

administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from Turkey for the period May 22, 2015, through November 30, 2016.

**DATES:** Effective June 1, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Alice Maldonado or David Crespo, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4682 or (202) 482-3693, respectively.

**Background**

On December 1, 2016, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from Turkey for the period May 22, 2015, through November 30, 2016.<sup>1</sup> In December 2016 and January 2017, the Department received timely requests, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), to conduct an administrative review of this antidumping duty order from Maverick Tube Corporation (Maverick), Stupp Corporation, a division of Stupp Bros., Inc. (Stupp Corp.), and American Cast Iron Pipe Company (ACIPCO) (collectively, the petitioners), and from Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. and Borusan Istikbal Ticaret (collectively, Borusan).<sup>2</sup> Based upon these requests, on February 13, 2017, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation listing nineteen companies for which the Department received timely requests for review.<sup>3</sup> On March 3 and May 9, 2017, Borusan and the petitioners, respectively, withdrew all requests for an administrative review.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 81 FR 86694 (December 1, 2016).

<sup>2</sup> See Letters from Maverick and from Stupp Corp. and ACIPCO to the Department, “Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Request for Administrative Review,” dated December 30, 2016; see also Letter from Borusan to the Department, “Welded Line Pipe from Turkey, Case No. A-489-822: Request for Administrative Review,” dated January 3, 2017.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 82 FR 10457 (February 13, 2017).

<sup>4</sup> See Letter from Borusan to the Department, “Welded Line Pipe from Turkey, Case No. A-489-822: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated March 3, 2017; see also Letters from Maverick and from Stupp Corp. and ACIPCO to the Department, “Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review,” dated May 9, 2017.

**Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. All the aforementioned withdrawal requests were timely submitted, and no other interested party requested an administrative review of any company. Therefore, we are rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded line pipe from Turkey covering the period May 22, 2015, through November 30, 2016.

**Assessment**

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

**Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders**

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 24, 2017.

**Gary Taverman,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2017-11206 Filed 5-31-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-351-838, A-533-840, A-570-893, A-549-822, A-552-802]

**Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, India, the People’s Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders (India, the People’s Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam) and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order (Brazil)**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (the Department) and the International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping (AD) duty orders on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (shrimp) from India, the People’s Republic of China (the PRC), Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders. In addition, as a result of the ITC’s determination that revocation of the AD duty order on shrimp from Brazil is not likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is revoking the AD order on shrimp from Brazil.

**DATES:** AD Revocation (Brazil): Effective April 29, 2016; AD Continuation (India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam): Effective June 1, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Terre Keaton Stefanova, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1280.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On February 1, 2005, the Department published the AD orders on shrimp from Brazil, India, the PRC, Thailand,

and Vietnam.<sup>1</sup> On March 1, 2016, the Department initiated<sup>2</sup> and the ITC instituted<sup>3</sup> five-year (“sunset”) reviews of the AD *Orders* on shrimp from Brazil, India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). As a result of its reviews, the Department determined that revocation of the AD orders on shrimp from Brazil, India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins of dumping likely to prevail were the orders revoked.<sup>4</sup>

On May 25, 2017, the ITC published its determinations, pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752(a) of the Act, that revocation of the AD orders on shrimp from India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time, but that revocation of the AD order on shrimp from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil*, 70 FR 5143 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from India*, 70 FR 5147 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 5149 (February 1, 2005); *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 70 FR 5152 (February 1, 2005); and *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Thailand*, 70 FR 5145 (February 1, 2005) (collectively, *Orders*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 81 FR 10578 (March 1, 2016) (*Notice of Initiation*).

<sup>3</sup> See *Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam Institution of five-year reviews*, 81 FR 10659 (March 1, 2016).

<sup>4</sup> See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, India, the People's Republic of China and Thailand: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 44275 (July 7, 2016); see also *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of the Second Five-Year Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 81 FR 63469 (September 15, 2016); and *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of the Second Five-Year Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 8724 (January 30, 2017).

