Assessment, or an Environmental Impact Statement.

Dated: September 26, 2017.

### Amanda Goebel Pereira,

NEPA Coordinator, First Responder Network Authority.

[FR Doc. 2017–20933 Filed 9–29–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–60–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Foreign-Trade Zones Board [B-60-2017]

Foreign-Trade Zone 123—Denver, Colorado; Application for Subzone; Ackerman North America LLC/dba Amann USA, Broomfield, Colorado

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the City and County of Denver, Colorado, grantee of FTZ 123, requesting subzone status for the facility of Ackerman North America LLC/dba Amann USA, located in Broomfield, Colorado. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on September 26, 2017.

The proposed subzone (0.07 acres) is located at 452 Burbank Street, Broomfield, Colorado. No authorization for production activity has been requested at this time.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is November 13, 2017. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to November 27, 2017.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230–0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via www.trade.gov/ftz.

For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov or (202) 482–0862. Dated: September 26, 2017.

#### Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017-21054 Filed 9-29-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-122-860]

100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft From Canada: Preliminary Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) preliminarily determines that countervailable subsidies are being provided to producers and exporters of 100- to 150-seat large civil aircraft (aircraft) from Canada. The period of investigation is January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016.

## **DATES:** Applicable October 2, 2017. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Medley or Ross Belliveau, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4987, or (202) 482–4952, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

This preliminary determination is made in accordance with section 703(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The Department published the notice of initiation of this investigation on May 26, 2017.¹ On July 5, 2017, the Department postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation and the revised deadline is now September 25, 2017.² For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this investigation, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.³ A list of topics

discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix II to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at http:// access.trade.gov, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at http://enforcement.trade.gov/ frn/. The signed and electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Scope of the Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is aircraft from Canada. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, *see* Appendix I.

### **Scope Comments**

In accordance with the preamble to the Department's regulations,<sup>4</sup> the *Initiation Notice* set aside a period of time for parties to raise issues regarding product coverage, (*i.e.*, scope).<sup>5</sup> Certain interested parties commented on the scope of the investigation as it appeared in the *Initiation Notice*. The Department intends to issue its preliminary decision regarding comments concerning the scope of the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) investigations in the preliminary determination of the companion AD investigation.

### Methodology

The Department is conducting this investigation in accordance with section 701 of the Act. For each of the subsidy programs found countervailable, the Department preliminarily determines that there is a subsidy, *i.e.*, a financial contribution by an "authority" that gives rise to a benefit to the recipient, and that the subsidy is specific.<sup>6</sup>

### Alignment

As noted in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, in accordance with section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(4), the Department is aligning the final CVD determination in this investigation with the final

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See 100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft From Canada: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation, 82 FR 24292 (May 26, 2017) (Initiation Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See 100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation, 82 FR 31045 (July 5, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Determination of the Countervailing Duty Investigation of 100- to 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties, Final Rule, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Initiation Notice.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See sections 771(5)(B) and (D) of the Act regarding financial contribution; section 771(5)(E) of the Act regarding benefit; and section 771(5A) of the Act regarding specificity.

determination in the companion AD investigation of aircraft from Canada based on a request made by the petitioner. Consequently, the final CVD determination will be issued on the same date as the final AD determination, which is currently scheduled to be issued no later than December 18, 2017, unless postponed.

### **All-Others Rate**

Sections 703(d) and 705(c)(5)(A) of the Act provide that in the preliminary determination, the Department shall determine an estimated all-others rate for companies not individually examined. This rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated subsidy rates established for those companies individually examined, excluding any zero and deminimis rates and any rates based entirely under section 776 of the Act.

The Department calculated an individual estimated countervailable subsidy rate for Bombardier, Inc. (Bombardier), the only individually examined exporter/producer in this investigation. Because the only individually calculated rate is not zero, de minimis, or based entirely on facts otherwise available, the estimated weighted-average rate calculated for Bombardier is the rate assigned to allother producers and exporters, pursuant to section 705(c)(5)(A)(i) of the Act.

### **Preliminary Determination**

The Department preliminarily determines that the following estimated countervailable subsidy rates exist:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent)
Bombardier, Inc. 8All-Others	219.63 219.63

### Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 703(d)(1)(B) and (d)(2) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise as described in the scope of the investigation section entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal** 

**Register**. Further, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.205(d), the Department will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the rates indicated above.

### Disclosure

The Department intends to disclose its calculations and analysis performed to interested parties in this preliminary determination within five days of its public announcement, or if there is no public announcement, within five days of the date of this notice, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

### Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(1) of the Act, the Department intends to verify the information relied upon in making its final determination.

### **Public Comment**

Case briefs or other written comments may be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance no later than seven days after the date on which the last verification report is issued in this investigation. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in case briefs, may be submitted no later than five days after the deadline date for case briefs.9 Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2), parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this investigation are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue: (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing, limited to issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

### International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 703(f) of the Act, the Department will notify the International Trade Commission (ITC) of its determination. If the final determination is affirmative, the ITC will make its final determination before the later of 120 days after the date of this preliminary determination or 45 days after the final determination.

#### **Notification to Interested Parties**

This determination is issued and published pursuant to sections 703(f) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(c).

Dated: September 25, 2017.

### Carole Showers,

Executive Director, Office of Policy, performing the duties of Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

### Appendix I

### **Scope of the Investigation**

The merchandise covered by this investigation is aircraft, regardless of seating configuration, that have a standard 100- to 150-seat two-class seating capacity and a minimum 2,900 nautical mile range, as these terms are defined below.

