(5) the other agency agrees in writing that in accordance with the law, it will not disclose further any information designated as confidential.

In the case at hand, all of the required elements of 40 CFR 2.209(c) have been met upon publication of this notice.

#### III. Impact on Vehicle Manufacturers

Given that EIA is aware that the shared information is CBI or has been claimed as CBI, and intends to take the necessary steps to ensure that the data provided is kept secure and confidential, there is no impact on vehicle manufacturers to the release of this data.

Dated: July 5, 2017.

# Byron Bunker,

Director, Compliance Division, Office of Transportation & Air Quality.

[FR Doc. 2017–15377 Filed 7–20–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2017-0206; FRL-9964-67-OECA]

Clean Water Act Class II: Proposed Administrative Settlement, Penalty Assessment and Opportunity To Comment Regarding JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has entered into a Consent Agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (JPMC or Respondent) to resolve violations of the Clean Water Act (CWA), the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) and the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA) and their implementing regulations.

The Administrator is hereby providing public notice of this Consent Agreement and proposed Final Order (CAFO), and providing an opportunity for interested persons to comment on the CAFO. Upon closure of the public comment period, the CAFO and any public comments will be forwarded to the Agency's Environmental Appeals Board (EAB).

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before August 21, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OECA-2017-0206, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: https://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online

instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit https://www2.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Philip Milton, Waste and Chemical Enforcement Division (2249–A), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: (202) 564–5029; fax: (202) 564–0010; email: milton.philip@epa.gov.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# **Background**

This proposed settlement agreement is the result of voluntary disclosures of CWA, CAA, RCRA and EPCRA violations by JPMC to the EPA. JPMC and affiliated entities comprise one of the largest financial services firms in the United States, offering investment banking, financial services for consumers and small businesses, commercial banking, financial transaction processing and asset management, both domestically and internationally. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a national banking association with its main office located at 1111 Polaris Parkway, Columbus, Ohio 43240.

On August 6, 2014, EPA accepted JPMC's June 19, 2014 proposal to enter into an audit agreement to audit 133 facilities owned and/or operated by JPMC or affiliated entities for compliance with the CAA, CWA, EPCRA, and RCRA under EPA's Incentives for Self-Policing: Discovery, Disclosure, Correction and Prevention of Violations (Audit Policy), 65 FR 19618 (April 11, 2000). On December 19, 2014, JPMC provided its final report.

In follow-up to its 2014 Audit and JPMC's commitment to prevent

recurrence of noncompliance in the future, JPMC developed and implemented a compliance management system (CMS) that incudes annual auditing. JPMC approached the design of this annual audit program with the same integrity and objectivity as used in the original 2014 Audit program. With the benefit of this expertise, JPMC conducted annual internal audits in 2015 (at 42 sites) and 2016 (at 39 sites) and disclosed additional potential noncompliance discovered through its 2015 and 2016 internal audits on November 20, 2015 and December 2, 2016, respectively.

All violations discovered and disclosed by the Respondent are listed in Attachments A and B to the CAFO.

#### **Proposed Settlement**

The EPA determined that Respondent satisfactorily completed its audit and has met all conditions set forth in the Audit Policy for the violations identified in Attachment A of the CAFO. Therefore, 100 percent of the gravity-based penalty calculated for the violations identified in Attachment A of the CAFO is being waived.

Attachment B of the CAFO identifies certain violations that did not meet all the conditions of the Audit Policy. For these violations, a gravity-based penalty of \$52.977 is assessed.

For all violations listed in Attachments A and B, EPA calculated an economic benefit of noncompliance of \$177,415. This number was calculated using specific cost information provided by Respondent and use of the Economic Benefit (BEN) computer model.

JPMC has agreed to pay a total civil penalty of \$230,392 for all the violations identified in Attachments A and B of the CAFO. Of this amount, \$177,415 is the economic benefit of noncompliance and \$52,977 is the gravity-based penalty for the violations listed in Attachment B of the CAFO.

Of this total amount, \$16,731 is attributable to the CAA violations, \$88,538 is attributable to the CWA SPCC violations, \$27,649 is attributable to the RCRA violations, and \$97,474 is attributable to the EPCRA violations.

The EPA and Respondent negotiated the Consent Agreement in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice, 40 CFR part 22, specifically 40 CFR 22.13(b) and 22.18(b) (*In re: JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.*; enforcement settlement identifier numbers CAA–HQ–2017–6001, CWA–HQ–2017–6001; EPCRA–HQ–2017–6001; and RCRA–HQ–2017–6001). This Consent Agreement is subject to public notice and comment under Section

311(b)(6)(C) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(C). The procedures by which the public may comment on a proposed CWA Class II penalty order, or participate in a Class II penalty proceeding, are set forth in 40 CFR 22.45. The deadline for submitting public comment on this proposed Final Order is [INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE Federal Register]. All comments will be transferred to the EAB for consideration. The EAB's powers and duties are outlined in 40 CFR 22.4(a).