<sup>5</sup> See *Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from Brazil, China, India, Thailand, and Vietnam; Determination*, 82 FR 24144 (May 25, 2017).

### Scope of the Orders

The products covered by the *Orders* include certain frozen warmwater shrimp and prawns whether wild-caught (ocean harvested) or farm-raised (produced by aquaculture), head-on or head-off, shell-on or peeled, tail-on or tail-off,<sup>6</sup> deveined or not deveined, cooked or raw, or otherwise processed in frozen form.

The frozen warmwater shrimp and prawn products included in the *Orders*, regardless of definitions in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), are products which are processed from warmwater shrimp and prawns through freezing and which are sold in any count size.

The products described above may be processed from any species of warmwater shrimp and prawns. Warmwater shrimp and prawns are generally classified in, but are not limited to, the Penaeidae family. Some examples of the farmed and wild-caught warmwater species include, but are not limited to, whiteleg shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*), banana prawn (*Penaeus merguensis*), fleshy prawn (*Penaeus chinensis*), giant river prawn (*Macrobrachium rosenbergii*), giant tiger prawn (*Penaeus monodon*), redspotted shrimp (*Penaeus brasiliensis*), southern brown shrimp (*Penaeus subtilis*), southern pink shrimp (*Penaeus notialis*), southern rough shrimp (*Trachypenaeus curvirostris*), southern white shrimp (*Penaeus schmitti*), blue shrimp (*Penaeus stylirostris*), western white shrimp (*Penaeus occidentalis*), and Indian white prawn (*Penaeus indicus*).

Frozen shrimp and prawns that are packed with marinade, spices or sauce are included in the scope of the *Orders*. In addition, food preparations, which are not “prepared meals,” that contain more than 20 percent by weight of shrimp or prawn are also included in the scope of the orders.

Excluded from the *Orders* are: (1) Breaded shrimp and prawns (HTSUS subheading 1605.20.10.20); (2) shrimp and prawns generally classified in the *Pandalidae* family and commonly referred to as coldwater shrimp, in any state of processing; (3) fresh shrimp and prawns whether shell-on or peeled (HTSUS subheadings 0306.23.00.20 and 0306.23.00.40); (4) shrimp and prawns in prepared meals (HTSUS subheading 1605.20.05.10); (5) dried shrimp and prawns; (6) canned warmwater shrimp and prawns (HTSUS subheading 1605.20.10.40); (7) certain dusted shrimp; and (8) certain battered shrimp.

<sup>6</sup> “Tails” in this context means the tail fan, which includes the telson and the uropods.

Dusted shrimp is a shrimp-based product: (1) That is produced from fresh (or thawed-from-frozen) and peeled shrimp; (2) to which a “dusting” layer of rice or wheat flour of at least 95 percent purity has been applied; (3) with the entire surface of the shrimp flesh thoroughly and evenly coated with the flour; (4) with the non-shrimp content of the end product constituting between four and 10 percent of the product’s total weight after being dusted, but prior to being frozen; and (5) that is subjected to IQF freezing immediately after application of the dusting layer. Battered shrimp is a shrimp-based product that, when dusted in accordance with the definition of dusting above, is coated with a wet viscous layer containing egg and/or milk, and par-fried.

The products covered by the *Orders* are currently classified under the following HTSUS subheadings: 0306.13.00.03, 0306.13.00.06, 0306.13.00.09, 0306.13.00.12, 0306.13.00.15, 0306.13.00.18, 0306.13.00.21, 0306.13.00.24, 0306.13.00.27, 0306.13.00.40, 1605.20.10.10, and 1605.20.10.30. These HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes only and are not dispositive, but rather the written description of the scope of the orders is dispositive.

