

Intracoastal Waterway (Halifax River), mile 816.0, at Ormond Beach, FL.

The deviation is necessary to accommodate the replacement of trunnion bearings for the west bascule leaf. This deviation allows the bridge single-leaf operations, reducing the horizontal clearance to 45 feet.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective without actual notice from January 19, 2018 through 7 p.m. on February 20, 2018. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from 7 a.m. on January 16, 2018, until January 19, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this deviation, USCG–2018–0019, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email MST3 Rory Boyle, Coast Guard Sector Jacksonville, Waterways Management Division, telephone 904–714–7648, email [Rory.C.Boyle@uscg.mil](mailto:Rory.C.Boyle@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The owner of the bridge, Volusia County, Florida, requested a temporary deviation. The trunnion bearings on the west bascule leaf are damaged. Replacement requires jacking the bascule leaf to remove and install new bearings.

The Highbridge Road (Knox) Bridge across the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (Halifax River), mile 816.0, at Ormond Beach, Florida is a double-leaf bascule bridge with a vertical clearance of 11 feet at mean high water in the closed position and a horizontal clearance of 91 feet between fenders. The existing bridge operating schedule is published in 33 CFR 117.5.

This temporary deviation allows the bridge single-leaf operations from 7 a.m. on January 16, 2018 through 7 p.m. on February 20, 2018. This temporary deviation will reduce the horizontal clearance to 45 feet through the east bascule span. The waterway is used by a variety of vessels including U.S. government vessels, small commercial vessels, recreational vessels and tugs and barge traffic. Due to the mechanical issues, the bridge has operated on single-leaf operations with a double-leaf opening upon request. Vessels able to pass through the bridge in the closed position may do so at any time. The bridge will not be able to provide a double-leaf opening for emergencies and there is no immediate alternate route for vessels to pass.

The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterways through our

Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operators can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: January 16, 2018.

**Barry L. Dragon,**

*Director, Bridge Branch, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2018–00937 Filed 1–18–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Royalty Board

#### 37 CFR Part 381

[Docket No. 16–CRB–0002–PBR (2018–2022)]

#### Determination of Rates and Terms for Public Broadcasting (PB III)

**AGENCY:** Copyright Royalty Board (CRB), Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Royalty Judges publish this final rule regarding rates and terms for use of certain works in connection with noncommercial broadcasting for the period commencing January 1, 2018, and ending on December 31, 2022.

**DATES:**

*Effective date:* This rule is effective on January 19, 2018.

*Applicability dates:* This rule applies to the license period January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** *Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to eCRB, the Copyright Royalty Board’s electronic filing and case management system, at <https://app.crb.gov/> and search for docket number 16–CRB–0002–PBR (2018–2022). For documents not yet uploaded to eCRB (because it is a new system), go to the agency website at <https://www.crb.gov/> or contact the CRB Program Specialist.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anita Blaine, CRB Program Specialist, by telephone at (202) 707–7658 or email at [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

Section 118 of the Copyright Act, title 17 of the United States Code, establishes a statutory license for the use of certain copyrighted works in connection with noncommercial television and radio broadcasting. Chapter 8 of the Copyright Act requires the Copyright Royalty Judges (“Judges”) to conduct proceedings every five years to determine the rates and terms for the section 118 license. 17 U.S.C. 801(b)(1), 804(b)(6). In accordance with section 804(b)(6), on January 5, 2016, the Judges commenced the proceeding to set rates and terms for the period 2018 through 2022. 77 FR 71104.

Copyright owners and public broadcasting entities<sup>1</sup> may negotiate rates and terms under the section 118 license for categories of copyrighted works and uses that would be binding on all owners and entities using the same license<sup>2</sup> and submit them to the Judges for approval. 17 U.S.C. 801(b)(7)(A). The participants<sup>3</sup> in the proceeding settled and submitted to the Judges proposed rates for the relevant categories and uses, which the Judges published in the **Federal Register** for comment on November 3, 2017. 82 FR 51589.

