2016 control period, an eligible unit therefore must have commenced commercial operation between January 1, 2015 and November 30, 2016 (inclusive).

The total quantity of allowances to be allocated through the 2016 NUSA allowance allocation process for each state and emissions trading program-in the two rounds of the allocation process combined—is generally the state's 2016 emissions budget less the sum of (1) the total of the 2016 CSAPR FIP allowance allocations to existing units and (2) the amount of the 2016 Indian country NUSA, if any.<sup>6</sup> The amounts of CSAPR NO<sub>X</sub> Annual, SO<sub>2</sub> Group 1, and SO<sub>2</sub> Group 2 NUSA allowances may be increased in certain circumstances as set forth in §§ 97.412(a)(2), 97.612(a)(2), and 97.712(a)(2), respectively. Second-round NUSA allocations for a

Second-round NUSA allocations for a given state, trading program, and control period are made only if the NUSA contains allowances after completion of the first-round allocations.

The amounts of second-round allocations of CSAPR NO<sub>X</sub> Annual, SO<sub>2</sub> Group 1, and SO<sub>2</sub> Group 2 allowances to eligible new units from each NUSA are calculated according to the procedures set forth in §§ 97.412(a)(9), (10) and (12), 97.612(a)(9), (10), and (12), and 97.712(a)(9), (10), and (12), respectively. Generally, the procedures call for each eligible unit to receive a second-round 2016 NUSA allocation equal to the positive difference, if any, between its emissions during the 2016 annual control periods (*i.e.*, January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016) as reported under 40 CFR part 75 and any first-round allocation the unit received, unless the total of such allocations to all eligible units would exceed the amount of allowances in the NUSA, in which case the allocations are reduced on a pro-rata basis.

Any allowances remaining in the CSAPR NO<sub>X</sub> Annual, SO<sub>2</sub> Group 1, or SO<sub>2</sub> Group 2 NUSA for a given state and control period after the second round of NUSA allocations to new units will be allocated to the existing units in the state according to the procedures set forth in §§ 97.412(a)(10) and (12), 97.612(a)(10) and (12), and 97.712(a)(10) and (12), respectively.

EPA notes that an allocation or lack of allocation of allowances to a given EGU does not constitute a determination that CSAPR does or does not apply to the EGU. EPA also notes that allocations are subject to potential correction if a unit to which NUSA allowances have been allocated for a given control period is not actually an affected unit as of the start of that control period.<sup>7</sup>

The preliminary lists of units eligible for second-round 2016 NUSA allowance allocations for the three CSAPR annual trading programs are set forth in Excel spreadsheets titled "CSAPR NUSA 2016 NO<sub>X</sub> Annual 2nd Round Prelim Data," "CSAPR NUSA 2016 SO<sub>2</sub> Group 1 2nd Round Prelim Data," and "CSAPR NUSA 2016 SO<sub>2</sub> Group 2 2nd Round Prelim Data" available on EPA's Web site at https:// www.epa.gov/csapr/csapr-complianceyear-2016-nusa-nodas. Each spreadsheet contains a separate worksheet for each state covered by that program showing each unit preliminarily identified as eligible for a second-round NUSA allocation.

Each state worksheet also contains a summary showing (1) the quantity of allowances initially available in that state's 2016 NUSA, (2) the sum of the 2016 NUSA allowance allocations that were made in the first-round to new units in that state (if any), and (3) the quantity of allowances in the 2016 NUSA available for distribution in second-round allocations to new units (or ultimately for allocation to existing units).

Objections should be strictly limited to whether EPA has correctly identified the new units eligible for second-round 2016 NUSA allocations of CSAPR NO<sub>x</sub> Annual, SO<sub>2</sub> Group 1, and SO<sub>2</sub> Group 2 allowances according to the criteria described above and should be emailed to the address identified in **ADDRESSES**. Objections must include: (1) Precise identification of the specific data the commenter believes are inaccurate, (2) new proposed data upon which the commenter believes EPA should rely instead, and (3) the reasons why EPA should rely on the commenter's proposed data and not the data referenced in this document.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 97.411(b), 97.611(b), and 97.711(b).

