

On March 1, 1999, the Department initiated sunset reviews on these orders and later published its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders for certain countries.³ On October 1, 2004, the Department initiated the second sunset reviews of these orders and later published its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from the Russian Federation and Ukraine.⁴ On December 1, 2010, the Department initiated the third sunset reviews of these orders and later published its notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders.⁵ On November 1, 2016, the Department initiated the fourth sunset reviews of these orders.⁶

We did not receive a notice of intent to participate from domestic interested parties in these fourth sunset reviews by the deadline date.⁷ As a result, the Department determined that no domestic interested party intends to participate in the sunset reviews,⁸ and on November 21, 2016, we notified the International Trade Commission, in writing, that we intended to issue a final determination revoking these antidumping duty orders.⁹ On December 1, 2016, the Department

Duty Order on Solid Urea from the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics to the Commonwealth of Independent States and the Baltic States and Opportunity to Comment, 57 FR 28828 (June 29, 1992).

³ See *Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Solid Urea from Belarus, Estonia, Lithuania, Romania, Russia, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, and Uzbekistan*, 64 FR 62653 (November 17, 1999); see also *Antidumping Duty Order; Urea from the Socialist Republic of Romania*, 52 FR 2636 (July 14, 1987) and *Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review: Solid Urea from Romania*, 64 FR 48360 (September 3, 1999) (Because Romania was not part of the USSR, the initial investigation and the first five-year review on urea from Romania were conducted separately, but the continuation order combined the remaining former Soviet Socialist Republics and Romania together.); *Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order: Solid Urea from Armenia*, 64 FR 62654 (November 17, 1999); *March 1999 Sunset Reviews: Final Results and Revocations*, 64 FR 24137 (May 5, 1999) (revoking the antidumping duty orders on solid urea from Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Moldova); and *March and April 1999 Sunset Reviews: Final Results and Revocations*, 64 FR 28974 (May 28, 1999) (revoking the antidumping duty order on solid urea from Latvia).

⁴ See *Notice of Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders: Solid Urea from the Russian Federation and Ukraine*, 71 FR 581 (January 5, 2006); see also *Solid Urea from Belarus, Estonia, Lithuania, Romania, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan: Final Results and Revocation of Orders*, 69 FR 77993 (December 29, 2004).

⁵ *Solid Urea from the Russian Federation and Ukraine: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 76 FR 78885 (December 20, 2011).

⁶ See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 81 FR 75808 (November 1, 2016).

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).

⁸ See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(A).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(2).

received a submission from the Ministry of Economic Development and Trade of Ukraine regarding its position on this matter.¹⁰

Scope of the Orders

The merchandise subject to the orders is solid urea, a high-nitrogen content fertilizer which is produced by reacting ammonia with carbon dioxide. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item number 3102.10.00.00. Previously such merchandise was classified under item number 480.3000 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the orders is dispositive.

Determination To Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party files a notice of intent to participate, the Department shall, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, issue a final determination revoking the order. Because the domestic interested parties did not file a notice of intent to participate in these sunset reviews, the Department finds that no domestic interested party is participating in these sunset reviews. Therefore, consistent with 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i) we are revoking these antidumping duty orders effective December 20, 2016, the fifth anniversary of the date the Department published its most recent notice of continuation of the antidumping duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after December 20, 2016. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in

¹⁰ See Letter from Ministry of Economic Development and Trade of Ukraine, "Solid Urea from Ukraine, 4th Sunset Review: A-823-801," dated December 1, 2016.

response to appropriately filed requests for review.

We are issuing and publishing the final determination in these five-year (sunset) reviews and notice in accordance with sections 751(c) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 23, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-31719 Filed 12-29-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-810]

Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on welded ASTM A-312 stainless steel pipe from Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of review (POR) is December 1, 2014, through November 30, 2015. The review covers two exporters and/or producers of the subject merchandise: SeAH Steel Corporation (SeAH) and LS Metal Co., Ltd. (LS Metal). The Department preliminarily determines that during the POR SeAH made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value and LS Metal had no shipments. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective December 30, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lingjun Wang, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2316.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 9, 2016, the Department published a notice of initiation of this review.¹ On January 27, 2016, the Department exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines by four

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 81 FR 6832 (February 9, 2016).

business days.² On May 3, 2016 and December 13, 2016, the Department extended the deadline for the preliminary results to December 20, 2016.³ For a complete description of the events that followed the initiation of this review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.⁴ A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as Appendix I.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are shipments of welded austenitic stainless steel pipe (WSSP) from Korea that meets the standards and specifications set forth by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) for the welded form of chromium-nickel pipe designated ASTM A-312. Imports of these products are currently classifiable under the following United States Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.40.5005, 7306.40.5015, 7306.40.5040, 7306.40.5065, and 7306.40.5085.⁵

Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

LS Metal, in its questionnaire response, claimed that it made no sales or shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We issued a no shipments inquiry to, and received no contradictory information from, U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP). As there is no record information contrary to LS Metal's claim, we preliminarily determine that LS Metal had no shipments of the subject merchandise and, therefore, no reviewable transactions during the POR. The Department intends to complete the review with respect to LS Metal and will issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of this review. See the Preliminary Decision

Memorandum for further discussion of this issue.