The Judges received two comments, a joint comment from participants ASCAP, BMI, NPR, PBS, and SESAC, and a comment from non-participant Global Music Rights, LLC (“GMR”).<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A “public broadcasting entity” is defined as a “noncommercial educational broadcast station as defined in section 397 of title 47 and any nonprofit institution or organization engaged in the activities described in paragraph (2) of subsection (c)” of section 118. 17 U.S.C. 118(f).

<sup>2</sup> Copyright owners may negotiate rates and terms with specific public broadcasting entities for the use of all of the copyright owners’ works covered by the Section 118 license. Section 118(b)(2) provides that such license agreements “shall be given effect in lieu of any determination by the \* \* \* Copyright Royalty Judges,” provided that copies of the agreement are submitted to the Judges “within 30 days of execution.” 17 U.S.C. 118(b)(2). The Judges received three such agreements (from BMI, ASCAP, and SESAC).

<sup>3</sup> The Judges received settlement proposals from the following active participants: The American Society of Authors, Composers and Publishers (“ASCAP”); SESAC, Inc.; Broadcast Music, Inc. (“BMI”); Educational Media Foundation (“EMF”); National Public Radio (“NPR”) and the Public Broadcasting Service (“PBS”), jointly; National Religious Broadcasters Noncommercial Music License Committee (“NRBNMLC”); the National Music Publishers’ Association (“NMPA”), The Harry Fox Agency (“HFA”), National Association of College and University Business Officers (“NACUBO”). The remaining active participant, Church Music Publishers Association (“CMPA”), approved the four joint proposals involving ASCAP/BMI/SESAC/HFA and NMPA and NRBNMLC/EMF.

<sup>4</sup> The Judges correct one error in the proposed regulatory text published in the proposed rule.

Continued

The joint comment from participants proposed a revision to § 381.4(b) to conform it to §§ 381.5 and 381.6 by making explicit that the rates only apply to compositions not in the repertories of ASCAP, BMI, and SESAC.<sup>5</sup> This change would ensure that, were a voluntary agreement to terminate within the license period, the statutory rate would not apply to compositions in the repertories of ASCAP, BMI, and SESAC. The Judges find the proposed revision is too late and they reject it for several reasons, including:

1. The proposed language was not sought by any party before the proposed regulations were published for public comment.
2. The proposed language is not in the current regulations.
3. The proposed regulation includes a rate for licenses that are not subject to a voluntary, negotiated agreement.
4. Extension, renewal, or renegotiation of any negotiated agreement to avoid the statutory rate is within the control of ASCAP, BMI, and SESAC.

Notwithstanding the agreement of all parties who allegedly might be affected by this late-proposed change, making this change would alter the proposed regulation without affording interested parties an opportunity for review and comment or objection.

The comment from GMR raised two concerns. GMR objects to a decrease in the § 381.4 rate for non-participants and requests the Judges keep the current rates and add a one-time cost of living adjustment. It also objects to leaving the §§ 381.5 and 381.6 rates for non-participants at the current level and requests the Judges revise it to match the increase in the SESAC rate.

GMR did not file a Petition to Participate in the proceeding. It is allowed to comment, but the Judges need not accept its comments as an “objection” to be weighed. The Judges respectfully acknowledge GMR’s

concerns, but those concerns cannot be a basis for the Judges to find that there is a reasonable objection to adoption of the rules. The Judges’ ability to reject an agreement on the reasonableness of the rates and terms proposed therein is constrained by statute. Specifically, section 801(b)(7)(A)(ii) directs the Judges to adopt proposed agreed rates and terms unless a participant to the proceeding objects.<sup>6</sup> The entity objecting to the proposed rates and terms at issue, GMR, did not file a timely petition to participate in this proceeding, and it does not qualify as a participant to the proceeding.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, having received no objections to the reasonableness of the proposed rates and terms from a participant in this proceeding, the Copyright Royalty Judges adopt with one minor revision the final regulations as published on November 3, 2017, which set the rates and terms for the section 118 statutory license for the period 2018 through 2022.

**List of Subjects in 37 CFR Part 381**

Copyright, Music, Radio, Television, Rates.

