

D656,078; D569,776 (“the ‘D776 patent”); D602,834; D582,328; D542,726 (“the ‘D726 patent”); D604,221; D570,760 (“the ‘D760 patent”); D544,823 (“the ‘D823 patent”); D486,437; D562,207; D635,904; D618,150 (“the ‘D150 patent”); D585,802; D532,733 (“the ‘D733 patent”); D572,646; D578,949; D638,772 (“the ‘D772 patent”); D522,946; D638,766; D610,516; 3,614,891; 4,423,458; 3,305,055; 1,807,353; 1,660,727; 657,386; 285,557; 4,076,271 (“the CLS 500 mark”); 3,224,584 (“the CLS 550 mark”); 3,039,265 (“the CLS 63 mark”); 2,876,643; 2,909,827; 2,654,240 (“the S 550 mark”); 2,712,292; 2,028,111; 2,699,216 (“the CLS–CLASS mark”); 2,716,842 (“the S–CLASS mark”); 2,599,862; 2,028,107; 4,669,601; 3,103,610; 2,028,112; 3,100,860; 2,026,254; 2,815,926; 3,221,423; 2,227,526; 3,019,109; 2,837,833 (“the ML mark”); and 2,529,332 (“the CLS mark”). The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents O.E. Wheel Distributors, LLC (“OEW”) of Sarasota, Florida; Amazon.com, Inc. (“Amazon”) of Seattle, Washington; A Spec Wheels & Tires, LLC d/b/a A SPEC Wheels & Tires (“ASPEC”) of Hayward, California; American Tire Distributors Holdings, Inc. and American Tire Distributors, Inc. (collectively, “American Tire”), both of Huntersville, North Carolina; Onyx Enterprises Int’l Corp. d/b/a CARiD.COM (“Onyx”) of Cranbury, New Jersey; Powerwheels Pro, LLC (“Powerwheels Pro”) of Waterford, Michigan; Trade Union International Inc. d/b/a Topline (“Trade Union”) of Montclair, California; and the last remaining respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also a party to the investigation. *Id.* As detailed below, all other respondents have been terminated from the investigation based on settlement, consent order, and/or withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint.

On August 18, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 11) terminating the investigation as to ASPEC based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. On September 30, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 14) terminating the investigation as to Powerwheels Pro based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. On November 2, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 15) terminating the

investigation as to the ‘D726 patent and the CLS 500 mark based on withdrawal of the complaint as to these allegations. On December 2, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 16) terminating the investigation as to American Tire based on a consent order stipulation, proposed consent order, and settlement agreements. On December 16, 2016, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s IDs (Order Nos. 17, 18) terminating the investigation as to Onyx and Trade Union, each based on a consent order stipulation, proposed consent order, and settlement agreement. On the same date, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 19) terminating the investigation as to Amazon based on withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint as to Amazon. On January 6, 2017, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 21) terminating the investigation as to the ‘D211, ‘D330, ‘D776, ‘D726, ‘D760, ‘D823, ‘D150, ‘D733, and ‘D772 patents; and the CLS 500, CLS 550, CLS 63, S 550, CLS–CLASS, S–CLASS, ML, and CLS marks based on withdrawal of the complaint as to these allegations. On February 2, 2017, the Commission issued notice of its determination not to review the ALJ’s ID (Order No. 23) terminating the investigation as to OEW based on a consent order stipulation, proposed consent order, and settlement agreement.

On January 17, 2017, the complainant filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to the last remaining respondents based on withdrawal of the allegations in the complaint as to these respondents. In the motion, the complainant states that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on January 23, 2017, granting the motion for termination. He found that the motion satisfied Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1) (19 CFR 210.21(a)(1)) and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that warrant denying the motion. No party petitioned for review of the subject ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID and has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of

Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

Issued: February 9, 2017.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–02987 Filed 2–14–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–17–006]

Government in the Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: February 22, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: None.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701–TA–556 and 731–TA–1311 (Final) (Truck and Bus Tires from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission by March 13, 2017.
5. Vote in Inv. No. 731–TA–1091 (Second Review) (Artists’ Canvas from China). The Commission is currently scheduled to complete and file its determination and views of the Commission by March 2, 2017.
6. Outstanding action jackets: None.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 9, 2017.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2017–03114 Filed 2–13–17; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Anheuser-Busch InBEV SA/NV, et al.; Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h),