

program. In addition to monitoring information, EPA needs information on the toxics control program required by section 125.66 to ensure that the permittee is effectively minimizing industrial and nonindustrial toxic pollutant and pesticide discharges into the treatment works.

(3) Application revision information: Section 125.59(d) of 40 CFR allows a POTW to revise its application one time only, following a tentative decision by EPA to deny the waiver request. In its application revision, the POTW usually corrects deficiencies and changes proposed treatment levels as well as outfall and diffuser locations. The application revision is a voluntary submission for the applicant, and a letter of intent to revise the application must be submitted within 45 days of EPA's tentative decision (40 CFR 125.59(f)). EPA needs this information to evaluate revised applications to determine whether the modified discharge will ensure protection of water quality, biological habitats, and beneficial uses of receiving waters.

(4) State determination and state certification information: For revised or renewal applications for section 301(h) waivers, EPA needs a state determination. The state determines whether all state laws (including water quality standards) are satisfied. This helps ensure that water quality, biological habitats, and beneficial uses of receiving waters are protected. Additionally, the state must determine if the applicant's discharge will result in additional treatment, pollution control, or any other requirement for any other point or nonpoint sources. This process allows the state's views to be taken into account when EPA reviews the section 301(h) application and develops permit conditions. For revised and renewed section 301(h) waiver applications, EPA also needs the CWA section 401(a)(1) certification information to ensure that all state water quality laws are met by any permit it issues with a section 301(h) modification, and the state accepts all the permit conditions. This information is the means by which the state can exercise its authority to concur with or deny a section 301(h) decision made by the EPA Regional Office.

Form Numbers: "None."

Respondents/affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are those municipalities that currently have section 301(h) waivers from secondary treatment, or have applied for a renewal of a section 301(h) waiver, and the states within which these municipalities are located.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Voluntary, required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Estimated number of respondents: Est. 50 (total).

Frequency of response: From once every five years, to varies case-by-case, depending on the category of information.

Total estimated burden: 59,370 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b)

Total estimated cost: \$1.3 million (per year), includes \$0 annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in Estimates: There is a decrease of hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with the ICR currently approved by OMB. EPA expects the numbers will decrease due to changes in respondent universe, use of technology, etc.

Dated: May 2, 2017.

John Goodin,

Acting Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans and Watersheds.

[FR Doc. 2017-13677 Filed 6-28-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OECA-2013-0315; FRL-9964-17-OEI]

Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; NSPS for Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration (CISWI) Units (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart CCCC) (Renewal)

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency has submitted an information collection request (ICR), "NSPS for Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration (CISWI) Units (Renewal)" to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. This is a proposed extension of the ICR, which is currently approved through June 30, 2017. Public comments were previously requested via the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2016 during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A fuller description of the ICR is given below, including its estimated burden and cost to the public. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before July 31, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OECA-2013-0315, to (1) EPA online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), by email to docket.oeca@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB via email to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick Yellin, Monitoring, Assistance, and Media Programs Division, Office of Compliance, Mail Code 2227A, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564-2970; fax number: (202) 564-0050; email address: yellin.patrick@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents for this ICR (NSPS for Commercial and Industrial Solid Waste Incineration (CISWI) Units (40 CFR part 60, subpart CCCC) (Renewal); EPA ICR No. 2384.04; OMB Control No. 2060-0662), which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, EPA West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Abstract: Owners and operators of affected facilities are required to comply with reporting and recordkeeping requirements for the general provisions of 40 CFR part 60, subpart A, as well as the specific requirements at 40 CFR part 60, subpart CCCC. This includes submitting initial notifications, performance tests and periodic reports and results, and maintaining records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility, or any period during which the monitoring system is inoperative. These reports are

used by EPA to determine compliance with the standards.

Form numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities:

Commercial and industrial solid waste incineration units.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (40 CFR part 60, subpart CCCC).

Estimated number of respondents: 8 (total).

Frequency of response: Initially, occasionally, semiannually and annually.

Total estimated burden: 1,450 hours (per year). Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

Total estimated cost: \$779,000 (per year), includes \$630,000 annualized capital or operation & maintenance costs.