Dated: December 1, 2016.

#### Reid P. Harvey,

Director, Clean Air Markets Division, Office of Atmospheric Programs, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 2016–29441 Filed 12–8–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0008; FRL-9953-69]

## Receipt of Several Pesticide Petitions Filed for Residues of Pesticide Chemicals in or on Various Commodities

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of filing of petitions and request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** This document announces the Agency's receipt of several initial filings of pesticide petitions requesting the establishment or modification of regulations for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various commodities. **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 9, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number and the pesticide petition number (PP) of interest as shown in the body of this document, by one of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

• *Mail:* OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/ DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.

• *Hand Delivery:* To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at *http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html*.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at *http:// www.epa.gov/dockets.* 

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael L. Goodis, P.E., Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; main telephone number: (703) 305–7090; email address: *RDFRNotices@epa.gov*.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. General Information

## A. Does this action apply to me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  The quantities of allowances to be allocated through the NUSA allowance allocation process may differ slightly from the NUSA amounts set forth in §§ 97.410(a), 97.510(a), 97.610(a), and 97.710(a) because of rounding in the spreadsheet of CSAPR FIP allowance allocations to existing units.

<sup>7</sup> See 40 CFR 97.411(c), 97.611(c), and 97.711(c).

list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document applies to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

• Crop production (NAICS code 111).

• Animal production (NAICS code 112).

• Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).

• Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** for the division listed at the end of the pesticide petition summary of interest.

# B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. Submitting CBI. Do not submit this information to EPA through *regulations.gov* or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD–ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. Tips for preparing your comments. When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/ comments.html.

3. Environmental justice. EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low-income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

# II. What action is the Agency taking?

EPA is announcing its receipt of several pesticide petitions filed under section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, requesting the establishment or modification of regulations in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of pesticide chemicals in or on various food commodities. The Agency is taking public comment on the requests before responding to the petitioners. EPA is not proposing any particular action at this time. EPA has determined that the pesticide petitions described in this document contain the data or information prescribed in FFDCA section 408(d)(2), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2); however, EPA has not fully evaluated the sufficiency of the submitted data at this time or whether the data support granting of the pesticide petitions. After considering the public comments, EPA intends to evaluate whether and what action may be warranted. Additional data may be needed before EPA can make a final determination on these pesticide petitions.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 180.7(f), a summary of each of the petitions that are the subject of this document, prepared by the petitioner, is included in a docket EPA has created for each rulemaking. The docket for each of the petitions is available at *http:// www.regulations.gov.* 

As specified in FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), EPA is publishing notice of the petition so that the public has an opportunity to comment on this request for the establishment or modification of regulations for residues of pesticides in or on food commodities. Further information on the petition may be obtained through the petition summary referenced in this unit.

#### Amended Tolerance

1. PP 5F8396. EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0796. Gowan Company, P.O. Box 5569, Yuma, AZ, 85366, requests to amend the tolerances in 40 CFR 180.448 for residues of the insecticide hexythiazox in or on Alfalfa, forage from 15 parts per million (ppm) to 20 ppm; and Alfalfa, hay from 30 ppm to 60 ppm. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using mass spectrometric detection (LC-MS/MS) analytical method is used to measure and evaluate residues of hexythiazox and its metabolites containing the PT-1-3 moiety. Contact: RD.

### New Tolerances

1. PP 5F8412. EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0795. Gowan Company, P.O. Box 5569,

Yuma, AZ, 85366–5569, requests to establish tolerances in 40 CFR part 180.448 for residues of the insecticide hexythiazox in or on Bermudagrass, forage at 40.0 parts per million (ppm); and Bermudagrass, hay at 70.0 ppm. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method using mass spectrometric detection (LC–MS/ MS) is proposed for enforcement purposes. Contact: RD.

