

<http://www.regulations.gov>. All comments received are part of the public record. No comments will be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov> for public viewing until after the comment period has closed. Comments will generally be posted without change. All Personally Identifiable Information (for example, name and address) voluntarily submitted by the commentator may be publicly accessible. Do not submit Confidential Business Information or otherwise sensitive or protected information. The Census Bureau will accept anonymous comments (enter N/A in the required fields, if you wish to remain anonymous). You may submit attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, Excel, WordPerfect, or Adobe PDF file formats only.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dale C. Kelly, Chief, International Trade Management Division, U.S. Census Bureau, Room 5K158, Washington, DC 20233-6010, by phone (301) 763-6937, by fax (301) 763-8835, or by email [dale.c.kelly@census.gov](mailto:dale.c.kelly@census.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

The Census Bureau is responsible for collecting, compiling, and publishing export trade statistics for the United States under the provisions of Title 13, United States Code (U.S.C.), Chapter 9, Section 301. The Automated Export System (AES), now part of the Automated Commercial Environment

(ACE), is the primary instrument used for collecting export trade data. Through the AES, the Census Bureau collects Electronic Export Information (EEI), the electronic equivalent of the export data formerly collected on the Shipper's Export Declaration (SED), reported pursuant to the Foreign Trade Regulations (FTR), Title 15, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), part 30. The EEI consists of data elements as set forth in 15 CFR 30.6 for an export shipment, and includes information such as the U.S. Principal Party in Interest's (USPPI's) name, address, and identification number, and detailed information concerning the exported product. The party responsible for the accuracy and timeliness of EEI data elements varies depending upon the type of export transaction; standard or routed. Through this notice, the Census Bureau is seeking public comments to perform a review of the requirements governing routed export transactions, a subset of export transactions, as detailed in the FTR, 15 CFR, part 30.

**Request for Comments**

The Census Bureau is soliciting comments on the clarity, usability, and any other matters related to the regulatory requirements for routed transactions. This will include the definition of a routed export transaction found in 15 CFR 30.1 as well as the general responsibilities of parties in routed export transactions as detailed in 15 CFR 30.3. Suggested questions are

below; however, any pertinent feedback not captured by these questions is also welcome:

1. If you do not think that the definition of a routed export transaction in 15 CFR 30.1 is clearly stated, then what definition of routed export transaction would you suggest?

2. Should the Census Bureau modify the list of data elements at 15 CFR 30.3(e)(2) that the U.S. authorized agent is required to provide when filing the electronic export information? If so, what changes would you suggest?

3. Should the Census Bureau modify the list of data elements at 15 CFR 30.3(e)(1) that the U.S. Principal Party in Interest is required to provide to the U.S. Authorized agent? If so, what changes would you suggest?

4. The carrier's responsibilities under the FTR are the same in both standard and routed transactions. Does the FTR clearly communicate these responsibilities? If not, what clarification would you suggest?

5. The data elements that the USPPI and U.S. authorized agent are required to provide are currently located in Section 30.3(e) of the FTR. However, additional data elements are needed to complete the AES filing. Below is a list of data elements that are required to be reported but for which a responsible party is not listed. Please provide comments on which party, the USPPI or the U.S. authorized agent, should report these data elements.

Hazardous material indicator .....	routed export transaction indicator .....	KPC number
FTZ identifier .....	vehicle title number .....	related party indicator
shipment reference number .....	vehicle title state code .....	export information code
VIN/product ID .....	filing option indicator	

6. Are the responsibilities of parties in a routed export transaction clearly stated? If not, what improvements would you suggest?

7. How could we improve the process to authorize filing in a routed export transaction?

8. How could the FTR be revised to align with the Bureau of Industry and Security's Export Administration Regulations on routed export transactions?

9. What changes would you suggest in Section 30.3 of the FTR that might improve the parties' understanding of the requirements of a routed export transaction?

10. What changes would you suggest in Section 30.3 of the FTR that might improve the parties' understanding of their roles in a routed or standard export transaction?

Dated: September 29, 2017.

**Ron S. Jarmin,**

*Associate Director for Economic Programs, Performing the Non-Exclusive Functions and Duties of the Director, Bureau of the Census.*

[FR Doc. 2017-21569 Filed 10-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-07-P**

received a petition requesting that the Commission initiate rulemaking under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) to adopt a safety standard for high-powered magnet sets. The Commission invites written comments concerning the petition.

