

and Taiwan.¹ Currently, the preliminary determinations in these investigations are due no later than November 7, 2017.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a less-than-fair-value investigation within 140 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, section 733(c)(1) of the Act permits the Department to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation if: (A) The petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement; or (B) the Department concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating, that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated, and that additional time is necessary to make a preliminary determination. Under 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioner must submit a request for postponement 25 days or more before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination and must state the reasons for the request. The Department will grant the request unless it finds compelling reasons to deny the request. *See* CFR 351.205(e).

On October 13, 2017, the petitioners² submitted a timely request, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, that the Department postpone the preliminary determinations in these less-than-fair-value investigations.³ In accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), the petitioners stated the reasons for their request. Specifically, the petitioners state that additional time is necessary for the Department to issue supplemental questionnaires and clarify the initial questionnaire responses to accurately determine whether and what magnitude of dumping occurred during the period of investigation.⁴

¹ *See Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China, India, the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations*, 82 FR 29023 (June 27, 2017). The fine denier PSF from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam investigation was terminated; *see Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Termination of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 82 FR 33480 (July 20, 2017).

² In these investigations, the petitioners are DAK Americas LLC, Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, America, and Auriga Polymers Inc.

³ *See* the petitioner's letter, "Fine Denier Polyester Staple Fiber from India, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, and Taiwan—Petitioners' Request to Postpone the Antidumping Duty Preliminary Determinations," dated October 13, 2017, requesting postponement of the preliminary determination.

⁴ *Id.*

For the reasons stated above and because there is no compelling reason to deny the request, the Department, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act, is postponing the deadline for these preliminary determinations to no later than 181 days after the date on which these investigations were initiated, *i.e.*, to December 18, 2017. Pursuant to section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determinations will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: October 18, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017–23021 Filed 10–23–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–489–501]

Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products From Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015–2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 6, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded carbon steel standard pipe and tube products (welded pipe and tube) from Turkey. The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2015 through April 30, 2016. The review covers the following producers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Borusan Istikbal) and Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan Mannesmann) (collectively, Borusan); Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., and Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S. (Toscelik Metal) (collectively, Toscelik); Borusan Birlesik Boru Fabrikalari San ve Tic (Borusan Birlesik); Borusan Gemlik Boru Tesisleri A.S. (Borusan Gemlik); Borusan Ihracat Ithalat ve Dagitim A.S. (Borusan Ihracat); Borusan Ithicat ve Dagitim A.S.

(Borusan Ithicat); Tubeco Pipe and Steel Corporation (Tubeco); Erbosan Erciyas Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Erbosan); and Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S., Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S., and Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (collectively, the Yucel Group). Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made certain changes in the margin calculations. The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed below in the section entitled, "Final Results of the Review." Further, we continue to find that Erbosan, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco had no reviewable shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.

DATES: Effective October 24, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or Chelsey Simonovich, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2924 or (202) 482–1979, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 6, 2017, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the **Federal Register**.¹ We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On July 20, 2017, we received a case brief from petitioner Wheatland Tube Company (Wheatland Tube). On July 28, 2017, we received a rebuttal brief from Borusan.² The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is welded pipe and tube. The welded pipe and tube subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheading 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, and 7306.30.5090 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes

¹ *See Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Rescission of Review; 2015–2016*, 82 FR 26053 (June 6, 2017) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² As explained in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department treated Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. as a single entity in this administrative review. *See* Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 1 n.1.

only. The written description is dispositive.³

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that Erbosan, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco had no shipments during the POR.⁴ Following publication of the *Preliminary Results*, we received no comments from interested parties regarding these companies. As a result, and because the record contains no evidence to the contrary, we continue to find that Erbosan, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco made no shipments during the POR. Accordingly, consistent with the Department’s practice, we intend to instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate any existing entries of merchandise produced by Erbosan, Borusan Birlesik, Borusan Gemlik, Borusan Ihracat, Borusan Ithicat, and Tubeco, but exported by other parties without their own rate, at the all-others rate.⁵

Further, while Borusan Istikbal submitted a no-shipment certification, we continue to treat it as a single entity with Borusan Mannesmann, as there is no record evidence that warrants altering this treatment. Because we continue to find that Borusan had shipments during this POR, we do not make a final determination of no shipments with respect to Borusan Istikbal.⁶

Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs submitted in this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted with this notice. A list of the issues raised is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made certain changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For a full discussion of these changes, see Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Rates for Non-Examined Companies

The statute and the Department’s regulations do not address the establishment of a rate to be applied to companies not selected for examination

when the Department limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, the Department looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation, for guidance when calculating the rate for companies which were not selected for individual review in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.”

