

02417). The USACE's Public Interest Review will be part of its Record of Decision and is not addressed in the final EIS.

## II. Discussion

As discussed in the final EIS, the NRC staff's recommendation related to the environmental aspects of the proposed action is that the COLs should be issued. This recommendation is based on: (1) The Environmental Report (ER) submitted by FPL, as revised; (2) consultation with Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies; (3) the NRC staff's independent review; (4) the NRC staff's consideration of comments received during the environmental review; and (5) the assessments summarized in the final EIS, including the potential mitigation measures identified in the ER and in the final EIS. In addition, in making its recommendation, the NRC staff has concluded that there are no environmentally preferable or obviously superior sites in the region of interest.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of October, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Francis M. Akstulewicz,**

*Director, Division of New Reactor Licensing,  
Office of New Reactors.*

[FR Doc. 2016-26456 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2016-0214]

### Biweekly Notice; Applications and Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses and Combined Licenses Involving No Significant Hazards Considerations; Correction

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is correcting a notice that was published in the **Federal Register** (FR) on October 25, 2016, regarding notice of issuance of amendments to facility operating licenses and combined licenses. This action is necessary to correct an administrative error.

**DATES:** The correction is effective November 2, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2016-0214 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2016-0214. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janet Burkhardt, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-1384, email: [Janet.Burkhardt@nrc.gov](mailto:Janet.Burkhardt@nrc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the **Federal Register** on October 25, 2016, in FR Doc. 2016-25641, on page 73445, second column, line 33, correct "enclosed with the amendments" to read "enclosed with the letter dated May 6, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16096A266)."

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of October 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**George A. Wilson, Jr.,**

*Deputy Director, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2016-26461 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Product Change—Priority Mail and Parcel Select Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal

Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* November 2, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth A. Reed, 202-268-3179.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on October 26, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail & Parcel Select Contract 2 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2017-13, CP2017-29.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Attorney, Federal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-26409 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-79174; File No. SR-NSX-2016-14]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rule 11.26 To Correct Defective Numbering in the Interpretations and Policies of the Rule

October 27, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on October 13, 2016, National Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NSX" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change, as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comment on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is proposing to amend Exchange Rule 11.26, Compliance with Regulation NMS Plan to Implement a Tick Size Pilot to correct defective numbering under the Interpretations and Policies of the rule. The Exchange

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

is not proposing any substantive amendments to the rule text.

The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>3</sup> and provided the Commission with the notice required by Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) under the Act.<sup>4</sup>

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at <http://www.nsx.com>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s public reference room.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The Exchange is proposing to make ministerial non-substantive changes to the numbering of the Interpretations and Policies sections of Rule 11.26. The changes are intended to address incorrect numbering that resulted from two recent amendments [sic] the rule filed by the Exchange. On September 28, 2016 the Commission noticed a proposed rule change filed by the Exchange to amend Rule 11.26, including certain sections of the Interpretations and Policies.<sup>5</sup> As part of these amendments, the Exchange proposed new text for section .09, which resulted a renumbering of former section .09 as .10. Section .10, with certain text proposed to be amended, was renumbered to .11; former .11 was renumbered as section .12, with no changes proposed to the text.

On September 29, 2016, the SEC noticed a proposed rule change filed by the Exchange to make further rule changes in connection with the Regulation NMS Plan to Implement a

Tick Size Pilot, including an amendment to Rule 11.26, Interpretations and Policies.<sup>6</sup> The filing should have reflected that the language of Interpretations and Policies .12 was being replaced and that the former language of Interpretations and Policies .12 was being added as Interpretations and Policies .13. However, the Exchange, in its filing incorrectly assigned the number .11 where it should have used .12 and incorrectly assigned the number .12 where it should have used .13. As a result, two separate sections of the Interpretations and Policies are designated as number .11. The Exchange proposes to correct this error by amending the numbering associated with these sections. The section that was incorrectly numbered .11 will become .12 and the section previously incorrectly numbered .12 will become .13.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>7</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>8</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

Specifically, the proposal is designed to correct an administrative error from a previous filing that resulted in incorrect numbering of certain sections of Rule 11.26, Interpretations and Policies. Correcting these errors will avoid confusion and will promote clarity and ease of reference in the Exchange’s rules and is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that it will promote just and equitable principles of trade and the protection of investors and the public interest.

### B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is intended to correct defective numbering in the Interpretations and Policies of Rule 11.26 and raises no competitive issues.