# **Disclosed and Corrected Violations** *CWA*

Respondent disclosed that it failed to prepare and/or implement a Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan in violation of CWA Section 311(j), 33 U.S.C. 1321(j), and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR part 112, at the following forty-four (44) facilities located in Arizona, California, Delaware, Florida, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Texas, identified in Attachments A and B of the CAFO.

Facility	Street	City	State
Sky Harbor Operations Center	1820 E Sky Harbor Circle S	Phoenix	Arizona.
560 Mission Street	560 Mission Street	San Francisco	California.
Iron Hill-Cole House Bldg	4041 Ogletown Road	Newark	Delaware.
880 Powder Mill Rd	880 Powder Mill Rd	Wilmington	Delaware.
Four Christina Center	300 King Street	Wilmington	Delaware.
7255 Baymeadows Way	7255 Baymeadows Way	Jacksonville	Florida.
7301 Baymeadows Way	7301 Baymeadows Way	Jacksonville	Florida.
H01—H03 Highland Manor Drive	10410-30 Highland Manor Drive	Tampa	Florida.
1200 W Cypress Street	4200 W. Cypress Street, Suite 350	Tampa	Florida.
HO1-10430 Highland Manor	10430 Highland Manor Drive	Tampa	Florida.
ndianapolis West Operation Center	7610 West Washington Street	Indianapolis	Indiana.
RS Louisville Operations	5101 Interchange Way	Louisville	Kentucky.
/ault Complex	1245 Edwards Road	Harahan	Louisiana.
Delta Drive Complex	780 Kansas Lane	Monroe	Louisiana.
	780 Delta Drive.	Wiching	Louisiana.
	700 Kansas Lane.		
Zachary Command Center	4431-B Highway 19	Zachary	Louisiana.
I51 D Street	70 Fargo Street	Boston	Massachusetts.
Belleville Technology Center	9000 Haggerty Road	Belleville	Michigan.
Northeastern Blvd	1 Northeastern Blvd	Salem	New Hampshire.
Northeastern Blvd.	4 Northeastern Blvd		
Northeastern Blvd.	5 Northeastern Blvd		
Carlstadt Data Center	600 Commerce Boulevard	Carlstadt	New Jersey.
NOC 5—575 Washington	575 Washington Boulevard	Jersey City	New Jersey.
Veehawken Data Center	300 Boulevard East	Weehawken	New Jersey.
Flatbush Ave	883 Flatbush Avenue	Brooklyn	New York.
VM5469 Dekalb	9 DeKalb Ave	Brooklyn	New York.
New Rochelle Main St	491 Main Street	New Rochelle	New York.
SoHo	525 Broadway	New York	New York.
883 Madison Avenue (BSC)	383 Madison Avenue	New York	New York.
VM5477 East Village	130 Second Ave	New York	New York.
70 Park Avenue	270 Park Avenue	New York	New York.
000 Polaris	1000 Polaris Parkway	Columbus	Ohio.
Polaris McCoy Center	1111 Polaris Parkway	Columbus	Ohio.
3415 Vision Drive	3415 Vision Drive	Columbus	Ohio.
340 McCoy Building	340 South Cleveland Avenue	Westerville	Ohio.
370 McCoy Building	370 South Cleveland Avenue	Westerville	Ohio.
880 McCoy Building	380 South Cleveland Avenue	Westerville	Ohio.
, ,	4501 New York Avenue		Texas.
Arlington Call CenterVM7097 Dallas EDC 1	2500 Marsh Lane	Arlington	Texas.
Freeport IV	1111 North Point Drive	Coppell	Texas.
Freeport TX	625 Freeport Parkway	Coppell	Texas.
PMIP One	14201 North Dallas Parkway	Farmers Branch	Texas.
111 Fannin VM7266 Royal Ridge Operations Center	3929 W John Carpenter Freeway	Houston	Texas. Texas.
RROC.	0700	,,	_
Lake Vista III (BSC)	2780 Lake Vista Drive	Lewisville	Texas.
Lake Vista 7—Lewisville	2777 Lake Vista Drive	Lewisville	Texas.
WM2200 Stone Oak Bldg C	20855 Stone Oak Parkway	San Antonio	Texas.

Under CWA Section 311(b)(6)(A), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(A), any owner, operator, or person in charge of a vessel, onshore facility, or offshore facility from which oil is discharged in violation of CWA Section 311(b)(3), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(3), or who fails or refuses to comply with any regulations that have

been issued under CWA Section 311(j), 33 U.S.C. 1321(j), may be assessed an administrative civil penalty of up to \$226,338 by the EPA. Class II proceedings under CWA Section 311(b)(6), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6), are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22. As authorized by CWA Section

311(b)(6), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6), the EPA has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

Pursuant to CWA Section 311(b)(6)(C), 33 U.S.C. 1321(b)(6)(C), the EPA will not issue an order in this proceeding prior to the close of the public comment period.

