

- § 482.24(b): Updated its standards to fully address requirements for the form and retention of medical records.
- § 482.24(c)(2) through (c)(4)(viii): Updated the Medicare regulatory language on its standards crosswalk to ensure that its accreditation standards are consistent with Medicare standards.
- § 482.25(b)(2)(ii): Updated the crosswalk and standard to add references to the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970.
- § 482.26: Updated the summary description of this provision in the crosswalk to be consistent with its accreditation standards.
- § 482.41: Revised its standards to reflect the requirements of the “Physical Environment”.
- § 482.43: Revised its standards to ensure that the hospital discharge planning process applies to all patients.
- § 482.51(b)(6) and § 482.56(a)(2): Updated the summary description of these provisions in the crosswalk to be consistent with its accreditation standards.
- § 482.56(b)(2): Revised its standards to address the requirements at § 409.17 related to physical therapy, occupational therapy, and speech language pathology services.
- § 482.57(b)(3): Updated the CFR citation to properly reference the regulatory requirement on its crosswalk.
- § 482.57(b)(4): Updated the CFR citation to properly reference the regulatory requirement on its crosswalk and in its accreditation standards.
- § 488.4(a)(6): Revised its standards to include a process to track and trend complaints received.
- § 488.5(a)(4)(ii): Revised its standards to ensure that an appropriate number of open, inpatient medical records are fully reviewed during the survey process.
- § 488.5(a)(4)(iv): Revised its standards to assure that findings of non-compliance are documented under all appropriate CMS standards where non-compliance is found; and that adverse findings for each CoP are reviewed for manner and degree of non-compliance and subsequently cited at the appropriate level (that is, condition versus standard level).
- § 488.5(a)(7) through (9): Revised its standards to ensure that newly hired surveyors receive orientation so as to ensure AO compliance with these provisions.
- § 488.26(b): Revised its standards to improve surveyor documentation to include the appropriately detailed deficiency statements that clearly support the determination of noncompliance and level of deficiency.

- § 489.13: Revised its standards to reflect CMS policy regarding effective dates of participation in the Medicare program and develop a plan for monitoring for sustained compliance.
- CIHQ revised its complaint policy and procedure to clearly identify the individual(s) that are responsible for triaging complaints submitted to the accrediting organization.
- CIHQ revised its policy to clarify that an “Immediate Jeopardy” finding remains cited at the Conditional level, even if abated while onsite.

#### *B. Term of Approval*

Based on our review and observations described in section III of this final notice, we have determined that CIHQ’s hospital program requirements meet or exceed our requirements. Therefore, we approve CIHQ as a national accreditation organization for hospitals that request participation in the Medicare program, effective July 26, 2017 through July 26, 2023.

#### **V. Collection of Information Requirements**

This document does not impose information collection requirements, that is, reporting, recordkeeping or third-party disclosure requirements. Consequently, there is no need for review by the Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Dated: June 20, 2017.

**Seema Verma,**

*Administrator, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services.*

[FR Doc. 2017–13207 Filed 6–23–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4120–01–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

### **Administration for Children and Families**

#### **Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request**

#### **Proposed Projects**

*Title:* Multistate Financial Institution Data Match and Federally Assisted State Transmitted Levy (MSFIDM/FAST Levy).

*OMB No.:* 0970–0196.

*Description:* Section 466(a)(17) of the Social Security Act (the Act) requires states to establish procedures for their child support agencies to enter into agreements with financial institutions doing business in their state for the purpose of securing information leading to the enforcement of child support

orders. Under 452(m) and 466(a)(17)(A)(i) of the Act, the Secretary may aid state agencies conducting data matches with financial institutions doing business in two or more states by establishing a centralized and standardized matching program through the Federal Parent Locator Service.

To further assist states collect child support, the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) worked with child support agencies and financial institutions to develop the Federally Assisted State Transmitted (FAST) Levy system.

FAST Levy is a central, standardized, and secure electronic process for child support agencies and financial institutions to exchange information about levying financial accounts to collect past-due support. OCSE picks up files created by child support agencies that contain FAST Levy requests and distributes them to financial institutions that use the FAST Levy system. Those financial institutions create response files that OCSE picks up and distributes to the child support agencies.

