

**§ 111.4 [Amended]**

■ 3. Amend § 111.4 by removing “June 23, 2017” and adding “April 25, 2018” in its place.

**Ruth Stevenson,**

*Attorney, Federal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2018–08686 Filed 4–24–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710–12–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 62**

**[EPA–R08–OAR–2017–0698; FRL–9976–58–Region 8]**

**Approval and Promulgation of State Plans for Designated Facilities and Pollutants; North Dakota; Control of Emissions From Existing Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a revised state plan (the “plan”) submitted by the North Dakota Department of Health (the “Department”) for the regulation of existing commercial and industrial solid waste incineration (CISWI) units within the jurisdiction of the State of North Dakota. The Department submitted the plan to the EPA for approval following the promulgation of federal new source performance standards (NSPS) and emission guidelines (EG) for CISWI units on March 21, 2011, and the subsequent, limited revisions to that final rule published on February 7, 2013, and June 23, 2016. This plan approval final rulemaking action is being taken in accordance with sections 111(d) and 129 of the Clean Air Act (CAA, or the “Act”).

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on May 25, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R08–OAR–2017–0698. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact

the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gregory Lohrke, Air Program, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8, Mail Code 8P–AR, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, (303) 312–6396, [lohrke.gregory@epa.gov](mailto:lohrke.gregory@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Background Information**

Sections 111 and 129 of the CAA outline the EPA’s statutory authority for regulating new and existing solid waste incineration units. Section 111(b) directs the EPA Administrator (the “Administrator”) to publish and periodically revise a list of source categories which significantly cause or contribute to air pollution. This subsection also directs the Administrator to establish federal standards of performance for new sources within these categories. Section 111(d) grants the EPA statutory authority to require states to submit to the agency implementation plans for establishing performance standards applicable to existing sources belonging to those categories established in section 111(b). Section 129 of the CAA specifically addresses solid waste combustion and requires that the EPA regulate new and existing waste incineration units pursuant to section 111 of the Act, including the requirement that a state in which existing designated facilities operate, submit for approval, a state plan for each category of regulated waste incineration units. Section 129(b)(3) requires the EPA to promulgate a federal plan for existing waste incineration units of any designated category located in any state which has not submitted an approvable 111(d)/129 state plan for said category of waste incineration units. Such federal plans remain in effect until the state in question submits a new or revised state plan and subsequently receives approval and promulgation of the plan under 40 CFR part 62.

State plan submittals under CAA sections 111(d) and 129 must be consistent with the relevant new or revised EG. Section 129(a)(1)(D) of the Act requires the EPA to develop and periodically revise operating standards for new and existing CISWI units. The original NSPS and EG for CISWI units were promulgated on December 1, 2000, at 40 CFR part 60, subparts CCCC and DDDD, respectively. Revisions to the CISWI NSPS and EG were subsequently promulgated by the EPA on March 21,

2011 (76 FR 15704), with final actions on reconsideration of the rule published on February 7, 2013 (78 FR 9112), and June 23, 2016 (81 FR 40956). State plan requirements specific to CISWI units, along with a model rule to ease adoption of the EG, are found in subpart DDDD, while more general state plan requirements are found in 40 CFR part 60, subpart B, and part 62, subpart A. The guidelines found in subpart DDDD require that states impose emission limits on designated facilities for those pollutants regulated under section 129, including: dioxins/furans, carbon monoxide, metals (cadmium, lead and mercury), hydrogen chloride, sulfur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen, opacity and particulate matter. The EG also requires that state plans include essential elements pursuant to section 129 requirements, including monitoring, operator training and facility permitting requirements.

On June 12, 2014, the Department submitted to the EPA a revised section 111(d)/129 state plan for existing CISWI units in the State of North Dakota. The current state plan received final approval and was promulgated on September 17, 2003 (68 FR 54374), at 40 CFR part 62, subpart JJ. Pursuant to each state’s obligations following the revision of the CISWI rule, the State of North Dakota has revised their state rulemaking, and has submitted a revised state plan document as well as a demonstration of legal and enforcement authority to comply with CAA section 111/129 requirements.

**II. Summary of North Dakota’s Revised Section 111(d)/129 Plan for Existing CISWI Units**

The EPA has completed a review of the revised North Dakota section 111(d)/129 state plan for existing CISWI units. The EPA has determined that the plan submittal meets the requirements found in 40 CFR part 60, subparts B and DDDD, and those of part 62, subpart A of that title. Accordingly, the EPA is approving the submitted revised plan as proposed. See 83 FR 3656 (January 26, 2018). The EPA’s final approval action is limited to the revised North Dakota CISWI state plan document, submitted to the EPA on June 12, 2014, and the subpart DDDD “Model Rule” as it was incorporated by the State in the North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) at Chapter 33–15–12–02, subpart DDDD. A detailed summary of the submittal’s compliance with the EG and other federal regulatory requirements is available in the technical support document (TSD) associated with this rulemaking action. The TSD is available in the docket for this rulemaking action

and may be found at the <http://www.regulations.gov> website.

