

copyright; the '732 copyright; the '735 copyright; the claim of the '397 design patent; the claim of the '533 design patent; the claim of the '146 design patent; and the claim of the '775 design patent; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(c) whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(C) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain insulated beverage containers, components, labels, and packaging materials thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of the '441 trademark and the '074 trademark; and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: YETI Coolers, LLC, 7601 Southwest Parkway, Austin, Texas 78735

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Alibaba (China) Technology Co., Ltd., 26/F Tower One, Times Square, 1 Matheson Street, Causeway Bay, Hong Kong

Alibaba Group Holding Limited, c/o Alibaba Group Services Limited, 26/F Tower One, Times Square, 1 Matheson Street, Causeway Bay, Hong Kong

Alibaba.com Hong Kong Limited, 26/F Tower One, Times Square, 1 Matheson Street, Causeway Bay, Hong Kong

Alibaba.com Singapore E-Commerce Private Limited, 26/F Tower One, Times Square, 1 Matheson Street, Causeway Bay, Hong Kong

Bonanza.com, Inc., 3131 Western Ave., Suite 428, Seattle, WA 98121

ContextLogic, Inc. d/b/a/Wish, 1 Sansome Street, 40th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94104

Dunhuang Group, 6F Dimeng Commercial Building, No. 3-2 Hua Yuan Road, Haidian District Beijing 100191, China

Hangzhou Alibaba Advertising Co., Ltd., 26/F Tower One, Times Square, 1 Matheson Street, Causeway Bay, Hong Kong

Huizhou Dashu Trading Co., Ltd., 2001 Unit 2, #203 Building, Jinshanhu Garden, Huanhu Third Road, Huicheng District, Huizhou City, Guangdong Province, China

Huagong Trading Co., Ltd., WANGSHIZHUANG, QINGHE County, Hebei, QINGH., Hebei, China

Tan Er Pa Technology Co., Ltd., Floor 9 10, No. 29 Qianlu, Manfeng Village Shajing, Kwai Chung N.T., Hong Kong

Shenzhen Great Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., Room 3108A, Modern International., Jintian Rd, Futian District, Shenzhen., China 518000

SZ Flowerfairy Technology Ltd., 115 Room, No. 12, Building Pinshangyuan, Xixiang Street, Baoan District, Shenzhen, China

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the amended complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the amended complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the amended complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the amended complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the amended complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 17, 2017.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-25360 Filed 11-22-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-17-054]

### Sunshine Act Meetings

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** November 29, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

#### MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701-TA-476 and 731-tA-1179 (Review) (Multilayered Wood Flooring from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission by December 13, 2017.

5. Outstanding action jackets: None. In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 20, 2017.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2017-25491 Filed 11-21-17; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### United States v. CenturyLink, Inc. and Level 3 Communications, Inc.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. CenturyLink, Inc. and Level 3 Communications, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 17-cv-2028 (KBJ). On October 2, 2017, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that CenturyLink, Inc.'s proposed acquisition of Level 3 Communications, Inc. would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint,