are either U.S.-made or designated country end products, except as listed in paragraph (b) of the provision. Offerors are not allowed to provide other than a U.S.-made or designated country end product, unless the requirement is waived.

Respondents: 397.

Responses per Respondent: 2.
Total Responses: 794.
Hours per Response: .25.
Total Burden Hours: 199.
2. Buy American and Trade
Agreements—Construction provisions and clauses provide that an offeror/contractor requesting to use foreign construction material due to unreasonable cost of domestic construction material shall provide adequate information to permit evaluation of the request.

- —52.225–9, Buy American— Construction Materials
- —52.225–10, Notice of Buy American Requirements—Construction Materials
- —52.225–11, Buy American— Construction Materials under Trade Agreements
- —52.225–12, Notice of Buy American Requirements—Construction Materials under Trade Agreements
- —52.225–21, Required Use of American Iron, Steel and Manufactured Goods— Buy American—Construction Materials
- —52.225–23, Required Use of American Iron, Steel and Manufactured Goods— Buy American—Construction Materials under Trade Agreements Respondents: 853. Responses per Respondent: 2.3.

Total Responses: 1,990. Hours per Response: 5.

Total Burden Hours: 10,045.

3. Duty-Free Entry. The clause at FAR 52.225-8, Duty-Free Entry (formerly OMB clearance 9000-0022), is included in solicitations and contracts for supplies that may be imported into the United States and for which duty-free entry may be obtained in accordance with FAR 25.903(a), if the value of the acquisition (1) exceeds the simplified acquisition threshold; or (2) does not exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, but the savings from waiving the duty is anticipated to be more than the administrative cost of waiving the duty. The contracting officer analyzes the information submitted by the contractor to determine whether or not supplies should enter the country dutyfree.

Respondents: 1,330. Responses per Respondent: 10. Total Responses: 13,300. Hours per Response: 0.5. Total Burden Hours: 6,650. 4. Summary Respondents: 7,863. Responses per Respondent: 5.4. Total Responses: 42,499. Hours per Response: .5. Total Burden Hours: 23,497.

C. Public Comments

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone 202–501–4755.

Please cite OMB Control No. 9000– 0024, Buy American, Trade Agreements, and Duty-Free Entry in all correspondence.

Dated: October 16, 2017.

Lorin S. Curit,

Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, Office of Government-wide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

[FR Doc. 2017–22717 Filed 10–19–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-FY-0109; Docket No. CDC-2017-0074]

Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

AGENCY: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Notice with comment period.

SUMMARY: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce public burden and maximize the utility of government information, invites the

general public and other Federal agencies the opportunity to provide comment on a proposed and/or continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This notice invites comment on the *Respiratory Protective Devices* information collection project.

DATES: CDC must receive written comments on or before December 19,

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CDC-2017-0074 by any of the following methods:

2017.

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Mail: Leroy A. Richardson, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS— D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket Number. CDC will post, without change, all relevant comments to Regulations.gov.

Please note: Submit all comments through the Federal eRulemaking portal (regulations.gov) or by U.S. mail to the address listed above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, contact Leroy A.
Richardson, Information Collection Review Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road NE., MS–D74, Atlanta, Georgia 30329; phone: 404–639–7570; Email: omb@cdc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. In addition, the PRA also requires Federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information, including each new proposed collection, each proposed extension of existing collection of information, and each reinstatement of previously approved information collection before submitting the collection to the OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, we are publishing this notice of a proposed data collection as described below.

The OMB is particularly interested in

comments that will help:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- 2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- 3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- 4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.
 - 5. Assess information collection costs.

Proposed Project

Respiratory Protective Devices—42 CFR part 84—Regulation—(0920– 0109)—Revision—National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The regulatory authority for the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) certification program for respiratory protective devices is found in the Mine Safety and Health Amendments Act of 1977 (30) U.S.C. 577a, 651 et seq., and 657(g)) and the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (30 U.S.C. 3, 5, 7, 811, 842(h), 844). These regulations have, as their basis, the performance tests and criteria for approval of respirators used by millions of American construction workers, miners, painters, asbestos removal workers, fabric mill workers, and fire fighters.

Regulations of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) also require the use of NIOSH-approved respirators. These regulations also establish methods for respirator manufacturers to submit respirators for testing under the regulation and have them certified as NIOSH-approved if

they meet the criteria given in the above regulation. This data collection was formerly named Respiratory Protective Devices 30 CFR part 11, but in 1995, the respirator standard was moved to 42 CFR part 84.

In accordance with 42 CFR part 84, NIOSH performs the following activities: (1) Issues certificates of approval for respirators which have met specified construction, performance, and protection requirements; (2) establishes procedures and requirements to be met in filing applications for approval; (3) specifies minimum requirements and methods to be employed by NIOSH and by applicants in conducting inspections, examinations, and tests to determine effectiveness of respirators; (4) establishes a schedule of fees to be charged applicants for testing and certification, and (5) establishes approval labeling requirements. To establish the scope and intent of request, NIOSH collects information from those who request services under 42 CFR part 84.

Information collected from requests for respirator approval functions includes contact information and information about factors likely to affect respirator performance and use. Such information includes, but is not necessarily limited to, respirator design, manufacturing methods and materials, quality assurance plans and procedures, and user instruction and draft labels, as specified in the regulation.