"Standard 100- to 150-seat two-class seating capacity" refers to the capacity to accommodate 100 to 150 passengers, when eight passenger seats are configured for a 36-inch pitch, and the remaining passenger seats are configured for a 32-inch pitch. "Pitch" is the distance between a point on one seat and the same point on the seat in front of it.

"Standard 100- to 150-seat two-class seating capacity" does not delineate the number of seats actually in a subject aircraft or the actual seating configuration of a subject aircraft. Thus, the number of seats actually in a subject aircraft may be below 100 or exceed 150.

A "minimum 2,900 nautical mile range" means:

(i) Able to transport between 100 and 150 passengers and their luggage on routes equal to or longer than 2,900 nautical miles; or

(ii) covered by a U.S. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) type certificate or supplemental type certificate that also covers other aircraft with a minimum 2,900 nautical mile range.

The scope includes all aircraft covered by the description above, regardless of whether they enter the United States fully or partially assembled, and regardless of whether, at the time of entry into the United States, they are approved for use by the FAA.

The merchandise covered by this investigation is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 8802.40.0040. The merchandise may alternatively be classifiable under HTSUS subheading 8802.40.0090. Although these HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the investigation is dispositive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Letter from The Boeing Company (the petitioner), "100- To 150-Seat Large Civil Aircraft from Canada: Request for Alignment of Countervailing Duty Final Determination with Antidumping Duty Final Determination," dated September 11, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, the Department has found the following companies to be cross-owned with Bombardier: C Series Aircraft Limited Partnership; Short Brothers PLC; BT (Investment) UK Limited.

 $<sup>^9\,</sup>See$  19 CFR 351.309; see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

### Appendix II

### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the Investigation

IV. Injury Test

V. Subsidies Valuation

VI. Analysis of Programs

VII. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2017-21055 Filed 9-29-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

[A-583-859]

# Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar From Taiwan: Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Based on an affirmative final determination by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC), the Department is issuing the antidumping duty (AD) order on steel concrete reinforcing bar (rebar) from Taiwan.

DATES: Applicable: October 2, 2017.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jun
Jack Zhao or Kathryn Wallace at (202)
482–1396 and (202) 482–6251,
respectively, AD/CVD Operations,
Office VII, Enforcement and
Compliance, International Trade
Administration, Department of
Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue
NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

In accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.210(c), on June 27, 2017 the Department published its affirmative final determination in the less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation of rebar from Taiwan. On September 11, 2017, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of LTFV imports of subject merchandise from Taiwan within the meaning of 735(b)(1)(A)(i) of the Act.<sup>2</sup>

On September 15, the ITC published its final determination in the **Federal Register.**<sup>3</sup>

### Scope of the Order

The product covered by this order is rebar from Taiwan. For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* the Appendix to this notice.

### **Antidumping Duty Order**

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, the ITC notified the Department of its final determination in this investigation, in which it found that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports of rebar from Taiwan. Therefore, in accordance with section 735(c)(2) of the Act, we are issuing this antidumping duty order. Because the ITC determined that imports of rebar from Taiwan are materially injuring a U.S. industry, unliquidated entries of such merchandise from Taiwan, entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption, are subject to the assessment of antidumping duties.

Therefore, in accordance with section 736(a)(1) of the Act, the Department will direct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the merchandise exceeds the export price (or constructed export price) of the merchandise, for all relevant entries of rebar from Taiwan. Antidumping duties will be assessed on unliquidated entries of rebar from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after March 7, 2017, the date of publication of the Preliminary Determination, 4 but will not include entries occurring after the expiration of the provisional measures period and before publication in the Federal Register of the ITC's injury determination, as further described below.

### **Suspension of Liquidation**

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all relevant entries of rebar from Taiwan, effective the date of publication of the ITC's notice of final determination in the **Federal Register**.

These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice.

The Department will also instruct CBP to require cash deposits for estimated antidumping duties equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margins indicated below. Accordingly, effective September 15, 2017, the date of publication of the ITC's final affirmative determination in the Federal Register, CBP will require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties on this subject merchandise, a cash deposit equal to the estimated weighted-average dumping margins listed below.<sup>5</sup> The relevant all-others rates apply to all producers or exporters not specifically listed below.

### **Provisional Measures**

Section 733(d) of the Act states that the suspension of liquidation pursuant to an affirmative preliminary determination may not remain in effect for more than four months, except where exporters representing a significant proportion of exports of the subject merchandise request the Department to extend that four-month period to no more than six months. At the request of exporters that account for a significant proportion of rebar from Taiwan, the Department extended the four-month period to six months in this case.<sup>6</sup> The Department published the preliminary determination on March 7, 2017. Therefore, the extended period, beginning on the date of publication of the preliminary determination, ended on September 3, 2017. Furthermore, section 737(b) of the Act states that the collection of final cash deposits will begin on the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination.

Therefore, in accordance with section 733(d) of the Act and our practice, we will instruct CBP to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to liquidate, without regard to antidumping duties, unliquidated entries of rebar from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after September 3, 2017, until and through September 14, 2017, the day preceding the date of publication of the ITC's final injury determination in the Federal Register.

# **Estimated Weighted-Average Dumping Margins**

The weighted-average antidumping duty margin percentages are as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Taiwan: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 82 FR 34925 (June 27, 2017) (Final Determination).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See Letter from the ITC to the Honorable Gary Taverman, September 11, 2017 (Notification of ITC

Final Determination); see also Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Taiwan, Investigation No. 731–TA–1339 (Final) (September 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Taiwan, 82 FR 43403 (September 15, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bar from Taiwan: Preliminary Affirmative Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, 82 FR 12800 (March 7, 2017) (Preliminary Determination).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See section 736(a)(3) of the Act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Preliminary Determination 82 FR at 12801.