**Final Regulations**

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Copyright Royalty Judges amend part 381 to chapter III of title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below:

**PART 381—USE OF CERTAIN COPYRIGHTED WORKS IN CONNECTION WITH NONCOMMERCIAL EDUCATIONAL BROADCASTING**

- 1. The authority citation for part 381 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 17 U.S.C. 118, 801(b)(1) and 803.

**§ 381.1 [Amended]**

- 2. In § 381.1, remove “2013” and in its place add “2018” and remove “2017” and in its place add “2022”.
- 3. Amend § 381.4 as follows:
  - a. Remove the introductory text;
  - b. Add introductory text to paragraph (a);
  - c. In paragraph (c), remove “2013” and in its place add “2018” and remove “2017” and in its place add “2022”; and
  - d. Remove paragraph (d).

The revision reads as follows:

**§ 381.4 Performance of musical compositions by PBS, NPR and other public broadcasting entities engaged in the activities set forth in 17 U.S.C. 118(c).**

(a) *Determination of royalty rate.* The following rates and terms shall apply to the performance by PBS, NPR and other public broadcasting entities engaged in activities set forth in 17 U.S.C. 118(c) of copyrighted published nondramatic musical compositions, except for public broadcasting entities covered by §§ 381.5 and 381.6, and except for compositions which are the subject of voluntary license agreements: The royalty shall be \$1.

\* \* \* \* \*

- 4. Amend § 381.5 by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

**§ 381.5 Performance of musical compositions by public broadcasting entities licensed to colleges and universities.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) *Royalty rate.* A public broadcasting entity within the scope of this section may perform published nondramatic musical compositions subject to the following schedule of royalty rates:

- (1) For all such compositions in the repertory of ASCAP, the royalty rates shall be as follows:
  - (i) *Music fees.*

	Number of full-time students	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1 .....	<1,000 .....	\$352	\$359	\$366	\$373	\$380
Level 2 .....	1,000–4,999 .....	407	415	423	431	440
Level 3 .....	5,000–9,999 .....	557	568	579	591	603
Level 4 .....	10,000–19,999 .....	722	736	751	766	781
Level 5 .....	20,000+ .....	908	926	945	964	983

They remove the preface after the heading of 381.4 because that language (with proposed revisions) is now in subparagraph (a).

<sup>5</sup> In the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION provided in the Proposed Rule relating to this license, the Judges noted that “NPR and PBS filed proposed changes . . . in § 381.4 . . . [which] conform to analogous changes in §§ 381.5 and 381.6.” 82 FR at 51591 (Nov. 7, 2017). See Submission of NPR and PBS (Oct. 25, 2017). The conforming changes were

those establishing the \$1.00 backstop rate. None of the participants sought the limitation language they now urge.

<sup>6</sup> The Register of Copyrights has opined that the statutory direction does not imply or require that the Judges must adopt proposed regulations that are inherently contrary to law. See 78 FR 47421.

<sup>7</sup> In the Cable Sports Rule proceeding, docket number 15–CRB–0010–CA–S (Sports Rule

Proceeding), the Judges gave the comments of non-participant Major League Soccer (“MLS”) more consideration by soliciting reply comments because the settlement in that proceeding excluded MLS from any royalty consideration. 82 FR 44368. In this proceeding, the settling parties proposed a rate for non-settling entities that would cover non-participant GMR.

(ii) Level 1 rates as set forth in paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section, shall also apply to College Radio Stations with an authorized effective radiated power (ERP), as that term is defined in

47 CFR 73.310(a), of 100 Watts or less, as specified on its current FCC license, regardless of the size of the student population.

(2) For all such compositions in the repertory of BMI, the royalty rates shall be as follows:

(i) *Music fees.*

	Number of full-time students	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1 .....	<1,000 .....	\$352	\$359	\$366	\$373	\$380
Level 2 .....	1,000–4,999 .....	407	415	423	431	440
Level 3 .....	5,000–9,999 .....	557	568	579	591	603
Level 4 .....	10,000–19,999 .....	722	736	751	766	781
Level 5 .....	20,000+ .....	908	926	945	964	983

(ii) Level 1 rates, as set forth in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section, shall also apply to College Radio Stations with an authorized effective radiated power (ERP), as that term is defined in 47 CFR 73.310(a), of 100 Watts or less, as specified on its current FCC license, regardless of the size of the student population.