The MSFIDM/FAST-Levy information collection activities are authorized by: 42 U.S.C. 652(m), which authorizes OCSE, through the Federal Parent Locator Service, to aid state child support agencies and financial institutions doing business in two or more states reach agreements regarding the receipt from financial institutions, and the transfer to the state child support agencies, of information pertaining to the location of accounts held by obligors who owe past-due support; 42 U.S.C. 666(a)(2) and (c)(1)(G)(ii), which require state child support agencies in cases in which there is an arrearage to establish procedures to secure assets to satisfy any current support obligation and the arrearage by attaching and seizing assets of the obligor held in financial institutions; 42 U.S.C. 666(a)(17)(A), which requires state child support agencies to establish procedures under which the state child support agencies shall enter into agreements with financial institutions doing business in the State to develop and operate, in coordination with financial institutions, and the Federal Parent Locator Service (in the case of financial institutions doing business in two or more States), a data match system, using automated data exchanges to the maximum extent feasible, in which a financial institution is required to quarterly provide information pertaining to a noncustodial parent owing past-due support who maintains an account at the institution and, in response to a notice of lien or levy, encumber or surrender, assets held; 42

U.S.C. 652(a)(7), which requires OCSE to provide technical assistance to state child support enforcement agencies to help them establish effective systems for collecting child and spousal support; and, 45 CFR 303.7(a)(5), which requires state child support agencies to transmit

requests for information and provide requested information electronically to the greatest extent possible. To facilitate this requirement for states, OCSE developed the *FAST* Levy system that supports the electronic exchange of lien and levy information between child

support agencies and financial institutions.

*Respondents:* Multistate Financial Institutions and State Child Support Agencies.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
Financial Data Match Result File-Portal .....	192	4	5 minutes <sup>1</sup> .....	64
Election Form .....	30	1	0.5 .....	15
FAST-Levy Record Specifications: Current Financial Institutions Users to Program New Codes.	1	1	65 <sup>2</sup> .....	65
FAST-Levy Record Specifications: Current State Child Support Agencies to Program New Codes.	3	1	65 .....	195
FAST-Levy Response Withhold Record Specifications: Financial Institutions.	1	1	1,716 .....	1,716
FAST-Levy Request Withhold Record Specifications: State Child Support Agencies.	2	1	1,610 .....	3,220

<sup>1</sup> Estimate is approximately 5 minutes per response. For calculation, use 5/60.

<sup>2</sup> Estimate is an average based on input from OCSE's matching partners.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 5,275.

*Additional Information:* Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 330 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20201, Attention Reports Clearance Officer. All requests should be identified by the information collection. Email address: [infocollection@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:infocollection@acf.hhs.gov).

*OMB Comment:* OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, email: [OIRA\\_SUBMISSION@OMB.EOP.GOV](mailto:OIRA_SUBMISSION@OMB.EOP.GOV), Attn: Desk Officer for the Administration for Children and Families.

**Bob Sargis,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2017-13252 Filed 6-23-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184-41-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Children and Families**

**Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request**

*Title:* Evaluation of Employment Coaching for TANF and Other Low-Income Populations.

*OMB No.:* New Collection.

*Description:* The Administration for Children and Families (ACF) is proposing a data collection activity as part of the Evaluation of Employment Coaching for TANF and Other Low-Income Populations. This study will provide an opportunity to learn more about the potential of coaching to help clients achieve self-sufficiency and other desired employment-related outcomes. It will take place over five years in up to three employment programs. These programs may be Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) agencies or other public or private employment programs that serve low-income individuals. Selected sites will include a robust coaching component and have the capacity to conduct a rigorous impact evaluation, among other criteria. This study will provide information on whether coaching helps people obtain and retain jobs, advance in their careers, move toward self-sufficiency, and improve their overall well-being. To meet these objectives, this study will include an impact and implementation study.

The impact study will involve participants being randomly assigned to either a “program group,” who will be paired with a coach, or to a “control group,” who will not be paired with a coach. The effectiveness of the coaching will be determined by differences between members of the program and control groups in outcomes such as obtaining and retaining employment, earnings, measures of self-sufficiency, and measures of self-regulation.

The implementation study will document coaching practices, describe lessons learned from implementing coaching, and enhance interpretation of the impact study findings.

The proposed information collection activities are: (1) Baseline data collection: Collection of characteristics data on all study participants as they enroll in the study. Data will be entered into the Random Assignment, Participant Tracking Enrollment, and Reporting (RAPTER) system; (2) First follow-up survey: Collection of outcome data for a subset of study participants about 9 months after random assignment; (3) Semi-structured staff interviews: Collection of qualitative data on the design and implementation of the program; (4) Staff survey: Collection of information on staff members’ professional backgrounds, training, coaching practices, and attitudes; (5) In-depth participant interviews: Collection of detailed information about the participants’ backgrounds and experiences with coaching; (6) Staff reports of program service receipt: Collection of data on coaching and other program services received by study