

A court can make its public interest determination based on the competitive impact statement and response to public comments alone. *U.S. Airways*, 38 F. Supp. 3d at 76.

VIII. Determinative Documents

There are no determinative materials or documents within the meaning of the APPA that were considered by the United States in formulating the proposed Final Judgment.

Date: October 27, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Kenneth A. Libby

Kenneth A. Libby,

Special Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, c/o Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580, Phone: (202) 326-2694, Email: klibby@ftc.gov.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. *Fayez Sarofim*, Defendant.

Case No.: 1:16-cv-02156

Judge: Rudolph Contreras

Filed: 10/27/2016

Final Judgment

Plaintiff, the United States of America, having commenced this action by filing its Complaint herein for violation of Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a, commonly known as the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, and Plaintiff and Defendant Fayez Sarofim, by their respective attorneys, having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and without this Final Judgment constituting any evidence against or an admission by the Defendant with respect to any such issue:

Now therefore, before the taking of any testimony and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon the consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby

Ordered, adjudged, and decreed:

I.

The Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the Plaintiff and the Defendant. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted against the Defendant under Section 7A of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a.

93–298, at 6 (1973) (“Where the public interest can be meaningfully evaluated simply on the basis of briefs and oral arguments, that is the approach that should be utilized.”).

II.

Judgment is hereby entered in this matter in favor of Plaintiff United States of America and against Defendant, and, pursuant to Section 7A(g)(1) of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18a(g)(1), the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, Public Law 104–134 § 31001(s) (amending the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990, 28 U.S.C. 2461), and Federal Trade Commission Rule 1.98, 16 CFR 1.98, 61 FR 54549 (Oct. 21, 1996), and 74 FR 857 (Jan. 9, 2009), and the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015, Public Law 114–74 § 701 (further amending the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990), and Federal Trade Commission Rule 1.98, 16 CFR 1.98, 81 FR 42,476 (June 30, 2016), Defendant Fayez Sarofim is hereby ordered to pay a civil penalty in the amount of seven hundred twenty thousand dollars (\$720,000). Payment of the civil penalty ordered hereby shall be made by wire transfer of funds or cashier’s check. If the payment is made by wire transfer, Defendant shall contact Janie Ingalls of the Antitrust Division’s Antitrust Documents Group at (202) 514–2481 for instructions before making the transfer. If the payment is made by cashier’s check, the check shall be made payable to the United States Department of Justice and delivered to: Janie Ingalls, United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 5th Street NW., Suite 1024, Washington, DC 20530.

Defendant shall pay the full amount of the civil penalty within thirty (30) days of entry of this Final Judgment. In the event of a default or delay in payment, interest at the rate of eighteen (18) percent per annum shall accrue thereon from the date of the default or delay to the date of payment.

III.

Each party shall bear its own costs of this action.

IV.

The entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest. The parties have complied with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16, including making copies available to the public of this Final Judgment, the Competitive Impact Statement, and any comments thereon and the United States’ responses to comments. Based upon the record before the Court, which includes the Competitive Impact Statement and any comments and response to comments

filed with the Court, entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: _____

United States District Judge

[FR Doc. 2016–26782 Filed 11–4–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105–0086]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Proposed Renewal, With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection Attorney Student Loan Repayment Program Electronic Forms

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: CORRECTED 30 day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Office of Attorney Recruitment and Management (OARM), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 81 FR 54604 on August 16, 2016, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until December 7, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Attorney Recruitment and Management, 450 5th Street NW., Suite 10200, Attn: Deana Willis, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to Deana.Willis@usdoj.gov. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be sent to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary

for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision and renewal of a currently approved collection.

2. *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Attorney Student Loan Repayment Program Electronic Forms.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None. Office of Attorney Recruitment and Management, Justice Management Division, U.S. Department of Justice.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Individuals or households.
Other: None.

The Department of Justice Attorney Student Loan Repayment Program (ASLRP) is an agency recruitment and retention incentive program based on 5 U.S.C. 5379, as amended, and 5 CFR part 537. Anyone currently employed as an attorney or hired to serve in an attorney position within the Department may request consideration for the ASLRP. The Department selects new participants during an annual open season each spring and renews current beneficiaries who remain qualified for these benefits, subject to availability of funds. There are two application forms—one for new requests, and the other for renewal requests. A justification form (applicable to new requests only) and a loan continuation form complete the collection.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The Department anticipates about 275 respondents annually will complete the new request form and justification form and apply for participation in the ASLRP. In addition, each year the Department expects to receive approximately 110 applications

from attorneys requesting renewal of the benefits they received in previous years. It is estimated that each new request (including justification) will take two (2) hours to complete, and each renewal request approximately 20 minutes to complete.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 586 hours, 40 minutes. It is estimated that new applicants will take 2 hours to complete the request form and justification and that current recipients requesting continued funding will take 20 minutes to complete a renewal form. The burden hours for collecting respondent data, 586 hours, 40 minutes, are calculated as follows: 275 new respondents × 2 hours = 550 hours, plus 110 renewing respondents × 20 minutes = 36 hours, 40 minutes.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 2, 2016.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016-26823 Filed 11-4-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-PB-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Amendment Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On November 1, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree Amendment with the United States District Court for the District of Oregon in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Kerr-McGee Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 04-00032.

This Consent Decree Amendment resolves disputes with the remaining Defendants, formally dismisses Tronox Incorporated from the Decree, and largely terminates the ongoing Work obligations of the two remaining Defendants to the original 2005 Consent Decree: Fremont Lumber Company and Western Nuclear, Inc. This action involves the White King/Lucky Lass Superfund Site (“Site”) in Lakeview County, Oregon. Under the terms of the 2005 Decree, Defendants agreed to implement the remedial action at the Site, pay some past costs, perform a

Supplemental Environmental Project, and undertake some further limited actions. The remedial action has been completed and all other obligations under the Decree, except Oversight and Maintenance (O&M) and Five Year Reviews, have been achieved.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree Amendment. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Kerr-McGee Corp. et al.*, Civil Action No. 04-00032, DJ Ref. No. 90-11-2-923/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree Amendment may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree Amendment upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$25.00 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits, the cost is \$2.50.

Susan M. Akers,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2016-26822 Filed 11-4-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (16-079)]

National Space-Based Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Advisory Board; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.