(3) For all such compositions in the repertory of SESAC, the royalty rates shall be as follows:

(i) 2018: The 2017 rate, subject to an annual cost of living adjustment in accordance with paragraph (c)(3)(vi) of this section.

(ii) 2019: The 2018 rate, subject to an annual cost of living adjustment in accordance with paragraph (c)(3)(vi) of this section.

(iii) 2020: The 2019 rate, subject to an annual cost of living adjustment in accordance with paragraph (c)(3)(vi) of this section.

(iv) 2021: The 2020 rate, subject to an annual cost of living adjustment in accordance with paragraph (c)(3)(vi) of this section.

(v) 2022: The 2021 rate, subject to an annual cost of living adjustment in accordance with paragraph (c)(3)(vi) of this section.

(vi) Such cost of living adjustment to be made in accordance with the greater of:

(A) The change, if any, in the Consumer Price Index (all consumers, all items) published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics during the twelve (12) month period from the most recent Index, published before December 1 of the year immediately prior to the applicable year; or

(B) One and one-half percent (1.5%).

(4) For the performance of any other such compositions: \$1.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 5. Amend § 381.6 as follows:

■ a. Remove from the first sentence of paragraph (a) the words “which are”; and

■ b. Revise paragraph (d).

The revision reads as follows:

**§ 381.6 Performance of musical compositions by other public broadcasting entities.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) *Royalty rate.* A public broadcasting entity within the scope of this section may perform published nondramatic musical compositions subject to the following schedule of royalty rates:

(1) For all such compositions in the repertory of ASCAP, the royalty rates shall be as follows:

(i) Music Fees (Stations with 20% or more programming containing Feature Music):

	Population count	Calendar years				
		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1 .....	0–249,999 .....	\$697	\$711	\$725	\$739	\$754
Level 2 .....	250,000–499,999 .....	1,243	1,268	1,294	1,319	1,346
Level 3 .....	500,000–999,999 .....	1,864	1,901	1,939	1,978	2,017
Level 4 .....	1,000,000–1,499,999 .....	2,486	2,535	2,586	2,638	2,691
Level 5 .....	1,500,000–1,999,999 .....	3,107	3,169	3,232	3,297	3,363
Level 6 .....	2,000,000–2,499,999 .....	3,728	3,803	3,879	3,956	4,035
Level 7 .....	2,500,000–2,999,999 .....	4,349	4,436	4,525	4,615	4,708
Level 8 .....	3,000,000 and above .....	6,214	6,338	6,465	6,594	6,726

(ii) Talk Format Station Fees (Stations with <20% Feature Music programming):

	Population count	Calendar years				
		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1 .....	0–249,999 .....	\$697	\$711	\$725	\$739	\$754
Level 2 .....	250,000–499,999 .....	697	711	725	739	754
Level 3 .....	500,000–999,999 .....	697	711	725	739	754
Level 4 .....	1,000,000–1,499,999 .....	870	887	905	923	942
Level 5 .....	1,500,000–1,999,999 .....	1,087	1,109	1,131	1,154	1,177
Level 6 .....	2,000,000–2,499,999 .....	1,305	1,331	1,357	1,384	1,412
Level 7 .....	2,500,000–2,999,999 .....	1,522	1,552	1,583	1,615	1,647
Level 8 .....	3,000,000 and above .....	2,175	2,218	2,262	2,308	2,354

(2) For all such compositions in the repertory of BMI, the royalty rates shall be as follows:

(i) Music Fees (Stations with 20% or more programming containing Feature Music):

