

proposed financial data. This data collected is a requirement for the BIA IHSP to fulfil the data obligations of 23 CFR 1300.11 and will be used for review and consideration by the IHSP Selection Committee for consideration of grant awards.

Title of Collection: Indian Highway Safety Grants.

OMB Control Number: 1076–NEW.

Form Number: None.

Type of Review: New.

Respondents/Affected Public: Tribal governments.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Respondents: 80.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 80.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Varies from 1 hours to 4 hours, depending on availability of tribal statistical and financial data.

Total Estimated Number of Annual Burden Hours: 160, on average.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: Annually if elect to apply for the grant(s).

Total Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: None.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Dated: March 14, 2018.

Elizabeth K. Appel,

Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2018–05747 Filed 3–20–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4337–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS–WASO–NRNHL–25172;
PPWOCRADIO, PCU00RP14.R50000]

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations and Related Actions

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service is soliciting comments on the significance of properties nominated before March 3, 2018, for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places.

DATES: Comments should be submitted by April 5, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be sent via U.S. Postal Service and all other carriers

to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St. NW, MS 7228, Washington, DC 20240.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The properties listed in this notice are being considered for listing or related actions in the National Register of Historic Places. Nominations for their consideration were received by the National Park Service before March 3, 2018. Pursuant to Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60, written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Nominations submitted by State Historic Preservation Officers:

COLORADO

Rio Blanco County

Meeker Historic District, Roughly bounded by Main, 4th & 8th Sts. & Park Ave., Meeker, SG100002306

KANSAS

Ellis County

Pawnee Tipi Ring Site and Golden Spring Beach, Address Restricted, Hays vicinity, SG100002307

MARYLAND

Frederick County

Rosenstock Village Site, Address Restricted, Frederick vicinity, SG100002308

MONTANA

Park County

Livingston Memorial Hospital, 504 S. 13th St., Livingston, SG100002309

VERMONT

Chittenden County

District No.5 Schoolhouse, (Educational Resources of Vermont MPS), 32 Pleasant Valley Rd., Underhill, MP100002311

WISCONSIN

Brown County

Miramar Drive Residential Historic District, Generally bounded by N & S sides of Miramar Dr. between Riverside Dr. & Nelson Ct., Allouez, SG100002312

Additional documentation has been received for the following resource:

NORTH CAROLINA

Chatham County

Pittsboro Historic District, (Pittsboro MRA), Roughly bounded by Chatham St., Small St., Rectory St., and Launis St., Pittsboro, AD00000442

Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR part 60.

Dated: March 5, 2018.

Christopher Hetzel,

Acting Chief, National Register of Historic Places/National Historic Landmarks Program.

[FR Doc. 2018–05646 Filed 3–20–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 337–TA–1004 and 337–TA–990 (consolidated)]

Certain Mobile and Portable Electronic Devices Incorporating Haptics (Including Smartphones and Laptops) and Components Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 75), granting a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on

this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted Investigation No. 337-TA-990 on March 18, 2016, based on a complaint filed by Immersion Corporation of San Jose, California ("Immersion"). 81 FR 14889 (Mar. 18, 2016). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile electronic devices incorporating haptics (including smartphones and smartwatches) and components thereof, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos.: 8,773,356; 8,619,051; and 8,659,571. The notice of investigation named as respondents Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California ("Apple"); AT&T Inc. of Dallas, Texas ("AT&T Inc."); and AT&T Mobility LLC of Atlanta, Georgia ("AT&T Mobility"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party. On May 4, 2016, the Commission issued a notice determining not to review the ALJ's ID terminating the investigation as to respondent AT&T Inc. based upon withdrawal of the complaint.

The Commission instituted Investigation No. 337-TA-1004 on June 9, 2016, based upon another complaint filed by Immersion, alleging a violation of section 337 by Apple and AT&T Mobility by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos.: 8,749,507; 7,808,488; 7,336,260; and 8,581,710. 81 FR 37210 (June 9, 2016). The notice of investigation authorized the Chief Administrative Law Judge to consolidate Investigation Nos. 337-TA-990 and 337-TA-1004 if he deemed it appropriate. *Id.* at 37211. Thereafter, the Chief Administrative Law Judge determined to consolidate the two investigations. Order No. 3, Inv. No. 337-TA-1004 (June 9, 2016).

On February 9, 2018, the parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement reached between Immersion and Apple that resolves the dispute in this investigation. On February 16, 2018, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 75), granting the motion. The ALJ found that the motion complies with Commission Rules and termination of the investigation will not adversely affect the public interest. No petition for review was filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section

337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 15, 2018.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-05637 Filed 3-20-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1104 (Second Review)]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission ("Commission") determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on September 1, 2017 (82 FR 41654) and determined on December 5, 2017 that it would conduct an expedited review (83 FR 394, January 3, 2018).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on March 15, 2018. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4767 (March 2018), entitled *Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-1104 (Second Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 15, 2018.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2018-05695 Filed 3-20-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Keith F. Ostrosky, D.D.S.; Dismissal of Proceeding

On August 30, 2017, the Acting Assistant Administrator, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Keith F. Ostrosky, D.D.S., of South St. Paul, Minnesota (hereinafter, Registrant).¹ GX 2. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Registrant's Certificate of Registration on the ground that he does not "have . . . state authority to handle controlled substances." *Id.* at 1.

As to the jurisdictional basis of the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that Registrant is registered as a practitioner in schedules II through V under Certificate of Registration No. BO1259983, at the registered location of 351 15th Ave. N., South St. Paul, Minnesota. The Order further alleged that this Registration was due to expire on December 31, 2017. *Id.*

As to the substantive basis for the proceeding, the Show Cause Order alleged that "[o]n February 3, 2017, the Minnesota Board of Dentistry issued a Stipulation and Order," pursuant to which the Board accepted Registrant's voluntary surrender of his license to practice dentistry in the State of Minnesota. *Id.* The Show Cause Order thus alleged that Registrant is "currently without authority to practice dentistry or handle controlled substances in the State of Minnesota, the [S]tate in which [he is] registered with the DEA," and that as a consequence, his registration is subject to revocation. *Id.* at 1-2.

The Show Cause Order notified Registrant of his right to request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement of position while waiving his right to a hearing, the procedure for electing either option, and the consequence of failing to elect either option. *Id.* at 2. The Show Cause Order also notified Registrant of his right to submit a Corrective Action Plan pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 824(c)(2)(C). *Id.* at 2-3.

On September 9, 2017, the Government accomplished service of the Show Cause Order by certified mail, as evidenced by the signed Return Receipt Card. GX 4. On November 7, 2017, the Government submitted a Request for Final Agency Action (RFAA). Therein, the Government represents that Registrant did not

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

¹ While for reasons explained in this Decision, Registrant is now an Ex-Registrant, I refer to him as Registrant throughout this Decision.