Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to *pdr.resource@nrc.gov.* The supporting statement is available in ADAMS under Accession No.

ML17173A062.

• *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

• *NRC's Clearance Officer:* A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC's Clearance Officer, David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: *INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV.*

B. Submitting Comments.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. All comment submissions are posted at *http:// www.regulations.gov* and entered into ADAMS. Comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the OMB, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Background

Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC recently submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to OMB for review entitled, "Information Collection: Safeguards on Nuclear Material—Implementation of United States/International Atomic Energy Agency Agreement." The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The NRC published a **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day comment period on this information collection on April 6, 2017 (82 FR 16862). 1. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 75, "Information Collection: Safeguards on Nuclear Material—Implementation of United States/International Atomic Energy Agency Agreement".

2. OMB approval number: 3150–0055.

3. Type of submission: Extension.

4. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

5. How often the collection is required or requested: Selected licensees are required to provide reports of nuclear material inventory and flow for selected facilities under the US/IAEA Safeguards Agreement, permit inspections by International Atomic Energy Agency Agreement (IAEA) inspectors, complementary access of IAEA inspectors under the Additional Protocol, give immediate notice to the NRC in specified situations involving the possibility of loss of nuclear material, and give notice for imports and exports of specified amounts of nuclear material. Reporting is done when specified events occur. Recordkeeping for nuclear material accounting and control information is done in accordance with specific instructions.

6. Who will be required or asked to respond: Licensees of facilities on the U.S. eligible list who have been selected by the IAEA for reporting or recordkeeping activities.

7. The estimated number of annual responses: 7 (2 reporting responses + 5 recordkeepers).

8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 5.

9. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request: 3,960.

10. Abstract: Part 75 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, requires selected licensees to provide reports of nuclear material inventory and flow for selected facilities under the US/IAEA Safeguards Agreement, permit inspections by IAEA inspectors, complementary access of IAEA inspectors under the Additional Protocol, give immediate notice to the NRC in specified situations involving the possibility of loss of nuclear material, and give notice for imports and exports of specified amounts of nuclear material. Licensees will also follow written material accounting and control procedures, although actual reporting of transfer and material balance records to the IAEA will be done through the U.S. State system of accounting for and control of nuclear material (Nuclear Materials Management and Safeguards System, collected under OMB clearance

numbers 3150–0003, 3150–0004, 3150– 0057, and 3150–0058. The NRC needs this information to implement its responsibilities under the US/IAEA agreement. The NRC is not submitting the information collections associated with the modified Small Quantities Protocol to OMB at this time. A separate 30-day notice will be published prior to submitting the information collections associated with the final rule.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 19th day of July 2017.

For the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David Cullison,

Clearance Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017–15540 Filed 7–24–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-81171; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2017-069]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Enhance Anti-Internalization Functionality

July 19, 2017.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 6, 2017, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Chapter VI, Section 10 of the rules of the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM") to enhance anti-internalization functionality.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at *http://nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com,* at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

^{1 15} U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to enhance the antiinternalization ("AIQ") functionality provided to market makers on NOM by giving members the flexibility to choose to have this protection apply at the market participant identifier ("MPID") level (*i.e.*, existing functionality), at the Exchange account level, or at the member firm level. The Exchange believes that this enhancement will provide helpful flexibility for market making firms that wish to prevent trading against all quotes and orders entered by their firm, or Exchange account, instead of just quotes and orders that are entered under the same MPID.

Currently, the Exchange provides mandatory AIQ functionality whereby quotes and orders entered by market makers using the same MPID are not executed against quotes and orders entered on the opposite side of the market by the same market maker using the same identifier.³ When a quote or order entered by a market maker would trade with other quotes or orders from the same market maker, the trading system cancels the oldest of the quotes or orders back to the entering party prior to execution.⁴ AIQ assists market makers in reducing trading costs from unwanted executions potentially resulting from the interaction of executable buy and sell trading interest from the same firm when performing the same market making function.

Today, this protection prevents market makers from trading against their own quotes and orders at the MPID level. The proposed enhancement to this functionality would allow members to choose to have this protection applied at the MPID level as implemented today, at the Exchange account level, or at the member firm level. If members choose to have this protection applied at the Exchange account level, AIQ would prohibit quotes and orders from different MPIDs associated with the same Exchange account from trading against one another. Similarly, if the members choose to have this protection applied at the member firm level, AIQ would prohibit quotes and orders from different MPIDs within the member firm from trading against one another. Members that do not select to have this protection applied at the Exchange account level or member firm level will have their AIQ protection defaulted to the MPID level protection applied today. The Exchange believes that the proposed AIO enhancement will provide members with more tailored self-trade functionality that allows them to manage their trading as appropriate based on the members' business needs. While the Exchange believes that some firms will want to restrict AIQ to trading against interest from the same MPID*i.e.*, as implemented today—the Exchange believes that other firms will find it helpful to be able to configure AIQ to apply at the Exchange account level or at the member firm level so that they are protected regardless of which MPID the order or quote originated from. Similar functionality also exists on the BATS BZX Exchange ("BZX"), which provides members the ability to apply Match Trade Prevention ("MTP") modifiers-i.e., BZX's version of selftrade protection—based on MPID, Exchange Member, trading group, or **Exchange Sponsored Participant** identifiers.⁵

