reduced to a 4.5-mile radius (from a 8.1mile radius) of the airport, and within 2.5 miles each side of the airport 203° bearing (from 4 miles each side of the 200° bearing) of the airport extending from the airport 4.5-mile radius (from a 8.1-mile radius) to 13.9 miles southwest (from 20 miles southwest) of the airport, and within 2.2 miles (from 4 miles) each side of the airport 030° bearing extending from the airport 4.5-mile radius (from a 8.1-mile radius) to 21.6 miles northeast (from 25.8 miles) of the airport. The existing 1,200 foot airspace is removed since this would duplicate the en route airspace described below.

Class E en route airspace is established for the safety and management of IFR point-to-point operations outside of the established airway structure, and Air Traffic Control vectoring services.

# **Regulatory Notices and Analyses**

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT **Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44** FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### **Environmental Review**

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," paragraph 5–6.5a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

# Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

# Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

# §71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.11A, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2016, and effective September 15, 2016, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Designated as Surface Areas. \* \* \* \* \* \*

# ANM UT E2 St. George, UT [Modified]

St. George Regional Airport, UT (Lat. 37°02'11" N., long. 113°30'37" W.) Within a 4.5-mile radius of St. George

Regional Airport.

Paragraph 6004 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to a Class D or Class E Surface Area.

#### ANM UT E4 St. George, UT [New]

St. George Regional Airport, UT

of the airport.

(Lat. 37°02'11" N., long. 113°30'37" W.) That airspace extending upward from the surface within 1 mile each side of the St. George Regional Airport 030° bearing from the airport 4.5-mile radius to 7.7 miles northeast of the airport, and within 2 miles each side of the airport 203° bearing from the airport 4.5-mile radius to 8.5 miles southwest

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth. \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### ANM UT E5 St. George, UT [Modified]

St. George Regional Airport, UT (Lat. 37°02′11″ N., long. 113°30′37″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4.5-mile radius of the St. George Regional Airport, and within 2.5 miles each side of the airport 203° bearing, extending from the airport 4.5-mile radius to 13.9 miles southwest of the airport, and within 2.2 miles each side of the airport 4.5-mile radius to 21.6 miles northeast of the airport.

Paragraph 6006 En Route Domestic Airspace Areas.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### ANM UT E6 St. George, UT [New]

St. George Regional Airport, UT (Lat. 37°02'11" N., long. 113°30'37" W.) That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within an area bounded by lat. 37°30'00" N., long. 113°00′00″ W.; to lat. 37°48′00″ N., long. 113°30′00″ W.; to lat. 37°49′25″ N., long. 113°42'01" W.; to lat. 37°43'00" N., long. 113°47′00″ W.; to lat. 37°34′30″ N., long. 113°54′00″ W.; to lat. 37°25′32″ N., long. 113°51′22″ W.; to lat. 37°15′00″ N., long. 114°00'00" W.; to lat. 36°58'00" N., long. 114°14'03" W.; to lat. 36°19'00" N., long. 114°14′03″ W.; to lat. 35°39′00″ N., long. 114°14'03" W.; to lat. 35°22'40" N., long. 113°46'10" W.; to lat. 36°02'00" N., long. 112°58'00" W.; to lat. 36°42'00" N., long. 112°56'00" W.; to lat. 36°57'00" N., long.  $112^\circ 52'00''$  W., thence to the point of beginning.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on July 27, 2017.

#### Shawn Kozica,

Acting Group Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center. [FR Doc. 2017–16282 Filed 8–2–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

# Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2017-0355; Airspace Docket No. 17-AGL-12]

# Amendment of Class D and E Airspace; Mosinee, WI

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action modifies Class E airspace extending up to 700 feet above the surface at Central Wisconsin Airport, Mosinee, WI, to accommodate new standard instrument approach procedures for instrument flight rules (IFR) operations at the airport. This action is necessary due to the decommissioning of the Mosinee outer marker (OM) and DANCI locator outer marker (LOM) and cancellation of the associated approaches, and enhances the safety and management of IFR operations at the airport. This action also updates the geographic coordinates of the airport and the Wausau VHF **Omni-Directional Radio Range and** Collocated Tactical Air Navigation (VORTAC). This proposal also updates the geographic coordinates in Class D and Class E surface area airspace, and makes an editorial change in the legal description by replacing Airport/ Facility Directory with the term Chart Supplement.