**DATES:** Submit comments by December 5, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC-2017-0037, by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submissions:* Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. The Commission does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). The Commission encourages you to submit electronic

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

**16 CFR Chapter II**

[Docket No. CPSC-2017-0037]

**Petition Requesting Rulemaking on Magnet Sets**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Petition for rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) has

comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

**Written Submissions:** Submit written comments by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7923.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If furnished at all, such information should be submitted by mail/hand delivery/courier.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov>, insert docket number CPSC-2017-0037 into the "Search" box, and follow the prompts.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rocky Hammond, Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone: 301-504-6833; email: [RHammond@cpsc.gov](mailto:RHammond@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On August 17, 2017, Zen Magnets, LLC (petitioner) submitted a petition requesting that the Commission initiate rulemaking to adopt a safety standard for high-powered magnet sets under Sections 7 and 9 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2056, 2058) to address the hazard associated with these products if ingested, aspirated, or otherwise inserted into the body.

The petitioner describes the product as small rare earth magnets of various shapes, sizes, and flux indices (*i.e.*, magnetic strength) that are commonly sold as sets designed to make sculptures, provide therapeutic benefits, or serve as educational or research tools. The petitioner states that there are magnet sets on the market that are approximately 5 millimeters in diameter and have flux indices greater than 50 kG<sup>2</sup>mm<sup>2</sup>. According to the petitioner, magnet sets are not designed, marketed, manufactured, or intended for use by children under the age of 14 years.

The petitioner asserts that high-powered magnet sets pose a risk of injury if misused in a way that results in ingesting, aspirating, or otherwise inserting more than one magnet into the

body. The petitioner notes that one potential injury that can result from ingesting high-powered magnets is damage to gastrointestinal tissue.

The petitioner requests that CPSC promulgate a mandatory safety standard that includes the following:

- **Performance standards.** Require individual magnets and each magnet in a magnet set that fits entirely within the cylinder described in 16 CFR 1501.4 (small parts cylinder) to have a flux index of 50 kG<sup>2</sup>mm<sup>2</sup> or less if the product is designed, marketed, or manufactured for children under the age of 14 years. Establish standards for magnet set packaging, such as requiring packaging to be difficult for children to open and assist users in determining whether all magnets are returned to the package after use. According to the petitioner, these requirements would limit the magnetic strength of magnets so that they would not attach across internal tissue if ingested and would assist users in limiting children's access to the magnets.

- **Warning requirements.**<sup>1</sup> Require magnet sets to bear warnings that conform to specific form requirements, warn of the ingestion hazard, and indicate the product is not intended for children. Require warnings on product packaging, including in a location that requires a user to see the warning when opening the package.

- **Instructional requirements.** Require magnet sets to include instructions that indicate how to avoid using the magnet set in a way that can lead to ingesting, aspirating, or inserting the magnets into the body and how to return magnets to the packaging.

- **Age restrictions.**<sup>2</sup> Require warnings and instructions for magnet sets to include an age recommendation of 14 years or older.

The Commission seeks comments concerning this petition.

The petition is available at: <http://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No. CPSC-2017-0037, Supporting and

<sup>1</sup> The petitioner also requests that the Commission require purchasers to acknowledge having read product warnings and assent to the risk of injury when purchasing magnet sets online. Under Section 7 of the CPSA, the Commission may issue only performance requirements and requirements for warnings or instructions. Therefore, the Commission lacks authority to require these additional provisions.

<sup>2</sup> The petitioner also requests the following age restriction requirements for magnet sets that do not conform to the requested physical safety standards: (1) Prohibit the sale of magnet sets to users under 14 years old, and (2) require that only adults be permitted to buy magnet sets. Again, Section 7 of the CPSA authorizes the Commission to issue only performance requirements and warning requirements. Therefore, the Commission lacks authority to adopt these restrictions.

Related Materials. Alternatively, interested parties may obtain a copy of the petition by writing or calling the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-6833.

**Alberta E. Mills,**

*Acting Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-21534 Filed 10-5-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2015-0356; FRL-9968-81-Region 7]

### Approval of Missouri Air Quality Implementation Plans; Infrastructure SIP Requirements for the 2008 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve elements of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision from the State of Missouri for the 2008 Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). Section 110 of the CAA requires that each state adopt and submit a SIP for the implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of each new or revised NAAQS promulgated by EPA. These SIPs are commonly referred to as "infrastructure" SIPs. The infrastructure requirements are designed to ensure that the structural components of each state's air quality management program are adequate to meet the state's responsibilities under the CAA. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the state's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without a prior proposed rule. If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by November 6, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2015-0356, to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential