FFDCA section 408(b)(4) requires that EPA explain the reasons for departing from the Codex level.

Codex has established an MRL for residues of hexythiazox on hops at 3 ppm. The U.S. tolerance for residues of hexythiazox on hops cannot be harmonized based on approved label instructions. Based on available residue data, compliance with label instructions would result in exceedances of a tolerance harmonized with the Codex MRL.

V. Conclusion

Therefore, the existing tolerance for residues of the ovicide/miticide hexythiazox and its metabolites containing the (4-chlorophenyl)-4-methyl-2-oxo-3-thiazolidine moiety in/on hop, dried cones is increased from 2.0 ppm to 20 ppm.

VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This action amends an existing tolerance under FFDCA section 408(d) in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this action has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866, this action is not subject to Executive Order 13211, entitled “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001) or Executive Order 13045, entitled “Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled “Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations” (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), do not apply.

This action directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers, and food retailers, not States or tribes, nor does this action alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of FFDCA section 408(o)(4). As such, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States or tribal governments, on the relationship between the national government and the States or tribal governments, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government or between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. Thus, the Agency has determined that Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999) and Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000) do not apply to this action. In addition, this action does not impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.).

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA) (15 U.S.C. 272 note).

VII. Congressional Review Act

Pursuant to the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.), EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: October 6, 2017.

Daniel J. Rosenblatt,
Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 180.448 is amended by revising the entry “Hop, dried cones” in the table in paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 180.448 Hexythiazox; tolerances for residues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Parts per million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hop, dried cones</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[FR Doc. 2017-23439 Filed 10–27–17; 8:45 am]
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National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

49 CFR Part 571

Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Electronic Stability Control Systems for Heavy Vehicles

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Final rule; response to petition for reconsideration.

SUMMARY: This final rule addresses a petition for reconsideration of the final rule for FMVSS No. 136, Electronic stability control systems for heavy vehicles. The petitioned, Truck and Engine Manufacturers Association (EMA), requested that NHTSA amend the test conditions for the agency’s performance test by allowing a larger lane width for long wheelbase truck tractors. After a careful technical review of the petition and the issues raised by the petitioner, the agency has decided to grant the petition because there is sufficient evidence to indicate that a larger lane width is needed for testing of long wheelbase truck tractors.

DATES: The effective date of this final rule is November 29, 2017.

Petitions for reconsideration: Petitions for reconsideration of this final rule must be received not later than December 14, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Petitions for reconsideration of this final rule must refer to the docket and notice number set forth above and be submitted to the Administrator, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical issues, you may contact...
Patrick Hallan, Office of Crash Avoidance Standards, by telephone at (202) 366–9146, and by fax at (202) 493–2990. For legal issues, you may contact David Jasinski, Office of the Chief Counsel, by telephone at (202) 366–2992, and by fax at (202) 366–3820. You may send mail to both of these officials at the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
I. FMVSS No. 136 and J-Turn Test Maneuver

On June 23, 2015, NHTSA published a final rule establishing Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 136, *Electronic stability control systems for heavy vehicles*, requiring electronic stability control (ESC) systems on truck tractors and certain buses with a gross vehicle weight rating greater than 11,793 kilograms (26,000 pounds).¹ ESC systems in truck tractors and large buses are designed to reduce untripped rollovers and mitigate severe understeer or oversteer conditions that lead to loss of control using automatic computer-controlled braking and reducing engine torque output.

To test the performance of ESC systems, NHTSA included a 150-foot radius J-turn test maneuver. The test course for the test maneuver is shown in Figure 1. This maneuver involves accelerating to a constant speed on a straight stretch of high-friction track before entering into a 150-foot radius curve. After entering the curve, the driver attempts to maintain the lane. At a speed that is up to 1.3 times the lowest entrance speed at which the ESC system activates, but no less than 48.3 km/h (30 mph), an ESC system must activate the vehicle’s service brakes to slow the vehicle to 46.7 km/h (29 mph) within 3 seconds after entering the curve and 45.1 km/h (28 mph) within 4 seconds after entering the curve. The test vehicle must also remain within the lane.

![Figure 1. J-turn Test Maneuver Course (shown with the curved lane section in the counter-clockwise direction – the test is conducted in both the counter-clockwise and clockwise directions)](image)

For truck tractors, the lane width is 3.7 meters (12 feet) for both the straight section and the curved section of the course. However, after testing large buses, the agency determined that large buses require additional lane width on the curved section of the course because buses have longer wheelbases, which make it substantially more difficult to maintain a narrower lane within the curve. During testing of buses on a 3.7 meter (12 foot) width lane, the bus could not maintain the lane because of the geometry of the vehicle, not because of lack of stability. NHTSA determined that 4.3 meters (14 feet) was an appropriate lane width for testing large buses.

