

Bikes LLC (“Jetson”) of New York City, New York; and Newegg, Inc. (“Newegg”) of City of Industry, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. *Id.* Eight respondents remain in the investigation, *i.e.*, Chic, Swagway, Modell’s, Powerboard, Skque, Alibaba, Jetson, and Newegg (collectively, “respondents”). Every other respondent was terminated from the investigation based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order or good cause, or was found in default.

On August 10 and November 17, 2016, respectively, the Commission issued notice of its determinations not to review the ALJ’s IDs (Order Nos. 11 and 22) terminating the investigation as to Contixo based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order, and as to InMotion based on a consent order stipulation, proposed consent order, and settlement agreement. On October 19 and 27, 2016, respectively, the Commission issued notice of its determinations not to review the ALJ’s IDs (Order Nos. 19 and 20) terminating the investigation as to claim 9 of the ’278 patent and claim 4 of the patent. On September 7, October 11, and December 13, 2016, respectively, the Commission issued notice of its determinations not to review the ALJ’s IDs (Order Nos. 14, 18, and 26) finding respondents GyroGlyder, Soibatian, PhunkeeDuck, Jomo, Kebe, Supersun, Twizzle, and Uwheels in default, respondents Joy Hoverboard, Chenduoxing, Shareconn, RMT, and Cyboard in default, and respondents HoverTech, Leray, and Spaceboard in default, respectively. On January 17, 2017, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 27) terminating the investigation as to Genius Technologies for good cause. On February 15, 2017, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 42) granting complainants’ unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to their Lanham Act, common law, and state unfair and deceptive trade practices allegations under section 337(a)(1)(A).

On May 26, 2017, the ALJ issued his final ID and recommended determination (“RD”) on remedy and bonding. The ID finds that Alibaba is not an agent of the other respondents and therefore is not within the jurisdiction of section 337. It also finds that none of the respondents’ accused products infringe the ’278 patent, but that all of the defaulting respondents’ accused products infringe the asserted patent based on taking the allegations in

the complaint as true. The ID also finds that the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement was not satisfied with respect to the ’278 patent. The cover page of the ID/RD, however, states that a violation of section 337 was found, page 75 of the ID/RD states that a violation was found as to the defaulting respondents, and the separately issued “Notice Regarding Initial Determination on Violation of Section 337 and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bond” (May 26, 2017) (“Notice Regarding the ID”) states that a violation of section 337 was found. On June 5, 2017, the ALJ issued an erratum clarifying that there was no violation of section 337 because complainants had not satisfied the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement. He also issued a corrected ID/RD and Notice Regarding the ID on June 5, 2017; however, the error on page 75 of the ID/RD was not corrected. The Commission clarifies that the erratum also applies to (1) page 75 of the ID/RD and corrects that page to delete the statement that a violation has been found as to the defaulting respondents; and (2) footnote 47 on the same page, and corrects the footnote by striking “infringe the ’278 patent” and substituting “violate section 337”.

On June 12, 2017, OUII, complainants, respondent Chic, and a group of three respondents (Swagway, Modell’s, and Newegg) filed separate petitions for review of the final ID. On June 20, 2017, OUII, complainants, respondent Jetson, respondent Alibaba, and a group of four respondents (Swagway, Modell’s, Chic, and Newegg) filed separate responses to the opposing petitions.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ID, the parties’ petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review-in-part the final ID. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review (1) the ID’s finding that the Commission has no jurisdiction over Alibaba; and (2) the ID’s analysis regarding infringement by the defaulting respondents. The Commission has determined not to review the remainder of the final ID.

On review with respect to issue (1), the Commission determines to take no position on the ID’s finding that the Commission has no jurisdiction over Alibaba. On review with respect to issue (2), the Commission vacates the ID’s findings in the last paragraph on page 39 (and paragraph 5 on page 72, as well as the first sentence on page 83) that complainants have established that the defaulting respondents infringe the ’278 patent. These respondents have been

found in default by virtue of their failure to respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. *See* Comm’n Notice (September 7, 2016); Comm’n Notice (October 11, 2016); Comm’n Notice (December 13, 2016). Section 337(g)(1), 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1), provides the conditions and procedures applicable for issuing a default remedy. In light of the Commission’s determination not to review the remainder of the final ID, including but not limited to the finding that the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the ’278 patent has not been satisfied, the analysis under Section 337(g)(1) is moot.

The Commission therefore affirms the ID’s finding of no violation of section 337 and terminates the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 28, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-16325 Filed 8-2-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-703 (Fourth Review)]

Furfuryl Alcohol From China; Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) determines, pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on furfuryl alcohol from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), instituted this review on January 3, 2017 (82 FR 140) and determined on April 10, 2017, that it would conduct an expedited review (82 FR 23063, May 19, 2017).

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

The Commission made this determination pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)). It completed and filed its determination in this review on July 28, 2017. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4708 (July 2017), entitled *Furfuryl Alcohol from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-703 (Fourth Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 28, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-16324 Filed 8-2-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives

[OMB Number 1140-0019]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Federal Firearms License (FFL) RENEWAL Application—ATF F 8 (5310.11) Part 11

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF), will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the [Federal Register, on May 25, 2017, allowing for a 60-day comment period].

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until September 5, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments, particularly with respect to the estimated public burden or associated response time, have suggestions, need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or desire any other additional information, please contact Tracey Robertson, Chief, Federal Firearms Licensing Center either by mail at 244 Needy Road, Martinsburg, WV 25405, by email at Tracey.Robertson@atf.gov. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk

Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension, without change, of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Federal Firearms License (FFL) RENEWAL Application.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:*

(4) *Form number:* ATF F 8 (5310.11) Part 11.

Component: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives, U.S. Department of Justice.

(5) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Business or other for-profit.

Other: Individuals or households.

Abstract: The form is filed by the licensee desiring to renew a Federal firearms license. It is used to identify the applicant, locate the business/collection premises, identify the type of business/collection activity, and determine the eligibility of the applicant.

(6) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 35,000 respondents will utilize the form, and it

will take each respondent 30 minutes to complete the form.

(7) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 17,500 hours which is equal to (35,000 (total # of respondents * .5 (30 minutes).

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 31, 2017.

Melody Braswell,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2017-16330 Filed 8-2-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Federal Bureau of Investigation

[OMB Number 1110-0046]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Revision of a Currently Approved Collection Friction Ridge Cards: Arrest and Institution FD-249; Applicant FD-258; Personal Identification FD-353; FBI Standard Palm Print FD-884; Supplemental Finger and Palm Print FD-884a

AGENCY: Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division has submitted the following information collection renewal to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review in accordance with established review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on June 5, 2017 allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 day until September 5, 2017.

If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Gerry Lynn Brovey, Supervisory