, the Commission intends to conduct Auctions 101 and 102 electronically over the internet using its web-based auction system that eliminates the need for bidders to be physically present in a specific location. Qualified bidders also have the option to place bids by telephone. These mechanisms are made available to facilitate participation in Auction 101 and Auction 102 by all eligible bidders, and may result in significant cost savings for small business entities who utilize these alternatives. Moreover, the adoption of bidding procedures in advance of the auctions, consistent with statutory directive, is designed to ensure that the auctions will be administered predictably and fairly for all participants, including small businesses.

152. For Auction 101 and Auction 102, the Commission proposes a \$25 million cap on the total amount of bidding credits that may be awarded to an eligible small business and a \$10 million cap on the total amount of bidding credits that may be awarded to a rural service provider in each auction. In addition, the Commission proposes a \$10 million cap on the overall amount of bidding credits that any winning small business bidder in either auction may apply to winning licenses in markets with a population of 500,000 or less. Based on the technical characteristics of the UMFUS bands and its analysis of past auction data, the Commission anticipates that its proposed caps will allow the majority of small businesses in each auction to take full advantage of the bidding credit program, thereby lowering the relative costs of participation for small businesses.

153. These proposed procedures for the conduct of Auctions 101 and 102 constitute the more specific implementation of the competitive bidding rules contemplated by Parts 1 and 30 of the Commission's rules and

the underlying rulemaking orders, including the *Spectrum Frontiers Orders* and relevant competitive bidding orders, and are fully consistent therewith.

#### 6. Federal Rules That May Duplicate, Overlap, or Conflict With the Proposed Rules

154. None.

#### B. Ex Parte Rules

155. This proceeding has been designated as a "permit-but-disclose" proceeding in accordance with the Commission's ex parte rules. Persons making oral ex parte presentations must file a copy of any written presentations or memoranda summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine Period applies). Persons making oral ex parte presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentations must (1) list all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the ex parte presentation was made, and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenter's written comments, memoranda, or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to the Commission staff during ex parte meetings are deemed to be written ex parte presentations and must be filed consistent with rule 1.1206(b). In proceedings governed by rule 1.49(f) or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written ex parte presentations and memoranda summarizing oral ex parte presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission's ex parte rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Marlene Dortch,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2018-09415 Filed 5-3-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Defense Acquisition Regulations System

#### 48 CFR Part 219 and Appendix I to Chapter 2

[Docket DARS-2018-0019]

RIN 0750-AJ25

#### Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Mentor-Protégé Program Modifications (DFARS Case 2017-D016)

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement sections of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017 that provide modifications to the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

**DATES:** Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before July 3, 2018, to be considered in the formation of a final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by DFARS Case 2017-D016, using any of the following methods:

- *Regulations.gov:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by entering "DFARS Case 2017-D016" under the heading "Enter keyword or ID" and selecting "Search." Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "DFARS Case 2017-D016." Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "DFARS Case 2017-D016" on your attached document.

- *Email:* [osd.dfars@mail.mil](mailto:osd.dfars@mail.mil). Include DFARS Case 2017-D016 in the subject line of the message.

- *Fax:* 571-372-6094.

- *Mail:* Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Attn: Ms. Jennifer D. Johnson, OUSD(AT&L)DPAP/DARS, Room 3B941, 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3060.

Comments received generally will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jennifer D. Johnson, telephone 571–372–6100.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

This rule proposes to revise the DFARS to implement section 1823 and paragraph (b) of section 1813 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 (Pub. L. 114–328). Sections 1823 and 1813 provide modifications to the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program (“the Program”). Section 1823 revises the definition and requirements associated with affiliation between mentor firms and their protégé firms. Both sections add new types of assistance for mentor firms to provide to their protégé firms.

**II. Discussion and Analysis**

This rule proposes amendments to DFARS subpart 219.71 and Appendix I as summarized in the following paragraphs:

*A. Subpart 219.71, Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.* Section 219.7100, Scope, is amended to reflect the date of the most recent statutory changes to the Program.

*B. Appendix I, Policy and Procedures for the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.*

- Section I–101, Definitions, is amended to add the definition of “affiliation” provided in section 1823.

- Section I–102, Participant eligibility, is amended to add new paragraph (e), which specifies that a mentor firm may not enter into an agreement with a protégé firm if the Small Business Administration (SBA) has made a determination of affiliation. In addition, paragraph (e) addresses the conditions under which DoD will request a determination from SBA regarding affiliation.