2. PP 5F8413. EPA-HQ-OPP-2015-0797. Gowan Company, P.O. Box 5569, Yuma, AZ, 85366–5569, requests to establish tolerances in 40 CFR part 180.448 for residues of the insecticide hexythiazox, in or on Beet, sugar, dried pulp at 0.60 parts per million (ppm); Beet, sugar, molasses at 0.21 ppm; Beet, sugar, roots at 0.15 ppm; and Beet, sugar, tops at 1.5 ppm. High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method using mass spectrometric detection (LC-MS/MS) is proposed for enforcement purposes. Contact: RD.

3. PP 6E8494. EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0595. Nichino America, Inc., 4550 New Linden Hill Road, Suite 501, Wilmington, DE, 19808, requests to establish an import tolerance in 40 CFR part 180.511 for residues of the insecticide buprofezin, in or on Rice at 0.3 parts per million (ppm). Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry with nitrogen phosphorus detection (GC/NPD), and a gas chromatography/ mass spectrometry (GC/MS) method for confirmation of buprofezin residues in plant commodities is proposed for enforcement purposes. Contact: RD.

*4. РР 6F8502.* ĖРА–НQ–ОРР–2011– 0971. Nichino America, Inc., 4550 New Linden Hill Road, Suite 501, Wilmington, DE, 19808, requests to establish tolerances in 40 CFR part 180 for residues of the insecticide pyrifluquinazon, in or on Almond, hulls at 0.01 parts per million (ppm); Brassica, head and stem vegetables (crop group 5-16) at 0.4 ppm; Cattle, fat at 0.01 ppm; Cattle, meat at 0.01 ppm; Cattle, meat byproducts at 0.01 ppm; Citrus fruits (crop group 10–10) at 0.5 ppm; Citrus, oil at 14 ppm; Cotton, gin byproducts at 4 ppm; Cotton, undelinted seed at 0.2 ppm; Cucurbit vegetables (crop group 9) at 0.06 ppm; Fruiting vegetables, tomato (crop group 8–10A) at 0.2 ppm; Fruiting vegetables, pepper/eggplant (crop group 8–10B) at 0.15 ppm; Goat, fat at 0.01 ppm; Goat, meat at 0.01 ppm; Goat, meat byproducts at 0.01ppm; Horse, fat at 0.01 ppm; Horse, meat at 0.01 ppm; Horse, meat byproducts at 0.01 ppm; Leaf petiole vegetables (crop subgroup 22B) at 1.5 ppm; Leafy vegetables (crop group 4–16) at 5 ppm; Milk at 0.01 ppm; Pome fruits (crop group 11-10) at 0.04 ppm; Sheep, fat at 0.01 ppm; Sheep, meat at 0.01 ppm; Sheep, meat byproducts at 0.01 ppm; Small fruit vine climbing subgroup (crop subgroup 13-07F) (except fuzzy kiwifruit) at 0.6 ppm; Stone fruits, cherry (crop group 12-12A) at 0.2 ppm; Stone fruits, peach (crop group 12-12B) at 0.03 ppm; Stone fruits, plum (crop group 12-12C) at 0.015 ppm; Tree nuts (crop group 14–12) at 0.01 ppm; and Tuberous and corm vegetables (crop subgroup 1C) at 0.01 ppm. Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry with nitrogen phosphorus detection (GC/NPD), and a gas chromatography/ mass spectrometry (GC/MS) method for confirmation of buprofezin residues in plant commodities is proposed for enforcement purposes. Contact: RD.