### III. Response to Public Comments

This rule will be finalized as proposed without revisions. The EPA received five anonymous public comments on the proposed approval of the revised North Dakota CISWI state plan. After reviewing the comments, the EPA has determined that the comments are outside the scope of our proposed action or fail to identify any material issue necessitating a response. All public comments received on this rulemaking action are available for review by the public and may be viewed by following the instructions for access to docket materials as outlined in the **ADDRESSES** section of the preamble.

### IV. Final Action

The EPA is approving North Dakota's amended section 111(d)/129 state plan for existing CISWI units. The North Dakota state plan requirements being approved today are at least as stringent as the requirements for existing CISWI units found in 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD. Therefore, the EPA is amending 40 CFR part 62, subpart JJ to reflect the approved revisions to North Dakota's previously approved, current CISWI state plan. The EPA is limiting the scope of the plan approval to the provisions of 40 CFR parts 60 and 62 for existing CISWI units, as found in the EG at 40 CFR part 60, subpart DDDD. The Administrator retains the authorities as listed under 40 CFR 60.2542 and 60.2030(c).

### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a section 111(d)/129 plan submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. Thus, in reviewing section 111(d)/129 plan submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA and are not specifically disapproved. Accordingly, this action merely finalizes approval of state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Is not expected to be an Executive Order 13771 regulatory action because

this action is not significant under Executive Order 12866;

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and,

- Is not subject to Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) because it does not establish an environmental health or safety standard.

In addition, this final rule is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. The EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a

"major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by *June 25, 2018*. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See CAA section 307(b)(2).)

### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Commercial and industrial solid waste incineration, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: April 13, 2018.

**Douglas Benevento,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA amends 40 CFR part 62 as set forth below:

### PART 62—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF STATE PLANS FOR DESIGNATED FACILITIES AND POLLUTANTS

- 1. The authority citation for part 62 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart JJ—North Dakota

- 2. Subpart JJ is amended by revising §§ 62.8630, 62.8631 and 62.8632 to read as follows:

#### § 62.8630 Identification of plan.

North Dakota "Amended Section 111(d)/129 Plan for Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration Units," and the associated State regulation as it is incorporated in the North Dakota Administrative Code under the State's Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources, Chapter 33-15-12-02, subpart DDDD. The plan and associated regulation were submitted by the State on June 12, 2014.

#### § 62.8631 Identification of sources.

The amended plan applies to each existing commercial and industrial solid waste incinerator unit and air curtain incinerator in the State of North Dakota that commenced construction on or before June 4, 2010, or commenced modification or reconstruction after

June 4, 2010, but no later than August 7, 2013, as such incinerator units are defined in § 60.2875 of 40 CFR part 60. The plan applies only to units not exempt under the conditions of § 60.2555 of that part.

#### § 62.8632 Effective date.

The federally enforceable effective date of the amended section 111(d)/129 plan for commercial and industrial solid waste incineration units is May 25, 2018.

[FR Doc. 2018–08621 Filed 4–24–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2016–0661; FRL–9974–42]

#### Chlormequat Chloride; Pesticide Tolerances

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes tolerances for residues of chlormequat chloride in or on multiple commodities which are identified and discussed later in this document. Taminco US LLC, a subsidiary of Eastman Chemical Company requested these tolerances under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA).

**DATES:** This regulation is effective April 25, 2018. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before June 25, 2018, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2016–0661, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Goodis, Registration Division

(7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: [RDfRNtices@epa.gov](mailto:RDfRNtices@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

###### B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of EPA's tolerance regulations at 40 CFR part 180 through the Government Printing Office's e-CFR site at [http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?&c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40tab\\_02.tpl](http://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?&c=ecfr&tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title40/40tab_02.tpl).

###### C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA–HQ–OPP–2016–0661 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing, and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before June 25, 2018. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b).

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be

disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA–HQ–OPP–2016–0661, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460–0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

##### II. Summary of Petitioned-For Tolerance

In the **Federal Register** of February 7, 2017 (82 FR 9555) (FRL–9956–86), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP 6E8495) by Taminco US LLC, a subsidiary of Eastman Chemical Company, Two Windsor Plaza, Suite 400, 7540 Windsor Dr., Allentown, PA 18195. The petition requested that 40 CFR part 180 be amended by establishing tolerances for residues of the plant regulator chlormequat chloride in or on barley grain at 3 parts per million (ppm); bovine, sheep, goat-fat at 0.06 ppm; bovine, sheep, goat-kidney at 0.5 ppm; bovine, sheep, goat-liver at 0.15 ppm; bovine, sheep, goat-muscle at 0.2 ppm; cattle-milk at 0.5 ppm; eggs at 0.1 ppm; oat grain at 15 ppm; poultry-fat at 0.03 ppm; poultry-liver at 0.1 ppm; poultry-muscle at 0.04 ppm; swine-fat at 0.02 ppm; swine-kidney at 0.5 ppm; swine-liver at 0.15 ppm; swine-muscle at 0.2 ppm; and wheat grain at 4 ppm. That document referenced a summary of the petition prepared by Taminco US LLC, the registrant, which is available in the docket, <http://www.regulations.gov>. Comments were received on the notice of filing. EPA's response to these comments is discussed in Unit IV.C.

Based upon review of the data supporting the petition, EPA has modified the levels at which some of the tolerances are being established as well as the commodities for which tolerances are being established. The reasons for