In this review, we have a calculated a weighted-average dumping margin for Borusan that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available. Accordingly, the Department assigns to the companies not individually examined the 1.55 percent weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Borusan.

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period May 1, 2015 through April 30, 2016:

Producer or exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S	1.55
Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S./Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S./Toscelik Metal Ticaret A.S. ⁷	0.00
Yucel Boru ve Profil Endustrisi A.S	1.55
Yucelboru Ihracat Ithalat ve Pazarlama A.S	1.55
Cayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S	1.55

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed for these final results of review within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal**

Register, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment

The Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this

review pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

For Borusan, because its weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.5 percent), the Department has calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment

³ A full written description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum to Gary Taverman, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey; 2015–2016,” (IDM), dated concurrently with this notice and incorporated herein by reference.

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 82 FR at 26054, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 3–4.

⁵ See, e.g., *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 26922, 26923 (May 13, 2010), unchanged in *Magnesium Metal from the Russian Federation: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 56989 (September 17, 2010).

⁶ See Preliminary Decision Memorandum, at 4.
⁷ In prior segments of this proceeding, we treated Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S., Tosyali Dis Ticaret A.S., and Toscelik Metal as a single entity.

See, e.g., *Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 79 FR 71087, 71088 n.8 (December 1, 2014). However, in a prior review, we found that Toscelik Metal has ceased to exist. *Id.* There is no record evidence that warrants altering this treatment. Therefore, for these final results, we are treating Toscelik and Tosyali as a single entity, and continue to find that Toscelik Metal no longer exists.

rates. We calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales of each importer and dividing each of these amounts by the total entered value associated with those sales. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review where an importer-specific assessment rate is not zero or *de minimis*. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*.

For Toscelik, we will instruct CBP to liquidate its entries during the POR imported by the importers identified in its questionnaire responses without regard to antidumping duties because its weighted-average dumping margin in these final results is zero.⁸

For companies that were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries based on the methodology described in the “Final Rates for Non-Examined Companies” section, above.

Consistent with the Department’s assessment practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by any company upon which we initiated an administrative review, for which they did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁹

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not

participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the company was reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 14.74 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁰ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.¹¹

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h) and 351.221(b)(5) of the Department’s regulations.

Dated: October 18, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Order
Discussion of the Issues

Toscelik

1. Toscelik’s U.S. Sale that is Outside the Period of Review

Borusan

2. Reallocation of Zinc Costs
3. “Match Production” Language in the SAS Program
4. Home Market Sales Intended for Export
5. Sample Sales in the Home Market Database
6. Ministerial Error
7. Distortions Caused by Currency Issues Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2017–23020 Filed 10–23–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

Title: Dr. Nancy Foster Scholarship Program.

OMB Control Number: 0648–0432.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Request: Regular (revision and extension of a currently approved information collection).

Number of Respondents: 600.

Average Hours per Response: Dr.

Nancy Foster application form: 8 hours;

Letter of Recommendation: 45 minutes;

Bio/Photograph Submission: 1 hour;

Annual Report: 1 hour, 30 minutes; and

Evaluation: 15 minutes.

Burden Hours: 1,917.

Needs and Uses: This request is for a revision and extension of a current information collection. The evaluation form has been completely redesigned.

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Office of National Marine Sanctuaries

⁸ See *Antidumping Proceeding: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification*, 77 FR 8103, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

⁹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁰ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Welded Carbon Steel Standard Pipe and Tube Products from Turkey*, 51 FR 17784 (May 15, 1986).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.402(f)(3).