**DATES:** Effective 0901 UTC, October 12, 2017. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under Title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.11 and publication of conforming amendments.

ADDRESSES: FAA Order 7400.11A. Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at http://www.faa.gov/ air\_traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783. The Order is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order 7400.11A at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to http:// www.archives.gov/federal register/ code of federal-regulations/ibr locations.html.

FAA Order 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Walter Tweedy (prepared by Ron Laster), Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Support Group, Central Service Center, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222–5802. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it amends Class D airspace, Class E extension area airspace, and Class E airspace extending upward 700 feet above the surface at Central Wisconsin Airport, Mosinee, WI, to support IFR operations at the airport.

#### History

The FAA published in the **Federal Register** (82 FR 22090, May 12, 2017)

Docket No. FAA–2017–0355, a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to modify Class D airspace and Class E surface area airspace and airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Central Wisconsin Airport, Mosinee, WI. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received.

Class D and E airspace designations are published in paragraph 5000, 6002 and 6005, respectively, of FAA Order 7400.11A, dated August 3, 2016, and effective September 15, 2016, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

# Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document amends FAA Order 7400.11A, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2016, and effective September 15, 2016. FAA Order 7400.11A is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order 7400.11A lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

### The Rule

This amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 modifies Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of Central Wisconsin Airport, with a segment 3.3 miles each side of the 350° bearing from the airport extending from the 7-mile radius to 12.3 miles north of the airport.

The segment within 4 miles each side of the Wausau VORTAC 039° radial extending from the 7-mile radius to 10.9 miles northeast of the airport would be removed due to the decommissioning of the Mosinee OM and DANCI LOM and cancellation of the associated approaches. This action enhances the safety and management of the standard instrument approach procedures for IFR operations at the airport. This action will also update the geographic coordinates of the airport and the Wausau VORTAC.

Additionally, this action replaces the outdated term Airport/Facility Directory with the term Chart Supplement in Class D and Class E surface area airspace, as well as updates the airport coordinates for Central Wisconsin Airport.

#### **Regulatory Notices and Analyses**

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT **Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44** FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

# **Environmental Review**

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," paragraph 5–6.5.a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

#### Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

# PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### §71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.11A, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2016, and effective September 15, 2016, is amended as follows: Paragraph 5000 Class D Airspace.

# AGL WI D Mosinee, WI [Amended]

Central Wisconsin Airport, WI

(Lat. 44°46'39" N., long. 89°40'00" W.) That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 3,800 feet MSL within a 4.5-mile radius of Central Wisconsin Airport. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Designated as Surface Areas. \* \* \* \* \* \*

# AGL WI E2 Mosinee, WI [Amended]

Central Wisconsin Airport, WI (Lat. 44°46'39" N., long. 89°40'00" W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within a 4.5-mile radius of Central Wisconsin Airport. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

#### AGL WI E5 Mosinee, WI [Amended]

Central Wisconsin Airport, WI

(Lat. 44°46′39″ N., long. 89°40′00″ W.) Wausau VORTAC

(Lat. 44°50′48″ N., long. 89°35′12″ W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 7-mile radius of the Central Wisconsin Airport, and within 3.3 miles each side of the 350° bearing from the airport extending from the 7-mile radius to 12.3 miles north of the airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on July 27, 2017.