The examples below illustrate how AIQ would operate based on the MPID level protection, the Exchange account level, or for members that choose to apply AIQ at the member firm level:

Example 1

1. Member ABC (MPID 123A & 555B) with AIQ configured at the MPID level.

2. 123Å Quote: \$1.00 (5) × \$1.10 (20). 3. 555B Buy Order entered for 10

contracts at \$1.10.

4. 555B Buy Order executes 10 contracts against 123A Quote. 123A and 555B are permitted trade against one another because Member ABC has configured AIQ to apply at the MPID level. This is the same as existing functionality.

Example 2

1. Member ABC (Account 999 with MPIDs 123A and 555B, and Account 888 with MPID 789A) with AIQ configured at the Exchange account level.

- 2. 123A Quote: \$1.00 (5) × \$1.10 (20).
- 3. 789A Quote: \$1.05(10) × \$1.10 (20).
- 4. 555B Buy Order entered for 30

contracts at \$1.10. 5.555B Buy Order executes against 789A Quote but 555B Buy Order does not execute against 123A Quote. AIQ purges the 123A Quote and the remaining contracts of the 555B Buy Order rests on the book at \$1.10. 123A and 555B are not permitted trade against one another because Member ABC has configured AIQ to apply at the Exchange account level. This is new functionality as the member has opted to have AIQ operate at the Exchange account level.

Example 3

1. Same as Example 2 above but Member ABC has AIQ configured at the member level.

2. AIQ purges the 123A Quote and the 789A Quote and the 555B Buy Order rests on the book at \$1.10. This is new functionality as the member has opted to have AIQ operate at the member level.

Implementation

The Exchange proposes to launch the AIQ functionality described in this proposed rule change in either Q3 or Q4 2017. The Exchange will announce the implementation date of this functionality in an Options Trader Alert issued to members prior to the launch date.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁶ In particular, the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with

³ See Chapter VI, Section 10.

⁴ *Id.* A quote or order entered by a market maker only triggers AIQ when it would trade with other quotes or orders from the same market maker. Thus, an incoming quote or order entered by a market maker may interact with other interest with priority on the book prior to triggering AIQ.

⁵ See BZX Rule 21.1(g).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{7 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

the protection of investors and the public interest as it is designed to provide NOM market makers with additional flexibility with respect to how to implement self-trade protections provided by AIQ. Currently, all market makers are provided functionality that prevents quotes and orders from one MPID from trading with quotes and orders from the same MPID. This allows market makers to better manage their order flow and prevent undesirable executions where the market maker, using the same MIPID, would be on both sides of the trade. While this functionality is helpful to our members, some members would prefer not to trade with quotes and orders entered by different MPIDs within the same Exchange account or member. Thus, the Exchange is proposing to provide members with flexibility with respect to how AIQ is implemented. While members that like the current functionality can continue to use it, members who would prefer to prevent self-trades across different MPIDs within the same Exchange account or at the member level will now be provided with functionality that lets them do this. Similar functionality also exists on BZX,⁸ and the Exchange believes that flexibility to apply AIQ at the Exchange account or member firm level would be useful for NOM members too. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market as it will further enhance selftrade protections provided to NOM market makers similar to those protections provided on other markets. This functionality does not relieve or otherwise modify the duty of best execution owed to orders received from public customers.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,⁹ the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket or intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is designed to enhance AIQ functionality provided to NOM market makers, and will benefit members that wish to protect their quotes and orders against trading with other quotes and orders within the same Exchange account or member, rather than the more limited MPID standard applied today. The new functionality, which is similar to functionality already provided on BZX, is also completely voluntary, and members that wish to use the current functionality can also continue to do so. The Exchange does not believe that providing more flexibility to members will have any significant impact on competition. In fact, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is evidence of the competitive environment in the options industry where exchanges must continually improve their offerings to maintain competitive standing.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act ¹⁰ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4thereunder.¹¹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (*http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml*); or

• Send an email to *rule-comments*@ *sec.gov.* Please include File Number SR– NASDAQ–2017–069 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2017-069. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2017-069 and should be submitted on or before August 15, 2017.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹²

Eduardo A. Aleman,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2017–15530 Filed 7–24–17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

⁸ See supra note 5.

⁹¹⁵ U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b– 4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

^{12 17} CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).