

raised by HUD's effort to evaluate and identify regulations that merit repeal, replacement, or modification. Comments should reference a specific regulation by citation to the Code of Federal Regulations, and provide information on the perceived problem and the rationale for any recommended solution. This is a nonexhaustive list that is meant to assist in the formulation of comments and is not intended to limit the issues that commenters may choose to address.

1. Are there any regulations that should be repealed, replaced, or modified?
2. For each regulation identified in question number 1, please identify whether the regulation:
  - (a) Results in the elimination of jobs, or inhibits job creation;
  - (b) Is outdated, unnecessary, or ineffective;
  - (c) Imposes costs that exceed benefits;
  - (d) Creates a serious inconsistency or otherwise interferes with regulatory reform initiatives and policies;
  - (e) Is inconsistent with the requirements or regulations of section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2001 (44 U.S.C. 3516 note), which requires that agencies maximize the quality, objectivity, and integrity of the information (including statistical information) they disseminate; or
  - (f) Derives from or implements Executive Orders or other Presidential directives that have been subsequently rescinded or substantially modified.

3. What factors should HUD use when considering how to prioritize rules when implementing the regulatory offsets required by Executive Order 13771?

4. Are there any HUD regulatory requirements that have been overtaken by technological developments? Can new technologies be used to modify, streamline, or do away with these requirements?

5. Are there any existing HUD requirements that duplicate or conflict with requirements of another Federal agency? Can the requirement be modified to eliminate the conflict?

6. What are the estimated total compliance costs of the HUD regulations to which you or your organization must comply? This should include the costs of complying with information collections, recordkeeping, and other requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3522).

Dated: May 9, 2017.

**Benjamin S. Carson, Sr.,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017–09730 Filed 5–12–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210–67–P**

## INTER-AMERICAN FOUNDATION

### Sunshine Act Meetings

**TIME AND DATE:** May 22, 2017, 11:00 a.m.–12:00 p.m.

**PLACE:** Via tele-conference hosted at Inter-American Foundation, 1331 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Suite 1200, North Building, Washington, DC 20004.

**STATUS:** Meeting of the Board of Directors, Open to the Public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED** Resolution to Approve 2018–2022 Strategic Plan.

**FOR DIAL-IN INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen Vargas, Executive Assistant, (202) 524–8869.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Paul Zimmerman, General Counsel, (202) 683–7118.

**Paul Zimmerman,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2017–09824 Filed 5–11–17; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 7025–01–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Notice of Receipt of Complaint; Solicitation of Comments Relating to the Public Interest

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has received a complaint entitled *Certain Pool and Spa Enclosures, DN 3223*; the Commission is soliciting comments on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or complainant's filing pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa R. Barton, Secretary to the Commission, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade

Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000.

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at United States International Trade Commission (USITC) at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission has received a complaint and a submission pursuant to § 210.8(b) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure filed on behalf of Aqua Shield, Inc. on May 10, 2017. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain pool and spa enclosures. The complaint names as respondents Inter Pool Cover Team (“IPC”) of the Czech Republic; Alukov HZ Spol. S.R.O. of the Czech Republic; Alukov, Spol. S.R.O. of Slovakia; Pool & Spa Enclosures, LLC of Monroe Township, NJ; and *PoolAndSpa.com* of Las Vegas, NV. The complainant requests that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order, a cease and desist order, and impose a bond upon respondents' alleged infringing articles during the 60-day Presidential review period pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337(j).

Proposed respondents, other interested parties, and members of the public are invited to file comments, not to exceed five (5) pages in length, inclusive of attachments, on any public interest issues raised by the complaint or § 210.8(b) filing. Comments should address whether issuance of the relief specifically requested by the complainant in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the requested remedial orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States