#### **EPCRA**

Respondent disclosed that it violated EPCRA Section 311(a), 42 U.S.C. 11021(a), and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR part 370, at seventy-four (74) facilities listed in Attachments A and B of the CAFO when it failed to submit a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for a hazardous chemical(s) and/or extremely hazardous substance(s) or, in the alternative, a list of such chemicals, to the LEPCs, SERCs, and the fire departments with jurisdiction over these facilities. These seventy-four (74) facilities are located in the following states: Arizona, California, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Texas.

Respondent disclosed that it violated EPCRA Section 312(a), 42 U.S.C. 11022(a), and the implementing regulations found at 40 CFR part 370, at seventy-three (73) facilities listed in Attachments A and B of the CAFO when it failed to prepare and submit emergency and chemical inventory forms to the LEPCs, SERCs, and the fire departments with jurisdiction over these facilities. These seventy-one facilities are located in the following states: Arizona, California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, and Texas.

Under EPCRA Section 325, 42 U.S.C. 11045, the Administrator may issue an administrative order assessing a civil penalty against any person who has violated applicable emergency planning or right-to-know requirements, or any other requirement of EPCRA. Proceedings under EPCRA Section 325, 42 U.S.C. 11045, are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22. The EPA, as authorized by EPCRA Section 325, 42 U.S.C. 11045, has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

## CAA

# **ODS** Requirements

Respondent disclosed that it violated CAA Sections 608(a)(1) and (2), 42 U.S.C. 7671g(a)(l) and (2), and the implementing regulations found 40 CFR part 82, at thirty-four (34) facilities listed in Attachments A and B of the CAFO when it failed to maintain records required by 40 CFR 82.166 for its appliances. These thirty-four (34) facilities are located in the following states: Arizona, California, Illinois, Louisiana, Michigan, Missouri, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, and Wisconsin.

#### CAA NSPS Subpart IIII

Respondent disclosed that it failed to comply with CAA Section 111, 42 U.S.C. 7411, and 40 CFR part 60, subpart IIII when it failed to maintain a 12-month rolling total log of the hours of operation for four (4) compression ignition internal combustion engines (emergency generators) at one (1) facility in Michigan.

Under CAA Section 113(d), 42 U.S.C. 7413(d), the Administrator may issue an administrative penalty order to any person who has violated or is in violation of any applicable requirement or prohibition of the CAA, including any rule, order, waiver, permit, or plan. Proceedings under CAA Section 113(d), 42 U.S.C. 7413(d), are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22. The EPA, as authorized by the CAA, has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

#### RCRA

Respondent disclosed that it failed to comply with RCRA Section 3002 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6922, and the regulations found at 40 CFR part 273, at seventy-eight (78) facilities listed in Attachments A and B of the CAFO when it failed to maintain proper universal waste disposal and handling by failing to properly store, label, or inventory spent fluorescent lamps and tubes, used lead-acid batteries, and by failing to train employees in proper identification. These seventy-eight (78) facilities are located in the following states: Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, Washington, and Wisconsin.

Under RCRA Section 3008, 42 U.S.C. 6928, the Administrator may issue an order assessing a civil penalty for any past or current violation the RCRA. Proceedings under RCRA Section 3008, 42 U.S.C. 6928, are conducted in accordance with 40 CFR part 22. The EPA, as authorized by the RCRA, has assessed a civil penalty for these violations.

# List of Subjects

Environmental protection.

Dated: June 29, 2017.

#### Susan Shinkman,

Director, Office of Civil Enforcement, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance. [FR Doc. 2017–15376 Filed 7–20–17; 8:45 am]

## BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9965-19-Region 1]

Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement: Parker Street Waste Site, New Bedford, Massachusetts

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed settlement; request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative cost settlement for recovery of response costs concerning the Parker Street Waste Site, located in New Bedford, Bristol County, Massachusetts with the Settling Party the City of New Bedford. The proposed settlement requires the Settling Party pay EPA \$1,600,000 to settle EPA's past response costs, which currently amount to \$18,408,619. In exchange, EPA will provide the Settling Party with a covenant not to sue for past costs. The settlement has been approved by the **Environmental and Natural Resources** Division of the United States Department of Justice. For 30 days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement for recovery of response costs. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to this cost recovery settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at the New Bedford Free Public Library, 613 Pleasant Street, New Bedford 02740 and at the Environmental Protection Agency—Region I, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100, Boston, MA 02109-3912.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted by August 21, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to John Kilborn, Senior Enforcement Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04–3), Boston, MA 02109–3912 (Telephone No. 617–918–1893) and should reference the Parker Street Waste Site, U.S. EPA Docket No: CERCLA 01–2012–0040.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Stacy Greendlinger, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OSRR02–2),