### C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

## III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)<sup>9</sup> of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.<sup>10</sup>

A proposed rule change filed pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6) under the Act<sup>11</sup> normally does not become operative for 30 days after the date of its filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)<sup>12</sup> permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has asked the Commission to waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative upon filing. The Exchange believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because the proposed changes are ministerial and the Commission granted a similar waiver of the 30-day operative delay in its notice of the rule changes that contained the original incorrect numbering.<sup>13</sup> The Commission believes that waiving the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because the proposed changes are non-substantive and will provide clarity to the Exchange’s rules. Therefore, the Commission hereby waives the operative delay and designates the proposed rule change operative upon filing.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). As required under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and the text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>13</sup> See *supra* notes 5 and 6.

<sup>14</sup> For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78960 (September 28, 2016), 81 FR 68476 (October 4, 2016) (SR-NSX-2016-12).

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78987 (September 29, 2016), 81 FR 69123 (October 5, 2016) (SR-NSX-2016-13).

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NSX-2016-14 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NSX-2016-14. This file number should be included in the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. eastern time. Copies of such filings also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from

submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to file number SR-NSX-2016-14 and should be submitted on or before November 23, 2016.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to the delegated authority.<sup>15</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-26403 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IC-32340; File No. 812-14472]

### NF Investment Corp., et al.; Notice of Application

October 27, 2016.

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

**ACTION:** Notice of application for an order to amend a prior order under sections 17(d), 57(a)(4) and 57(i) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") and rule 17d-1 under the Act to permit certain joint transactions otherwise prohibited by sections 17(d), 57(a)(4) and 57(i) of the Act and rule 17d-1 under the Act.

**SUMMARY OF APPLICATION:** Applicants request an order ("Order") to amend a prior order to permit certain business development companies ("BDCs") and closed-end investment companies to co-invest in portfolio companies with each other and with certain other affiliated investment funds and broker-dealers. The Order would supersede the prior order.<sup>1</sup>

**APPLICANTS:** NF Investment Corp. ("NFIC"); Carlyle GMS Finance, Inc. ("CGMSF," and together with NFIC, the "Existing Regulated Funds"); NFIC SPV LLC ("NFIC Sub"); Carlyle GMS Finance SPV LLC ("CGMSF Sub"); Carlyle GMS Finance MM CLO 2015-1 LLC ("2015-1 Issuer," and together with CFMSF Sub and NFIC Sub, the "Existing SPV Subs") (collectively, the "Existing Co-Investment Affiliates"); Carlyle GMS Investment Management L.L.C. ("CGMSIM") on behalf of itself and its successors;<sup>2</sup> and TCG Securities, L.L.C. ("TCG").

<sup>15</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> NF Investment Corp., et al., Investment Company Act Rel. Nos. 30900 (Jan. 31, 2014) (notice) and 30968 (Feb. 26, 2014) (order).

<sup>2</sup> The term "successor" as applied to CGMSIM means an entity that results from a reorganization into another jurisdiction or change in the type of business organization.

**FILING DATES:** The application was filed on May 22, 2015, and amended on October 8, 2015, March 30, 2016, and August 4, 2016.

**HEARING OR NOTIFICATION OF HEARING:** An order granting the requested relief will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing. Interested persons may request a hearing by writing to the Commission's Secretary and serving applicants with a copy of the request, personally or by mail. Hearing requests should be received by the Commission by 5:30 p.m. on November 21, 2016, and should be accompanied by proof of service on applicants, in the form of an affidavit or, for lawyers, a certificate of service. Pursuant to Rule 0-5 under the Act, hearing requests should state the nature of the writer's interest, any facts bearing upon the desirability of a hearing on the matter, the reason for the request, and the issues contested. Persons who wish to be notified of a hearing may request notification by writing to the Commission's Secretary.

**ADDRESSES:** The Commission: Brent J. Fields, Secretary, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F St. NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

Applicants: Carlyle GMS Finance, Inc., 520 Madison Avenue, 38th Floor, New York, NY 10022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean E. Minarick, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551-6811 or Daniele Marchesani, Branch Chief, at (202) 551-6821 (Chief Counsel's Office, Division of Investment Management).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following is a summary of the application. The complete application may be obtained via the Commission's Web site by searching for the file number, or for an applicant using the Company name box, at <http://www.sec.gov/search/search.htm> or by calling (202) 551-8090.

#### Applicants' Representations

1. CGMSF and NFIC are both Maryland corporations organized as non-diversified, closed-end management investment companies that have elected to be regulated as BDCs under Section 54(a) of the Act.<sup>3</sup> The Objectives and Strategies<sup>4</sup> of both

<sup>3</sup> Section 2(a)(48) defines a BDC to be any closed-end investment company that operates for the purpose of making investments in securities described in sections 55(a)(1) through 55(a)(3) of the Act and makes available significant managerial assistance with respect to the issuers of such securities.

<sup>4</sup> "Objectives and Strategies" means the investment objectives and strategies of a Regulated Fund (as defined below), as described in the filings made with the Commission by the Regulated Fund