	Population count	Calendar years				
		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1	0–249,999	\$697	\$711	\$725	\$739	\$754
Level 2	250,000–499,999	1,243	1,268	1,294	1,319	1,346
Level 3	500,000–999,999	1,864	1,901	1,939	1,978	2,017
Level 4	1,000,000–1,499,999	2,486	2,535	2,586	2,638	2,691
Level 5	1,500,000–1,999,999	3,107	3,169	3,232	3,297	3,363
Level 6	2,000,000–2,499,999	3,728	3,803	3,879	3,956	4,035
Level 7	2,500,000–2,999,999	4,349	4,436	4,525	4,615	4,708
Level 8	3,000,000 and above	6,214	6,338	6,465	6,594	6,726

(ii) Talk Format Station Fees (Stations with <20% Feature Music programming):

	Population count	Calendar years				
		2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1	0–249,999	\$697	\$711	\$725	\$739	\$754
Level 2	250,000–499,999	697	711	725	739	754
Level 3	500,000–999,999	697	711	725	739	754
Level 4	1,000,000–1,499,999	870	887	905	923	942
Level 5	1,500,000–1,999,999	1,087	1,109	1,131	1,154	1,177
Level 6	2,000,000–2,499,999	1,305	1,331	1,357	1,384	1,412
Level 7	2,500,000–2,999,999	1,522	1,552	1,583	1,615	1,647
Level 8	3,000,000 and above	2,175	2,218	2,262	2,308	2,354

(3) For all such compositions in the repertory of SESAC, the royalty rates shall be as follows:

(i) Music fees for stations with > = 20% Feature Music programming:

	Population count	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1	0–249,999	\$152	\$155	\$158	\$161	\$164
Level 2	250,000–499,999	253	258	263	268	274
Level 3	500,000–999,999	380	388	396	403	411
Level 4	1,000,000–1,499,999	507	517	527	538	548
Level 5	1,500,000–1,999,999	634	647	660	673	686
Level 6	2,000,000–2,499,999	760	775	790	806	822
Level 7	2,500,000–2,999,999	887	905	923	941	960
Level 8	3,000,000 and above	1,268	1,293	1,318	1,344	1,371

(ii) Talk fees for stations with <20% Feature Music programming:

	Population count	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Level 1	0–249,999	\$152	\$155	\$158	\$161	\$164
Level 2	250,000–499,999	152	155	158	161	164
Level 3	500,000–999,999	152	155	158	161	164
Level 4	1,000,000–1,499,999	177	181	185	188	192
Level 5	1,500,000–1,999,999	222	227	231	236	240
Level 6	2,000,000–2,499,999	266	271	277	282	288
Level 7	2,500,000–2,999,999	311	317	323	330	336
Level 8	3,000,000 and above	444	452	461	470	480

(4) For the performance of any other such compositions, in 2018 through 2022, \$1.

\* \* \* \* \*

■ 6. Amend § 381.7 as follows:

■ a. Revise paragraphs (b)(1)(i)(A) through (D) and (b)(1)(ii)(A) through (D);

■ b. Revise paragraph (b)(2)(i) through (iv); and

■ c. Revise paragraph (b)(4)(i) through (iii).

The revisions read as follows:

**§ 381.7 Recording rights, rates and terms.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (b) \* \* \*  
 (1)(i) \* \* \*

	2018–2022
(A) Feature .....	\$118.70
(B) Concert feature (per minute) .....	35.65
(C) Background .....	59.99
(D) Theme:	
(1) Single program or first series program .....	59.99
(2) Other series program .....	24.36

(ii) \* \* \*

	2018–2022
(A) Feature .....	\$9.81
(B) Concert feature (per minute) .....	2.58
(C) Background .....	4.26
(D) Theme:	
(1) Single program or first series program .....	4.26
(2) Other series program .....	1.69

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (2) \* \* \*

	2018–2022
(i) Feature .....	\$12.85
(ii) Concert feature (per minute) .....	18.86
(iii) Background .....	6.44
(iv) Theme:	
(A) Single program or first series program .....	6.44
(B) Other series program .....	2.57

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (4) \* \* \*

	2018–2022
(i) Feature .....	\$ .81
(ii) Feature (concert) (per half hour) .....	1.69
(iii) Background .....	.41