Changes in the estimates: There is an adjustment increase in the total estimated burden, labor costs and capital and O&M costs as currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved Burdens. This increase is not due to any program changes. The change in the burden and cost estimates occurred because the respondent universe has increased since the most recently approved ICR.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2017-13591 Filed 6-28-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0345; FRL-9964-02-Region 9]

Adequacy Status of Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets in Submitted Ozone Attainment Plan for San Joaquin Valley, California

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Adequacy.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is notifying the public that the Agency has found that the motor vehicle emission budgets (MVEBs or "budgets") for ozone for the years 2018, 2021, 2024, 2027, 2030, and 2031 in the San Joaquin Valley 2016 Plan for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard ("2016 Ozone Plan") are adequate for transportation conformity purposes for the 2008 8-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). The California Air Resources Board (CARB) submitted the 2016 Ozone Plan to the EPA on August 24, 2016, as a revision to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). Upon the effective date of this notice of adequacy, the previously-approved budgets for the 1997 8-hour ozone standards will no longer be applicable for transportation conformity purposes, and the metropolitan planning organizations in the San Joaquin Valley and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use these budgets for future transportation conformity determinations.

DATES: This rule is effective on July 14, 2017.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action, identified by Docket ID Number EPA-R09-OAR-2017-0345. The index to the docket is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the EPA Region IX office, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., confidential business information). To inspect the hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anita Lee, (415) 972-3958, or by email at lee.anita@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean the EPA.

This notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. On June 13, 2017, the Region IX office of the EPA sent a letter to CARB stating that the MVEBs in the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District's 2016 Ozone Plan for the reasonable further progress milestone years of 2018, 2021, 2024, 2027, and 2030, and the attainment year of 2031, are adequate.¹

We announced the availability of the budgets on the EPA's adequacy review Web page from February 23, 2017, through March 27, 2017.² We did not receive any comments on the budgets. The MVEBs are provided in the following table:

ADEQUATE MVEBS IN THE 2016 PLAN FOR THE 2008 8-HOUR OZONE STANDARD^a

[Tons per summer planning day]

| County | 2018 | | 2021 | | 2024 | | 2027 | | 2030 | | 2031 | |
|-----------------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|------|-----------------|
| | ROG | NO _x | ROG | NO _x | ROG | NO _x | ROG | NO _x | ROG | NO _x | ROG | NO _x |
| Fresno | 8.0 | 27.7 | 6.4 | 22.2 | 5.4 | 14.1 | 4.9 | 13.2 | 4.5 | 12.6 | 4.3 | 12.5 |
| Kern ^b ... | 6.6 | 25.4 | 5.5 | 20.4 | 4.8 | 12.6 | 4.5 | 11.7 | 4.2 | 10.9 | 4.1 | 10.8 |
| Kings ... | 1.3 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| Madera | 1.9 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| Merced | 2.5 | 9.4 | 2.0 | 7.8 | 1.6 | 4.8 | 1.5 | 4.4 | 1.3 | 4.2 | 1.3 | 4.1 |
| San Joaquin .. | 5.9 | 13.0 | 4.9 | 10.3 | 4.2 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 5.5 |
| Stanislaus | 3.8 | 10.5 | 3.0 | 8.3 | 2.6 | 5.6 | 2.3 | 5.1 | 2.1 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 4.7 |
| Tulare .. | 3.7 | 9.5 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 2.2 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 3.7 |

^a CARB calculated the MVEBs by taking each county's emissions results from EMFAC2014 (short for EMISSION FACTOR 2014 version) and then rounding each county's emissions up to the nearest tenth of a ton. The EPA approved EMFAC2014 for use in SIP revisions and transportation conformity at 80 FR 77337 (December 14, 2015).

^b San Joaquin Valley portion.

¹ See letter from Richard Corey, CARB, to Alexis Strauss, EPA, dated August 24, 2016, and letter

from Elizabeth Adams, EPA, to Richard Corey, CARB dated June 13, 2017.

² See <https://www.epa.gov/state-and-local-transportation/state-implementation-plans-sip-submissions-currently-under-epa#Sanjoaquin2017>.