### Continuation of the AD Orders on Shrimp From India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam

As a result of the determinations by the Department and the ITC that revocation of the AD orders on shrimp from India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam would likely lead to a continuation or a recurrence of dumping and of material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the AD orders on Shrimp from India, the PRC, Thailand, and Vietnam. U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) will continue to collect AD cash deposits at the rates in effect at the time of entry for all imports of subject merchandise. The effective date of the continuation of the orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this notice of continuation. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year review of these orders not later than 30 days prior to the fifth anniversary of the effective date of continuation.

### Revocation of the AD Order on Shrimp From Brazil

As a result of the determination by the ITC that revocation of the AD order on shrimp from Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department is revoking the AD order on shrimp from Brazil. Pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the effective date of revocation is April 29, 2016 (*i.e.*, the fifth anniversary of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders).<sup>7</sup>

### Cash Deposits and Assessment of Duties on Shrimp From Brazil

The Department will notify CBP, 15 days after publication of this notice, to terminate the suspension of liquidation and to discontinue the collection of cash deposits on entries of shrimp from Brazil, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after April 29, 2016. The Department will further instruct CBP to refund with interest all cash deposits on unliquidated entries made on or after April 29, 2016. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and AD deposit requirements and assessments.

### Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return/destruction or conversion to judicial protective order of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO which may be subject to sanctions.

These five-year (sunset) reviews and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c) and (d)(2), and 777(i) the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4).

Dated: May 25, 2017.

### Gary Taverman,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2017-11323 Filed 5-31-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

<sup>7</sup> See *Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From Brazil, India, the People's Republic of China, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 76 FR 23972 (April 29, 2011).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-122-854]

### Supercalendered Paper From Canada: Amended Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Expedited Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the *Final Results*<sup>1</sup> of the expedited review of the countervailing duty order on supercalendered paper from Canada to correct a ministerial error.

**DATES:** Effective June 1, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Zukowski, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202-482-0189.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On April 24, 2017, we received a timely ministerial error allegation from Catalyst Paper Corporation, Catalyst Pulp and Paper Sales Inc., Catalyst Paper (USA) Inc., and their affiliated companies (collectively Catalyst) regarding the Department's final subsidy rate calculations.<sup>2</sup>

#### Ministerial Errors

We analyzed Catalyst's ministerial error<sup>3</sup> comments and determined, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), that there was a ministerial error in our calculation of Catalyst's net subsidy rate for the *Final Results*.<sup>4</sup> In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the net subsidy rate for Catalyst from 0.94 percent (*de minimis*) to 0.93 percent (*de minimis*).

<sup>1</sup> See *Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Final Results of the Countervailing Duty Expedited Review*, 82 FR 18896 (April 24, 2017) (*Final Results*), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

<sup>2</sup> See letter to the Department, "Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Catalyst's Ministerial Error Comments" (April 24, 2017) (Catalyst Ministerial Error Allegation).

<sup>3</sup> A "ministerial error" is defined by 19 CFR 351.224(f) as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial."

<sup>4</sup> See "Expedited Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Supercalendered Paper from Canada: Allegations of Ministerial Errors," May 24, 2017 (Ministerial Error Memorandum).

### Cash Deposit Instructions

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(k)(3)(iv), because we determined a countervailable subsidy rate for Catalyst that is *de minimis*, in the final results of the expedited review we excluded Catalyst from the countervailing duty order.<sup>5</sup> Because Catalyst's rate remains *de minimis*, we will not issue new instructions to CBP.

### Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results to interested parties within five business days of the date of the publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(k) and 19 CFR 351.224(e).

Dated: May 24, 2017.

### Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-11204 Filed 5-31-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Alaska Region Scale and Catch Weighing Requirements

**AGENCY:** National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before July 31, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [pracomments@doc.gov](mailto:pracomments@doc.gov)).

<sup>5</sup> See *Final Results*, 82 FR at 18897 (explaining that only merchandise produced and exported by Catalyst is excluded from the Order, and that the exclusion does not apply to merchandise produced by Catalyst and exported by any other company or merchandise produced by any other company and exported by Catalyst).