

information collection, taking an estimated 0.25 hours per registrant annually.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the proposed collection:* The DEA estimates the total public burden (in hours) associated with this collection: 333 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required please contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Suite 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 27, 2016.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016-26415 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amended Consent Decree Under the Clean Water Act

On October 27, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed amended consent decree with the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Sewer Authority of the City of Scranton*, Civil Action No. 3:09-cv-1873.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Clean Water Act in 2009, seeking injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of the Clean Water Act relating to the municipal wastewater treatment plant and collection system owned and operated by the Scranton Sewer Authority. The litigation was resolved on January 31, 2013 when the court entered a consent decree that requires the Scranton Sewer Authority to implement a long term control plan to address combined sewer overflows by December 1, 2037. The Scranton Sewer Authority now proposes to sell its wastewater treatment plant and collection system, and to transfer the remaining obligations under the consent decree, to Pennsylvania American Water Company. The proposed amended consent decree would substitute Pennsylvania American Water Company as the defendant to the consent decree, and release the Scranton Sewer Authority from its obligations, in the event that the proposed sale of the treatment plant and collection system proceeds to closing on or before March 31, 2017.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the amended consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States v. Scranton Sewer Authority*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-5-1-1-08778. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email	<i>pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the consent decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the amended consent decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044-7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$49.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is \$12.00.

Robert Brook,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 2016-26430 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1190-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Assessing the Potential Monetized Benefits of Captioning Web Content for Individuals Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing

AGENCY: Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section (DRS), will be submitting the following information collection

request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 81 FR 29577 on May 12, 2016, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until December 2, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments (especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time), suggestions, need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or need additional information, please contact Rebecca B. Bond, Chief, Disability Rights Section, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice, by any one of the following methods: By email at DRS.PRA@usdoj.gov; by regular U.S. mail to Disability Rights Section, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice, P.O. Box 2885, Fairfax, VA 22031-0885; by overnight mail, courier, or hand delivery to Disability Rights Section, Civil Rights Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 1425 New York Avenue NW., Suite 4039, Washington, DC 20005; or by phone at (800) 514-0301 (voice) or (800) 514-0383 (TTY) (the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Information Line). Include in the subject line of all written comments the title of this proposed collection: "Assessing the Potential Monetized Benefits of Captioning Web Content for Individuals Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing." Written comments or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

You may obtain copies of this notice in an alternate format by calling the ADA Information Line at (800) 514-0301 (voice) or (800) 514-0383 (TTY).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Evaluate whether, and if so, how, the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and/or;
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this Information Cl:

1. *Type of information collection:* New information collection.

2. *The title of the form/collection:* Assessing the Potential Monetized Benefits of Captioning Web Content for Individuals Who Are Deaf or Hard of Hearing.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None.

Component: The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Disability Rights Section (DRS) in the Civil Rights Division.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Affected Public (Primary): Individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing will be asked to respond.

Affected Public (Other): None.

Abstract: DOJ's Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section (DRS) is requesting PRA approval of a new collection that would request information about the perceived monetary value of captioning on Web sites from individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing for the purpose of estimating the potential monetized benefits of captioning audio and video content on the Web. DRS is not suggesting that people with disabilities should be asked to pay for captioning; rather, it intends to ask individuals about the theoretical monetary value that they place on the captioning of audio and video Web content in order to estimate how highly they value captioning. The collection will also request additional information about how frequently individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing access audio content on Web sites, what type of audio content they access, how often this content is not captioned, how much additional time (if any) they spend trying to access content or information when the content is not captioned, and whether lack of captioning makes using the Internet more difficult. This

information will enhance DRS's ability to monetize the benefits of any captioning requirements imposed by future rulemaking under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 1,070 respondents will complete the questions. It is estimated that an average of 10 minutes per respondent is needed to complete the questions. DRS estimates that nearly all of the approximately 1,070 respondents will fully complete the questions.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 178 hours. It is estimated that respondents will take an average of 10 minutes ($\frac{1}{6}$ of an hour) to complete the questions. The burden hours for collecting respondent data sum to 178.33 hours ($1,070 \text{ respondents} \times \frac{1}{6} \text{ hours} = 178 \text{ and } \frac{1}{3} \text{ hours}$).

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: October 27, 2016.

Jerri Murray,

Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016-26400 Filed 11-1-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-13-P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Office of Federal Procurement Policy

Improving the Management and Use of Government Aircraft

AGENCY: Office of Federal Procurement Policy, Office of Management and Budget

ACTION: Proposed Revision to Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-126, "Improving the Management and Use of Government Aircraft."

SUMMARY: The Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) in the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is proposing to revise OMB Circular A-126 "Improving the Management and Use of Government Aircraft" to update policies associated with the management and use of Government

aircraft, including General Services Administration (GSA) and agency roles in regulating and managing the Federal aviation programs that have evolved since the Circular was last revised in 1992. The proposed changes also address recommendations from the Interagency Committee for Aviation Policy (ICAP) to make a clearer distinction between policies that apply to the management of aircraft and policies that apply to travel on Government aircraft.

DATES: Interested parties should submit comments in writing to the address below on or before 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted online at www.regulations.gov.

Instructions: All comments received will be posted, without change or redaction, to www.regulations.gov, so commenters should not include information that they do not wish to be posted (for example because they consider it personal or business confidential).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jim Wade, OFPP, jwade@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview

Federal agencies own more than 1,200 operational aircraft to support a wide range of missions, including fire-fighting, law enforcement, research and development, and other activities. Federal aircraft are also used in various situations to transport certain executives. OMB Circular A-126 sets forth requirements to help ensure the appropriate agency use of Government aircraft.

Traditionally, the Circular has focused primarily on travel policy. When the Circular was last updated in 1992, coverage was strengthened to restrict the operation of aircraft to defined official purposes, restrict travel on such aircraft, require special review of such travel by senior officials or non-Federal travelers, and codify policies for reimbursement. The proposed revisions to A-126 would retain these policies but make several refinements to address recommendations made by the Government Accountability Office (GAO) in a 2014 report (GAO-14-151) recommending clarification on reporting exemptions for the Intelligence Community. Currently, the Circular exempts the reporting of classified trips, but the reporting of unclassified data is not explicitly addressed. To resolve this ambiguity, the proposed revisions to the Circular would include a clear statement that the Intelligence