- Section I–106, Development of mentor-protégé agreements, is amended to add women’s business centers under 15 U.S.C. 656 as a form of assistance that a mentor firm can obtain for a protégé firm.

- Section I–107, Elements of a mentor-protégé agreement, is amended to add new paragraph (h), which implements the requirement provided in section 1813 for mentor-protégé agreements to include assistance the mentor firm will provide to the protégé firm in understanding Federal contract regulations, including the FAR and DFARS.

**III. Applicability to Contracts at or Below the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT) and for Commercial Items, Including Commercially Available Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Items**

This rule does not propose to create any new provisions or clauses or impact any existing provisions or clauses.

**IV. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563**

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

**V. Executive Order 13771**

This rule is not subject to E.O. 13771, Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs, because this rule is not a significant regulatory action under E.O. 12866.

**VI. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

DoD does not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, because of the relatively small number of small entities who participate in the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program. However, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis has been performed and is summarized as follows:

This rule proposes to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement section 1823 and paragraph (b) of section 1813 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 (Pub. L. 114–328), which provide modifications to the DoD Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program (“the Program”). Specifically, section 1823 revises the definition and requirements associated with affiliation between mentor firms and their protégé firms. Both sections add new types of assistance for mentors to provide to their protégés.

The objective of this rule is to implement statutory modifications to the Program. The legal basis for the

modifications is sections 1823 and paragraph (b) of section 1813 of the NDAA for FY 2017.

The rule will apply to small entities that participate in the Program. There are currently 85 small entities participating in the Program.

The rule does not impose any reporting or recordkeeping requirements on any small entities.

The rule does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rules.

There are no known, significant, alternative approaches to the proposed rule that would meet the requirements of the applicable statute.

DoD invites comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

DoD will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by this rule in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 610 (DFARS Case 2017–D016), in correspondence.

**VII. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

**List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 219 and Appendix I to Chapter 2**

Government procurement.

**Amy G. Williams,**  
*Deputy, Defense Acquisition Regulations System.*

Therefore, 48 CFR part 219 and appendix I to chapter 2 are proposed to be amended as follows:

- 1. The authority citation for 48 CFR part 219 and appendix I to chapter 2 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 41 U.S.C. 1303 and 48 CFR chapter 1.

**PART 219—SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAMS**

**219.7100 [Amended]**

- 2. Amend section 219.7100 by removing “November 25, 2015” and adding “December 23, 2016” in its place.

- 3. Amend appendix I to chapter 2 as follows:

- a. In section I–101 by—

- i. Redesignating sections I–101.1 through I–101.6 as sections I–101.2 through I–101.7, respectively; and

- ii. Adding new section I–101.1.

- b. In section I–102 by—

- i. Redesignating paragraphs (e) and (f) as paragraphs (f) and (g), respectively;
- ii. Adding new paragraph (e); and
- iii. In newly redesignated paragraph (f), removing “Subpart 9.4” and adding “subpart 9.4” in its place.
- c. In section I-106 by adding paragraph (d)(6)(v).
- d. In section I-107 by—
  - i. Redesignating paragraphs (h) through (o) as paragraphs (i) through (p), respectively; and
  - ii. Adding new paragraph (h).

The additions read as follows:

**Appendix I to Chapter 2—Policy and Procedures for the DoD Pilot Mentor Protégé Program**

\* \* \* \* \*

**I-101.1 Affiliation.**

With respect to a relationship between a mentor firm and a protégé firm, a relationship described under 13 CFR 121.103.

\* \* \* \* \*

**I-102 Participant eligibility.**

(e) A mentor firm may not enter into an agreement with a protégé firm if SBA has made a determination of affiliation. If SBA has not made such a determination and if the DoD Office of Small Business Programs (OSBP) has reason to believe, based on SBA’s regulations regarding affiliation, that the mentor firm is affiliated with the protégé firm, then DoD OSBP will request a determination regarding affiliation from SBA.

\* \* \* \* \*

**I-106 Development of mentor-protégé agreements.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(6) \* \* \*

(v) Women’s business centers described in section 29 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 656).

\* \* \* \* \*

**I-107 Elements of a mentor-protégé agreement.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(h) The assistance the mentor will provide to the protégé firm in understanding Federal contract regulations, including the FAR and DFARS, after award of a subcontract under the Program, if applicable;

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2018-09487 Filed 5-3-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**