As described in the final rule, the nature of the J-turn test provides two criteria for ensuring vehicle responsiveness: Maintaining the lane within the fixed radius curve and a minimum test speed. These criteria for vehicle responsiveness are needed because there is a possibility of a manufacturer designing a vehicle that

¹ 80 FR 36049.
responds poorly to the operator’s speed and steering inputs, which would mask the actual performance of the ESC system.

The first responsiveness criterion is the requirement that the vehicle maintain the lane during at least six of eight runs in the roll stability performance test series or at least two of four runs in the engine torque reduction test. This requirement ensures that, during J-turn test runs at increasing speeds, the ESC system activates before the vehicle becomes unstable. We allowed multiple test runs, instead of a single test run, to account for driver variability and possible driver error in conducting the maneuver. Absent driver error, we do not expect any vehicle equipped with a properly functioning ESC system to exceed the lane width during any of the tests using the J-turn maneuver.

The other responsiveness criterion in the final rule is the minimum vehicle entry speed, which is 48 km/h (30 mph) for the roll performance test. The reason for this requirement is to discourage a manufacturer from designing a system that unnecessarily intervenes at very low speeds, thus artificially decreasing the speed at which the vehicle will enter the curve during the roll performance test.

II. EMA Petition

On August 7, 2015, the Truck and Engine Manufacturers Association (EMA) submitted a petition to NHTSA, pursuant to 49 CFR 553.35, requesting that the agency reconsider its June 2015 final rule establishing FMVSS No. 136. EMA is a trade association representing manufacturers of commercial motor vehicles, including medium- and heavy-duty truck tractors. EMA’s petition indicated that the 3.7 meter (12 foot) lane width used in the FMVSS No. 136 test procedure presents difficulty in successfully completing the J-turn test for a small subset of truck tractors to achieve certification. According to EMA, long wheelbase truck tractors, such as specialty tractors and severe service tractors, cannot navigate the curve of the test course for the J-turn test maneuver because the radius paths of the trucks are dimensionally too large. This physical limitation does not allow the rear wheels to stay inside the 12-foot-wide lane. The petitioner states that this issue only affects certain long wheelbase truck tractors, which make up about one percent of the annual sales of the new truck tractor market.

EMA asserted that the curved section of the 12-foot-wide lane is too narrow, and therefore, it is impracticable for the testing of a long wheelbase truck tractor with a wheelbase equal to or greater than 7112 millimeters (280 inches). EMA stated that it was challenging for the drivers of tractors with wheelbases larger than 280 inches to complete the maneuver in the 12-foot-wide lane, because there was not an adequate margin of physical space to account for test variability. EMA listed factors that contribute to the variability of its test results which included: (i) The length of the tractor’s wheelbase, (ii) the experience level of the test driver, (iii) whether the maneuver is conducted in the clockwise or counter-clockwise direction, and (iv) other vehicle attributes such as steering system, suspensions, axles, and tires. EMA has shown that there are dimensional limitations for certain long wheelbase truck tractors to conduct the J-turn test maneuver within 12-foot-wide lane and a larger lane width is needed to adequately test the ESC systems.

In support of the petition for reconsideration, on June 30, 2016, EMA submitted data from testing and computer simulations indicating that a lane width of 4.3 meters (14 feet) was necessary for these long wheelbase truck tractors. EMA tested three truck tractors with three test drivers of varying degrees of experience in conducting the J-turn maneuver in both directions (clockwise and counterclockwise). EMA also performed computer simulations on three example tractors to do a static analysis showing the clearance of the truck tractor within the lane. Based on engineering recommendations from all of the major heavy-duty tractor manufacturers using the results of the computer simulations and the vehicle testing, EMA requests that truck tractors with a wheelbase equal to or greater than 7112 mm (280 inches) be conducted on a J-turn test course with a lane width of 4.3 meters (14 feet).