The main instrument for data collection for respirator approval functions is the Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators (SAF), currently Version 9.

Respirator manufacturers are the respondents (estimated to average 73 each year over the years 2017–2020). Upon submission of the SAF, NIOSH evaluates their applications for approval. Respirator manufacturers submit applications according to their business needs, which depends upon market conditions, technical advances, and other factors that are not easy to

forecast. The best estimate for the annual number of respondents is the number from the most recent year for which data exists, 73 in 2016, an increase from 63 in 2014. Those 73 applicants submitted 542 applications in 2016, providing the current best estimate. A \$200 fee is required for each application. Respondents requesting respirator approval or certain extensions of approval are required to submit additional fees for necessary testing and evaluation as specified in 42 CFR parts 84.20–22, 84.66, 84.258 and 84.1102. In 2016, \$2,662,329.00 was accepted.

Applicants are required to provide test data that shows that the manufacturer is capable of ensuring that the respirator is capable of meeting the specified requirements in 42 CFR part 84. The requirement for submitted test data is likely to be satisfied by standard testing performed by the manufacturer, and is not required to follow the relevant NIOSH Standard Test Procedures. As additional testing is not required, providing proof that an adequate test has been performed is limited to providing existing paperwork.

Also, 42 CFR part 84 approvals offer corroboration that approved respirators are produced to certain quality standards. Although 42 CFR part 84 Subpart E prescribes certain quality standards, it is not expected that requiring approved quality standards will impose an additional cost burden over similarly effective quality standards that are not approved under 42 CFR part 84.

Manufacturers with current approvals are subject to site audits by the Institute or its agents. Audits may occur periodically, typically every second year, or because of a reported issue. NIOSH scheduled Sixty-three site audits from 92 respirator approval holders for the 2016 fiscal year.

There is an average fee of \$8,833 for each audit to align with fee collection provisions of the Independent Offices Appropriations Act of 1952 (31 U.S.C. 9701), and OMB Circular A–25 Revised.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response (in hours)	Total burden (in hours)
Business or other for-profit	Standard Application for the Approval of Respirators.	73	7	229	117,019
Business or other for-profit		63	1	24	1,512
Total					118,531

Leroy A. Richardson,

Chief, Information Collection Review Office, Office of Scientific Integrity, Office of the Associate Director for Science, Office of the Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2017–22774 Filed 10–19–17; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4163–18–P**

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-17-1049]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the information collection request titled National Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. CDC previously published a "Proposed Data Collection Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations" notice on April 26, 2017 to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. CDC received three comments related to the previous notice. This notice serves to allow an additional 30 days for public and affected agency comments.

CDC will accept all comments for this proposed information collection project. The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments that:

- (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- (c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected:
- (d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including, through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and
- (e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639–7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Direct written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice to the Attention: CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395–5806. Provide written comments within 30 days of notice publication.

Proposed Project

Promoting Adolescent Health through School-Based HIV/STD Prevention (OMB Control Number 0920–1049, Expiration Date 2/28/2018)— Extension—National Center for HIV/ AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The proposed project is a semi-annual Web-based questionnaire to assess programmatic activities among funded agencies which include local education agencies (LEA), state education agencies (SEA), and non-governmental organizations (NGO) funded by the Division of Adolescent and School

Health (DASH), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Currently, the questionnaires are the only standardized reporting process for HIV/STD prevention activities among LEAs, SEAs, and NGOs funded by DASH. The nine questionnaires will seek data that: (1) Provides standardized information about how HIV/STD prevention funds are used by funded agencies; (2) provides descriptive and process information about program activities; and (3) provides greater accountability for use of public funds.

Funded agencies will complete the questionnaires on a Web site managed by DASH and its contractor, Karna. Respondents will complete the questionnaires on a semi-annual basis.

The questionnaires pertain to the approaches that funded agencies are using to meet their goals. Approaches include helping districts and schools deliver exemplary sexual health education (ESHE) emphasizing HIV and other STD prevention; increasing adolescent access to key sexual health services (SHS); and establishing safe and supportive environments (SSE) for students and staff.

Each SEA complete activities for all approaches. Therefore, each SEA will complete a questionnaire for each approach (ESHE, SHS, and SSE). Likewise, each LEA will be completing activities for all approaches. Therefore, each LEA will complete a questionnaire for each approach (ESHE, SHS, and SSE). Each NGO will respond to the questionnaire for the approach they are implementing in support of SEAs or LEAs. Two NGOs will respond to the ESHE questionnaire, two NGOs will respond to the SHS questionnaire, and two NGOs will respond to the SSE questionnaire.

There are no costs to respondents other than their time. The estimated annualized time burden for all funded agencies is 820 hours.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average Burden per response (in hours)
State Education Agency	Exemplary Sexual Health Education Measures.	19	2	4
	Sexual Health Services Measures	19	2	3
	Safe and Supportive Environments Measures.	19	2	1
Local Education Agency	Exemplary Sexual Health Education Measures.	17	2	6
	Sexual Health Services Measures	17	2	3
	Safe and Supportive Environments Measures.	17	2	6
Non-governmental organization	Exemplary Sexual Health Education Measures.	2	2	30/60