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Constructed export prices or export prices are calculated in accordance with section 772 of the Act. Normal value is calculated in accordance with section 773 of the Act.

For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of the topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is attached as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the Department's main building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://www.trade.gov/enforcement/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Results of Review

As a result of this review, we preliminarily determine that the weighted-average dumping margin for the POR is as follows:

Producer and/or exporter	Margin (percent)
SeAH Steel Corporation	1.91

Assessment Rate

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department shall determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁶ We will instruct CBP to

assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results is above *de minimis* (i.e., 0.50 percent). Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review where applicable.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.⁷ This clarification applies to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by a respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company or companies involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of this review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review except if that rate is *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which the manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the

Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012).

⁷ For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (*Assessment Policy Notice*).

² See the Department's January 27, 2016 memorandum, "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure during Snowstorm 'Jonas.'"

³ See the Department's August 15, 2016 memorandum, "Certain Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review;" see also, the Department's December 13, 2016 memorandum, "Certain Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review."

⁴ See "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea; 2014-2015," dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

⁵ For a full description of the scope of the Order, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁶ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping*

rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previously completed segment of this proceeding, then the cash deposit rate will be the “all-others” rate of 7.00 percent established in the *Amended Final Determination and Order*.⁸ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Disclosure and Public Comment

The Department intends to disclose the calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days after the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Interested parties may submit case briefs no later than 30 days after the publication date of this notice.⁹ Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than five days after the date for filing case briefs.¹⁰ Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs are requested to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities.¹¹ Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes.¹² All briefs must be filed electronically via ACCESS.¹³ An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by ACCESS, by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the on which it is due.¹⁴

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(c), interested parties who wish to request a hearing must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance within 30 days of the publication date of this notice, filed electronically via ACCESS. Requests should contain: (1) The party’s name, address and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues parties intend to discuss. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department intends to hold the hearing at the U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a date and time to be determined.¹⁵ Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing two days before the scheduled date.

We intend to issue the final results of this review within 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, unless otherwise extended.¹⁶

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of this review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: December 20, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. No Shipments
- V. Discussion of Methodology
 - A. Comparisons to Normal Value
 - B. Date of Sale
 - C. Product Comparisons
 - D. Constructed Export Price
 - E. Normal Value
 - F. Cost of Production Analysis
 - G. Calculation of Normal Value Based on Comparison Market Prices
 - H. Currency Conversion
- VI. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016–31728 Filed 12–29–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XF068

Fisheries Off West Coast States; Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery; Application for an Exempted Fishing Permit (EFP)

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; receipt of EFP application; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces the receipt of an exempted fishing permit (EFP) application from the West Coast Seafood Processors Association, Environmental Defense Fund, Oregon Trawl Commission, and Pacific Seafoods for an EFP Program to monitor and minimize salmon bycatch when vessels target rockfish in the shorebased individual fishing quota (IFQ) fishery. The NMFS West Coast Region’s Assistant Regional Administrator for Sustainable Fisheries has made a preliminary determination that the subject EFP application contains all the required information and the EFP Program warrants further consideration. Therefore, NMFS announces that the Assistant Regional Administrator for Sustainable Fisheries proposes to recommend that EFPs be issued under an EFP Program that would allow as many as 50 commercial fishing vessels to conduct fishing operations that are otherwise restricted by the regulations governing the fisheries of the west coast of the United States. If awarded, the EFP Program would exempt participating limited entry bottom trawl vessels from the requirement to use selective flatfish trawl gear shoreward of the Trawl Rockfish Conservation Area (RCA) north of 40°10’ N. latitude in waters off the west coast. In addition, if awarded, the EFP Program would also allow participating bottom trawl vessels that fish any place along the west coast an exemption to the minimum mesh size requirement of 4.5 inches.

The EFP Program is intended to provide additional flexibility in the configuration and use of bottom trawl gear for the vessels, as well as provide additional information on potential impacts to protected resources, particularly Chinook salmon bycatch, resulting from this added flexibility. The additional information would be used to enhance the management of the groundfish fishery and promote the objectives of the Pacific Coast

⁸ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Welded Stainless Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea*, 60 FR 10064 (February 23, 1995) (*Amended Final Determination and Order*).

⁹ See 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

¹⁰ See 19 CFR 351.309(c) and (d).

¹¹ See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).

¹² *Id.*

¹³ See 19 CFR 351.303.

¹⁴ See 19 CFR 351.303(b)(1).

¹⁵ See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

¹⁶ See section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).