III. Agency Decision

Pursuant to the process established under 49 CFR 553.37, after carefully considering all aspects of the petition and its subsequent data submission, the agency has decided to grant the petition without further proceedings. EMA’s vehicle testing and computer simulation data support its position that truck tractors with a wheelbase equal to or greater than 7112 millimeters (280 inches) should be conducted on a test course with a wider lane, and we believe the suggested width of 4.3 meters (14 feet) is appropriate. The agency had made similar provisions for large buses by allowing a 14-foot-wide lane after first considering a 12-foot-wide lane. During bus testing, NHTSA observed a decrease in clearance between a vehicle and the lane boundaries as wheelbase length increases. EMA’s submission further reinforces this work and applies it to truck tractors. NHTSA agrees that there are dimensional limitations for long wheelbase vehicles that potentially make it impractical to conduct the J-turn test maneuver within 12-foot-wide lane, and a larger lane width is needed to adequately test the ESC systems.

In order to ensure that the J-turn test maneuver tests the ESC system and not a test driver’s ability to maintain a narrow lane, NHTSA will adopt EMA’s suggested 4.3 meter (14 foot) lane width for testing longer wheelbase truck tractors. Despite the increased lane width requirement for these long wheelbase truck tractors, NHTSA is confident that the ESC systems in these long wheelbase truck tractors will be adequately tested for minimum performance using the J-turn test maneuver because the driver must maintain the lane within the same fixed radius curve and travel at the same minimum test speed as all other truck tractor.

This change requires two clarifications. First, as with buses, the wider lane is used only in the curved section of the test course. The lane width of the straight section will remain 3.7 meters (12 feet). The dimensional considerations that require a wider lane width for long wheelbase vehicles do not apply to straight sections of the test course.

Second, NHTSA is clarifying the definition of wheelbase by including the definition in the regulatory text. For two-axle vehicles, the wheelbase is generally clear—the distance between the center of the front axle and the center of the rear axle. Moreover, for typical 6x4 truck tractors, which have tandem rear axles, we believe the definition of wheelbase is also clear—the distance between the center of the front axle and the center of the rear tandem axles. However, to clarify wheelbase for all vehicles, including those with liftable axles or tag axles, NHTSA is specifying that the wheelbase is the longitudinal distance between the center of the front axle and the center of the rear axle. For vehicles with tandem axles, the center of the axle is considered to be the midpoint between the centers of the most forward and most rearward of the tandem axles, measured with any liftable axles down.

Second, NHTSA is clarifying the definition of wheelbase by including the definition in the regulatory text. For two-axle vehicles, the wheelbase is generally clear—the distance between the center of the front axle and the center of the rear axle. Moreover, for typical 6x4 truck tractors, which have tandem rear axles, we believe the definition of wheelbase is also clear—the distance between the center of the front axle and the center of the rear tandem axles. However, to clarify wheelbase for all vehicles, including those with liftable axles or tag axles, NHTSA is specifying that the wheelbase is the longitudinal distance between the center of the front axle and the center of the rear axle. For vehicles with tandem axles, the center of the axle is considered to be the midpoint between the centers of the most forward and most rearward of the tandem axles, measured with any liftable axles down.

This definition is designed to directly reflect the geometrical concerns raised in the petition. Because all testing is done with any liftable axles in the lowered position, the wheelbase will be measured with liftable axles down so the wheelbase measurement accurately reflects the turning radius of the truck tractor. The term “tandem axle” is defined as it is in FMVSS Nos. 105 and 121 as a group or set of two or more axles placed in close arrangement, one behind the other, with the centerlines of adjacent axles not more than 72 inches apart.

IV. Rulemaking Analyses and Notices

A. Executive Order 12866, Executive Order 13563, and DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures

The agency has considered the impact of this rulemaking action under Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 and the DOT’s regulatory policies and procedures. This action was not reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866. The agency has considered the impact of this action under the Department of Transportation’s regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979), and has determined that it is not “significant” under them.

This action addresses a petition for reconsideration of the June 2015 final rule requiring ESC on truck tractors and certain large buses. However, the petition only addresses one test condition applicable to approximately one percent of truck tractors. This final rule amends the standard to allow long wheelbase truck tractors to be tested in a wider lane to account for the geometry of a turning vehicle and to ensure that the J-turn remains a test of the vehicle’s stability and not the test driver. This final rule imposes no costs and adjusts FMVSS No. 136 to give more flexibility to manufacturers testing long wheelbase trucks. This action will not have any safety impacts.

B. Executive Order 13771

Executive Order 13771 titled “Reducing Regulation and Controlling Regulatory Costs,” directs that, unless prohibited by law, whenever an executive department or agency publicly proposes for notice and comment or otherwise promulgates a new regulation, it shall identify at least two existing regulations to be repealed. In addition, any new incremental costs associated with new regulations shall, to the extent permitted by law, be offset by the elimination of existing costs. Only those rules deemed significant under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” are subject to these requirements. As discussed above, this rule is not a significant rule under Executive Order 12866 and, accordingly, is not subject to the offset requirements of Executive Order 13771.