\* \* \* \* \*  
**■ 7. Amend § 381.10 as follows:**  
**■ a.** In paragraph (a), remove “2013” everywhere it appears and in its place add “2018” and remove “2012” and in its place add “2017”; and  
**■ b.** Revise paragraph (b).  
 The revision reads as follows:

**§ 381.10 Cost of living adjustment.**

\* \* \* \* \*  
 (b) On the same date of the notices published pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, the Copyright Royalty Judges shall publish in the **Federal Register** a revised schedule of the rates for § 381.5(c)(3), the rate to be charged for compositions in the repertory of SESAC, which shall adjust the royalty amounts established in a dollar amount according to the greater of:

(1) The change in the cost of living determined as provided in paragraph (a) of this section; or  
 (2) One-and-a-half percent (1.5%).  
 (3) Such royalty rates shall be fixed at the nearest dollar.  
 \* \* \* \* \*

Dated: December 12, 2017.  
**Suzanne M. Barnett,**  
*Chief U.S. Copyright Royalty Judge.*  
**Jesse M. Feder,**  
*U.S. Copyright Royalty Judge.*  
**David R. Strickler,**  
*U.S. Copyright Royalty Judge.*  
**Carla D. Hayden,**  
*Librarian of Congress.*  
 [FR Doc. 2018–00735 Filed 1–18–18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1410–72–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Children and Families**

**45 CFR Part 1302**

**RIN 0970–AC63**

**Secretarial Determination To Lower Head Start Center-Based Service Duration Requirement**

**AGENCY:** Office of Head Start (OHS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

**ACTION:** Secretarial determination on Head Start center-based service duration requirements; waiver.

**SUMMARY:** With this document, the Secretary exercises his authority to waive the August 1, 2019 Head Start center-based service duration requirements, effectively lowering this requirement from 50 percent to 0 percent. However, the requirement that Early Head Start programs provide 1,380 annual hours of planned class operations for all center-based enrollment by August 1, 2018 remains in effect.

**DATES:** This waiver is effective January 19, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Office of Head Start, Mary Switzer Bldg., 330 C Street SW, Washington, DC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Colleen Rathgeb, Division Director for Planning, Oversight and Policy, Office Head Start, *OHS\_duration@acf.hhs.gov*, (202) 358–3263 (not a toll-free call). Deaf and hearing impaired individuals may call the Federal Dual Party Relay Service at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 7 p.m. Eastern Standard Time.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background Information**

In the Improving Head Start for School Readiness Act of 2007, Congress instructed the Office of Head Start to update its Head Start Program Performance Standards (HSPPS) by regulation and ensure that any such revisions in the standards do not result in the elimination of or any reduction in the quality, scope, or types of health, educational, parental involvement, nutritional, social, or other social services. We published a final rule to complete this revision at 45 CFR chapter XIII, subchapter B, on September 6, 2016. This final rule included a provision at § 1302.21(c)(2)(iii) that would require each Head Start center-based program, by August 1, 2019, to provide 1,020 annual hours of planned class operations over the course of at least eight months per year for at least 50 percent of its Head Start center-based funded enrollment. This requirement represents an increase from the existing minimum requirement of 3.5 hours per day, 4 days per week, for 128 days per year, which is equivalent to 448 annual hours. The longer 1,020 annual hour service duration requirement was based on a body of research that suggests individual disadvantaged children benefit from longer exposure to enriching early learning programs than what is provided by the part-day, part-year programs. Research on full-day programs, instructional time, summer learning loss, and attendance all indicate longer service duration is linked with improved child outcomes. Moreover, increased service duration allows teachers more time to provide individualized and content-rich learning that is important for positive child outcomes. However, the research does not provide clarity on an exact threshold or combination of hours and days needed to achieve positive child outcomes.

We also recognize extended services come at a significant cost for Head Start programs. Without additional funding from Congress to support longer hours of program operations, a requirement to increase service duration so that 50 percent of Head Start center-based slots in each program operate for 1,020 annual hours would result in the Head Start program serving significantly fewer children. Although research points to the benefits of increased service duration for an individual child, research has not answered whether the population as a whole benefits more when fewer children are served for a longer time as compared to more children being served for a shorter time.