

final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission's Web site at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 17, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-01446 Filed 1-23-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1018]

Certain Athletic Footwear; Commission's Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Issuance of Consent Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 11) terminating the respondents based on consent order stipulations and a joint proposed consent order. The Commission has terminated the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during

official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 13, 2016, based on a complaint, including supplements, filed on behalf of Reebok International Ltd. of Canton, Massachusetts and Reebok International Limited of England. ("complainants"). 81 FR 62920 (Sept. 13, 2016). The complaint as supplemented alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain athletic footwear by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,637,035 and U.S. Patent No. 8,505,221. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337. The Commission's notice of investigation named the following respondents: TRB Acquisitions LLC ("TRB") of New York, New York; RBX Active 01 LLC, RBX Direct LLC, and RBX.COM LLC (collectively, "RBX") all of New York, New York; and Elite Performance Footwear, LLC ("Elite") of New York, New York.

On November 14, 2016, respondent TRB filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to TRB based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. On November 25, 2016, the RBX respondents filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to the RBX respondents based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. Finally, on December 1, 2016, respondent Elite filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to Elite based on a consent order stipulation and proposed consent order. Complainants originally opposed TRB's motion but later complainants and respondents filed a joint notice on December 12, 2016, that complainants now join respondents' motions to terminate. This filing included a joint proposed consent order.

On December 20, 2016, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 11) terminating the investigation based on the consent order stipulations and a joint proposed consent order. The ALJ found that the consent order stipulations complied with the rules and that the respondents represented that "there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation." The ALJ also found that the joint proposed consent order complies with Commission Rule 210.21(c)(4). Finally, the ALJ found termination of the investigation "does not impose any undue burdens on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers."

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID and has issued the joint consent order. The Commission has terminated the investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 17, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017-01482 Filed 1-23-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1036]

Certain Magnetic Tape Cartridges and Components Thereof Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on December 15, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Sony Corporation of Japan; Sony Storage Media and Devices Corporation of Japan; Sony DADC US Inc. of Terre Haute, Indiana; and Sony Latin America Inc. of Miami, Florida. Supplements to the complaint were filed on January 5, 2017. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based

upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain magnetic tape cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,345,779 (“the ’779 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,896,959 (“the ’959 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,016,137 (“the ’137 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,115,331 (“the ’331 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2016).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on January 17, 2017, Ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain magnetic tape

cartridges and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–6 of the ’779 patent; claims 1, 2, 4–9, 13, 16, and 17 of the ’959 patent; claims 1–5 of the ’137 patent; and claims 1–3, 7, 9–11, 13, 14, 16, and 17 of the ’331 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(l), (f)(1), (g)(1).

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:
 Sony Corporation, 1–7–1 Konan, Minato-ku, Tokyo 108–0075, Japan
 Sony Storage Media and Devices Corporation, 3–4–1 Sakuragi, Tagajo, Miyagi 985–0842, Japan
 Sony DADC US Inc., 1800 North Fruitridge Avenue, Terre Haute, IN 47804
 Sony Latin America Inc., 5201 Blue Lagoon Drive, Suite 400, Miami, FL 33126

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Fujifilm Holdings Corporation, 7–3 Akasaka 9-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107–0052, Japan
 Fujifilm Corporation, 7–3 Akasaka 9-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 107–0052, Japan
 Fujifilm Holdings America Corporation, 200 Summit Lake Drive, Valhalla, NY 10595
 Fujifilm Recording Media U.S.A., Inc., 45 Crosby Drive, Bedford, MA 01730–1401

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in

accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 18, 2017.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2017–01531 Filed 1–23–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1027]

Certain Food Supplements and Vitamins, Including Ocular Antioxidants and Components Thereof and Products Containing the Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 8) terminating the investigation based on settlement.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Amanda P. Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)