NHTSA has determined that this rulemaking is a deregulatory action under Executive Order 13771, as it imposes no costs and, instead, amends FMVSS No. 136 to give more flexibility to manufacturers of long wheelbase truck tractors by allowing a wider lane in the test course. Although NHTSA was not able to quantify any cost savings for this rule, in adopting an optional wider lane width for the testing of long wheelbase truck tractors, this final rule adjusts the standard to accommodate the larger physical size of certain truck tractors and improves the efficiency of testing. This issue only affects long wheelbase truck tractors, which make up about one percent of the annual sales of truck tractors. The optional wider lane width will remove the difficulties cited by the petitioner associated with navigating the test course for long wheelbase truck tractors under the current test conditions in the standard.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) of 1996), whenever an agency is required to publish a notice of rulemaking for any proposed or final rule, it must prepare and make available for public comment a regulatory flexibility analysis that describes the effect of the rule on small entities (i.e., small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions). The Small Business Administration’s regulations at 13 CFR part 121 define a small business, in part, as a business entity “which operates primarily within the United States.” (13 CFR 121.105(a)). No regulatory flexibility analysis is required if the head of an agency certifies the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. SBREFA amended the Regulatory Flexibility Act to require Federal agencies to provide a statement of the factual basis for certifying that a rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

NHTSA has considered the effects of this final rule under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. I certify that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. NHTSA does not believe that any truck tractor manufacturers affected by this rule qualify as small entities. To the extent any business entities affected by this final rule do qualify as small entities, this final rule will not have a significant economic impact. This final rule addresses one test condition applicable to only one percent of truck tractors. This action will not result in added expenses for those manufacturers.

D. Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all documents received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the document (or signing the document, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78) or you may visit http://www.transportation.gov/privacy.

E. Other Rulemaking Analyses and Notices

In the June 2015 final rule, the agency discussed relevant requirements related to Executive Order 13132 (Federalism), Executive Order 12988 (Civil Justice Reform); Executive Order 13045 (Protection of Children from Environmental Health and Safety Risks); the Paperwork Reduction Act, the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act, the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act. As today’s final rule merely adjusts one test condition in FMVSS No. 136 for approximately one percent of truck tractors subject to the standard, it will not have any effect on the agency’s analyses in those areas.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Parts 571

Imports, Incorporation by reference, Motor vehicle safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Tires.

In consideration of the foregoing, NHTSA amends 49 CFR part 571 as follows:

PART 571—FEDERAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STANDARDS

1. The authority citation for part 571 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 322, 30111, 30115, 30117, and 30166; delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.95.

2. In §571.136, amend S4 by adding in alphabetical order the definitions of “tandem axle” and “wheelbase” and by revising S6.2.4.2 to read as follows:
**Summary:** NMFS is prohibiting directed fishing for Pacific ocean perch in the Western Regulatory Area of the Gulf of Alaska (GOA). This action is necessary to prevent exceeding the 2017 total allowable catch of Pacific ocean perch in the Western Regulatory Area of the GOA.

**DATES:** Effective 1200 hours, Alaska local time (A.l.t.), October 25, 2017, through 2400 hours, A.l.t., December 31, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steve Whitney, 907–586–7228.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NMFS manages the groundfishery in the GOA exclusive economic zone according to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (FMP) prepared by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council under authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Regulations governing fishing by U.S. vessels in accordance with the FMP appear at subpart H of 50 CFR parts 600 and 679.

The 2017 total allowable catch (TAC) of Pacific ocean perch in the Western Regulatory Area of the GOA is 2,679 metric tons (mt) as established by the final 2017 and 2018 harvest specifications for groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska (82 FR 12032, February 27, 2017).

In accordance with § 679.20(d)(1)(i), the Administrator, Alaska Region, NMFS (Regional Administrator), has determined that the 2017 TAC of Pacific ocean perch in the Western Regulatory Area of the GOA will soon be reached. Therefore, the Regional Administrator is establishing a directed fishing allowance of 2,579 mt, and is setting aside 100 mt as bycatch to support other anticipated groundfish fisheries. In accordance with § 679.20(d)(1)(ii), the Regional Administrator finds that this directed fishing allowance has been reached. Consequently, NMFS is prohibiting directed fishing for Pacific ocean perch in the Western Regulatory Area of the GOA.

After the effective date of this closure the maximum retainable amounts at...