#### Walter Tweedy,

Acting Manager, Operations Support Group, ATO Central Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2017–16284 Filed 8–2–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

# DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS

# AFFAIRS

# 38 CFR Part 4

RIN 2900-AP08

# Schedule for Rating Disabilities; Dental and Oral Conditions

**AGENCY:** Department of Veterans Affairs. **ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This document amends the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Schedule for Rating Disabilities by revising the portion of the schedule that addresses dental and oral conditions. The effect of this action is to ensure that the rating schedule uses current medical terminology and to provide detailed and updated criteria for evaluation of dental and oral conditions for disability rating purposes.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on September 10, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ioulia Vvedenskaya, M.D., M.B.A., Medical Officer, Part 4 VASRD Regulations Staff (211C), Compensation Service, Veterans Benefits Administration, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 461–9700 (This is not a toll-free telephone number).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** VA published a proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 44913 on July 28, 2015, to amend the portion of the VA Schedule of Rating Disabilities (VASRD or rating schedule) dealing with dental and oral conditions. VA provided a 60-day public comment period and interested persons were invited to submit written comments on or before September 28, 2015. VA received 5 comments.

One commenter suggested further defining the description of mandibular and maxillary malunion and maxillary non-union based on the degree of open bite under diagnostic codes 9904 and 9916. However, the severity of mandibular and maxillary displacement and its effect on anterior or posterior open bite depend on an individual's functional anatomy. Therefore, different veterans with the same degree of displacement would present with different degrees of open bite. A qualified dental provider such as a dentist or oral surgeon would appropriately determine the degree of severity in each individual case. Further, rather than basing the severity of open bite on a range of numerical values, it is standard practice for such dental providers to assess the degree of severity as severe, moderate, mild, or not causing open bite.

Additionally, the commenter suggested defining moderate and severe anterior or posterior open bite and mild anterior or posterior open bite. Similarly, due to the variances between individuals' facial anatomy, it would be improper to use exact numerical values to determine the degree of moderate and severe anterior or posterior open bite and mild anterior or posterior open bite. A qualified dental provider would appropriately measure and record these findings. Therefore, VA makes no changes based on these comments.

The same commenter had a question about why only a 20 percent rating is warranted for severe anterior or posterior open bite due to mandibular malunion and a 30 percent rating is warranted for severe anterior or posterior open bite due to maxillary malunion, while moderate anterior or posterior open bite warrants 10 percent ratings for both conditions. These variations in disability compensation are based on the differences in functional impairment due to maxillary and mandibular fractures. Unlike mandibular fracture and its residuals, maxillary fracture presents a more challenging case for repair and rehabilitation. For example, unlike mandibular fractures, maxillary fractures often communicate with sinuses and/or combine with orbital fractures. Such fractures are predisposed to contamination, sinus infection, and obstruction. Even after following treatment guidelines, significant bony resorption may occur leading to cosmetic contour deformity. Further, although such residuals of maxillary fracture raise the potential for pyramiding, such a situation is addressed by the new note (2) to § 4.150, which directs raters to separately evaluate other impairments under the appropriate diagnostic code. Therefore, the functional impairment due to maxillary fracture significantly differs from mandibular fractures. VA took these functional anatomy differences and the resultant differences in functional impairment into consideration during the revision process.

Additionally, the commenter noted that mandibular malunion and maxillary malunion and non-union do not have the same choices of severity of anterior or posterior open bite. Once more, these differences are based on differences in the functional anatomy of maxillas and mandibles and standard clinical assessments by a qualified dental provider. Therefore, VA makes no changes based on these comments.

Multiple commenters asked for additional guidance in assessing interincisal measurements of maximum unassisted vertical opening under diagnostic code 9905. One commenter stated that guidance was needed on how to handle measurements that fall between the specific numbers. Another commenter suggested adding the phrase "or less" to the whole numbers listed in the proposed rule or using a range of numbers, such as from 21 to 29 millimeters. VA applied a standard scale for the measurement of interincisal ranges, vertical and lateral, based on the Guidelines to the Evaluation of