

servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Karpenko by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Karpenko may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Karpenko and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until April 28, 2022.

Dated: September 8, 2017.

Karen H. Nies-Vogel,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 2017-19527 Filed 9-13-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

In the Matter of: Alexey Krutilin, a/k/a David Powell, 16 Melioratorov Street, Ivanovskoe Village, Stavropol Region, Russia 357020; Order Denying Export Privileges

On April 28, 2017, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, Alexey Krutilin a/k/a David Powell (“Krutilin”) was convicted of violating the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701, *et seq.* (2012)) (“IEEPA”). Specifically, Krutilin was convicted of violating Section 206 of IEEPA, 50 U.S.C. 1705, by willfully conspiring with others to export from the United States to Russia microelectronics items controlled pursuant to the Export Administrations Regulations (“EAR” or “Regulations”),¹

¹ The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 CFR parts 730–774 (2017). The Regulations issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 4601–4623 (Supp. III 2015)) (available at <http://uscdo.house.gov>) (“EAA” or “the Act”). Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR, 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), which has been extended by successive Presidential

and under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Industry and Security (“BIS”), U.S. Department of Commerce (“DOC”), without the required BIS/DOC license. Krutilin was sentenced to time served and an assessment of \$100.00.

Section 766.25 of the Regulations provides, in pertinent part, that “[t]he Director of the Office of Exporter Services, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Export Enforcement, may deny the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of a violation of the EAA [Export Administration Act], the EAR, or any order, license, or authorization issued thereunder; any regulation, license or order issued under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706); 18 U.S.C. 793, 794 or 798; section 4(b) of the Internal Security Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)); or section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).” 15 CFR 766.25(a); *see also* Section 11(h) of the EAA, 50 U.S.C. 4610(h). The denial of export privileges under this provision may be for a period of up to 10 years from the date of the conviction. 15 CFR 766.25(d); *see also* 50 U.S.C. 4610(h). In addition, Section 750.8 of the Regulations states that BIS’s Office of Exporter Services may revoke any BIS licenses previously issued pursuant to the Export Administration Act (“EAA” or “the Act”) or the Regulations in which the person had an interest at the time of his conviction.

BIS has received notice of Krutilin’s conviction for violating IEEPA, and has provided notice and an opportunity for Krutilin to make a written submission to BIS, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Regulations. BIS has not received a submission from Krutilin.

Based upon my review and consultations with BIS’s Office of Export Enforcement, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Krutilin’s export privileges under the Regulations for a period of ten (10) years from the date of Krutilin’s conviction. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act or Regulations in which Krutilin had an interest at the time of his conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby *ordered*:

First, from the date of this Order until April 28, 2027, Alexey Krutilin a/k/a David Powell, with a last known address of 16 Melioratorov Street, Ivanovskoe Village, Stavropol Region, Russia 357020, and when acting for or

Notices, the most recent being that of August 15, 2017 (82 FR 39005 (Aug. 16, 2017)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701, *et seq.* (2012)).

on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives (“the Denied Person”), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as “item”) exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, license exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or engaging in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or from any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been

or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Krutilin by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Krutilin may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

Fifth, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to Krutilin and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until April 28, 2027.

Dated: September 8, 2017.

Karen H. Nies-Vogel,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 2017-19528 Filed 9-13-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Correction to the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective September 14, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Aleksandras Nakutis, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 5, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of the 2014-2015 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the

People's Republic of China (PRC).¹ The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015. The Department is issuing this notice to correct an inadvertent error in the *Final Results*. Specifically, the Department granted a separate rate to Guandong Yihua Timber Industry Co., Ltd. (Yihua Timber); however, the Department failed to take into account the completion of a changed circumstances review on the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC.² In the *CCR*, the Department determined that Yihua Lifestyle Technology Co., Ltd. (Yihua Tech) is the successor-in-interest to Yihua Timber.³ As such, effective March 22, 2017, Yihua Tech is entitled to Yihua Timber's antidumping cash deposit rate with respect to entries of subject merchandise. The Department intends to send updated cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

This correction to the final results of this administrative review is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: September 7, 2017.

Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-19529 Filed 9-13-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-804]

Certain Steel Nails From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Final Determination of No Shipments; 2015-2016

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) determines that certain

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, Final Determination of No Shipments, and Final Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015*, 82 FR 25766 (June 5, 2017) (*Final Results*).

² See *Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews*, 82 FR 14691 (March 22, 2017) (*CCR*).

³ *Id.*

steel nails (nails) from the United Arab Emirates (UAE) are being sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016.

DATES: Effective September 14, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Pulongbarit or Annathea Cook, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4031 or (202) 482-0250, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 31, 2017, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Preliminary Results* of this antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping order on nails from the UAE.¹ We invited parties to submit comments on the *Preliminary Results*, but we received no comments. The Department conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain steel nails. The product is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) 7317.00.55, 7317.00.65, and 7317.00.75. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order remains dispositive.²

Analysis of Comments Received

As noted above, we have received no comments regarding the *Preliminary Results*.

Determination of No Shipments

As noted in the *Preliminary Results*, we received no shipment claims from two companies subject to this administrative review: Oman Fasteners LLC (Oman Fasteners) and Overseas International Steel Industry LLC (OISI). In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined that these companies had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR.³ We

¹ See *Certain Steel Nails from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2015-2016*, 82 FR 24941 (May 31, 2017) and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (Preliminary Decision Memorandum) (*Preliminary Results*).

² For a complete description of the scope of this review, see *Preliminary Results*.

³ See *Preliminary Results* at 82 FR 24942.