UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION

UPPER GREAT PLAINS REGION PICK-SLOAN MISSOURI BASIN PROGRAM—EASTERN DIVISION SALE OF SURPLUS PRODUCTS

(Approved Under Rate Order No. WAPA–180)

Effective:

The first day of the first full billing period beginning on or after January 1, 2018, through December 31, 2022, or until superseded by another rate schedule, whichever occurs earlier.

Applicable:

This rate schedule applies to Eastern Division of the Pick-Sloan Missouri Basin Program marketing and is applicable to the sale of the following P-SMBP—ED surplus energy and capacity products; energy, frequency response, regulation, and reserves. If any P-SMBP—ED surplus energy and capacity products are available, UGP can make the product(s) available for sale, providing entities enter into a separate agreement(s) with UGP Marketing Office which will specify the terms of sale(s).

Formula Rate:

The charge for each product is determined at the time of the sale based on market rates, plus administrative costs. The customer will be responsible for acquiring transmission services necessary to deliver the product(s), for which a separate charge may be incurred.

[FR Doc. 2017–26376 Filed 12–6–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9970-84-Region 6]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petitions for Objection to State Operating Permit for Big River Steel, LLC, Osceola, Arkansas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final action.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA), the EPA Administrator signed an Order, dated October 31, 2017, denying a petition asking EPA to object to the operating permit issued by the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to Big River Steel, LLC (Big

River) for its Steel Mill. Title V operating permit number 2305-AOP-R0 was issued on September 18, 2013, by the ADEQ to Big River for a new steel mill in Osceola, Mississippi County, Arkansas. EPA's October 31, 2017, Order responds to a petition submitted on October 9, 2013 by the Nucor Steel-Arkansas and Nucor-Yamato Steel Company (the Petitioners), pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act). The Act provides that a petitioner may ask for judicial review of those portions of the Orders that deny objections raised in the petitions in the appropriate United States Court of Appeals. Any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to the Act. ADDRESSES: You may review copies of the final Order, the petition, and other supporting information at EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to view copies of the final Order, petition, and other supporting information. You may view the hard copies Monday through Friday, from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m., excluding Federal holidays. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before the visiting day. Additionally, the final October 31, 2017, Order is available electronically at: https:// www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/ 2017-10/documents/big_river_steel_ response2013.pdf.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dinesh Senghani at (214) 665–7221, email address: *senghani.dinesh@epa.gov* or the above EPA, Region 6 address. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The CAA

affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object, as appropriate, to a title V operating permit proposed by a state permitting authority. Sections 307(b) and 505(b)(2) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7661d(b)(2), and 40 CFR 70.8(d) authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator, within 60 days after the expiration of this review period, to object to a title V operating permit if EPA has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the state, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or unless the grounds for the issue arose after this period.

EPA received the petition from the Petitioners on October 9, 2013, for the

operating permit issued on September 18, 2013, to Big River Steel, LLC located in Osceola, Mississippi County, Arkansas.

The Petitioner requests that the Administrator object to the proposed operating permit issued by the ADEQ to Big River based on twelve claims. The claims are described in detail in Section IV of the Order. In summary, the issues raised are that: (1) ADEQ conducted an inadequate review of background air quality data; (2) the PM_{2.5} modeling is deficient because it excluded certain areas from the analysis; (3) the PM_{2.5} modeling is deficient because ADEO failed to include secondary formation of PM_{2.5}, and instead only included PM_{2.5} directly emitted by the facility; (4) ADEQ'S air quality impacts analysis for PM_{2.5} NAAQS was inadequate because there are discrepancies among different $modeled\ PM_{2.5}\ annual\ impact\ values\ in$ or associated with the PM_{2.5} modeling.; (5) ADEQ and Big River failed to properly carry out an additional impacts analysis; (6) the emission factors for natural gas combustion used to issue the Draft Permit are conflicting; (7) Big River did not adequately demonstrate the basis for its proposed PM_{2.5} emission factors; (8) the Big River facility design was incomplete in critical ways that affected the validity of air quality modeling; (9) the permit does not contain enforceable permit conditions that lead to compliance; (10) the Permit does not contain adequate monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting requirements to comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(i)(B) because it does not provide for a test method; (11) the Permit does not appropriately establish BACT requirements; and (12) ADEQ's Draft Permit does not comply with public notice and participation requirements. The Order issued on October 31, 2017, responds to the Petition and explains the basis for EPA's decision.

Dated: December 1, 2017.

Samuel Coleman,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6. [FR Doc. 2017–26400 Filed 12–6–17; 8:45 am]

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting; Farm Credit Administration Board

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration. **ACTION:** Notice, regular meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act, of the regular meeting of