5. *PP 5F8416*. EPA–HQ–OPP–2011– 0985. ISK Biosciences Corporation, 7470 Auburn Road, Suite A, Concord, Ohio, 44077, requests to establish an import tolerance in 40 CFR part 180.613 for residues of the combined residues of the insecticide flonicamid [N-(cyanomethyl)-4-trifluoromethyl)-3pyridinecarboxamide (CA) or Ncyanomethyl-4-

trifluoromethylnicotinamide (IUPAC)] and its metabolites, TFNA [4trifluoromethylnicotinic acid], TFNA– AM [4-trifluoromethylnicotinamide) and TFNG [N(4-

trifluoromethylnicotinoyl)-glycine] in or on dried tea leaves at 40 parts per million (ppm). Analytical methodology has been developed to determine the residues of flonicamid and its three major plant metabolites, TFNA, TFNG, and TFNA-AM in various crops. The residue analytical method for the majority of crops includes an initial extraction with acetonitrile (CAN)/ deionized (DI) water, followed by a liquid-liquid partition with ethyl acetate. The residue analytical method for wheat straw is similar, except that a C18 solid phase extraction (SPE) is added prior to the liquid-liquid partition. The final sample solution is quantified using a liquid chromatograph (LC) equipped with a reverse phase column and a quadruple mass spectrometer (MS/MS). Contact: RD.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a.

Dated: November 30, 2016.

## Michael Goodis,

Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2016–29580 Filed 12–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

## 48 CFR Parts 1816 and 1852

[NFS Case 2016–N027]

RIN 2700-AE32

## NASA Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement: Award Term

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: NASA is proposing to amend the NASA Federal Acquisition
Regulation (FAR) Supplement (NFS) to add policy on the use of additional contract periods of performance or "award terms" as a contract incentive.
DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before
February 7, 2017, to be considered in the formation of a final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments identified by NFS Case 2016–N027, using any of the following methods:

 Regulations.gov: http:// www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by entering "NFS Case 2016–N027" under the heading "Enter keyword or ID" and selecting "Search." Select the link "Submit a Comment" that corresponds with "NFS Case 2016–N027." Follow the instructions provided at the "Submit a Comment" screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and "NFS Case 2016–N027" on your attached document.

 Email: marilyn.chambers@ nasa.gov. Include NFS Case 2016–N027 in the subject line of the message.
 Fax: (202) 358–3082.

 Mail: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Headquarters, Office of Procurement, Contract and Grant Policy Division, Attn: Marilyn E. Chambers, LP–011, 300 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20546–0001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marilyn E. Chambers, NASA HQ, Office of Procurement, Contract and Grant Policy Division, Suite 5H38, 300 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20456– 0001. Telephone 202–358–5154; facsimile 202–358–3082.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Background

NASA is proposing to amend the NFS to implement policy addressing the use of "award terms" or additional contract periods of performance for which a contractor may earn if the contractor's sustained performance is superior, the Government has an on-going need for

the requirement, and funds are available for the additional period of performance. The purpose of the policy is to provide a non-monetary incentive for contractors whose sustained performance is excellent. An award term incentive would be used where a longer term relationship (generally more than five years) between the Government and a contractor would provide benefits to both parties. Benefits of award term incentives include a more stable business relationship both for the contractor and its employees (thus retaining a skilled, experienced workforce), motivating excellent performance (including cost savings), fostering contractor capital investment, increasing the desirability of the award (potentially increasing competition), and reduced administrative costs and disruptions in preparing for and negotiating replacement contracts.

Award terms are an incentive and not the same as exercising an option as set forth in FAR 17.207. While there are similarities between an award term and an option, such as funds must be available and the requirement must fulfill an existing Government need, the key difference is that an option may be exercised when the contractor's performance is acceptable, while earning an award term requires sustained excellent performance.

## **II. Discussion**

The FAR subpart 16.4, Incentive Contracts, addresses a variety of techniques to incentivize contractor delivery or technical performance by connecting the amount of profit or fee payable under the contract to the contractor's performance and pavable during the current period of performance. Under conventional incentives, funds are reserved to cover the incentive for the instant performance period. Conversely, an award term could be earned after the base period of performance and any option(s) are exercised; an award term does not involve additional funds beyond the amount of the current performance period.

NASA is proposing to add section 1816.405–277 to address the use of award term incentives and covers the following areas:

• Considerations when planning to use award term incentives.

• Differences between contract options and award term incentives.

• Identifying plans to use award term incentives in acquisition planning.

• Procurement procedures related to processing award term incentives.