identification to enter the building. Attendees are encouraged to arrive at least 15 minutes prior to the start of the meeting to allow sufficient time for security screening. Proposed agenda items for the meeting include, but are not limited to, the following: Overview of materials provided to the subcommittee; Update on ORD's Air, Climate, and Energy Research Program; Review of charge questions; Presentations on research efforts related to social science; and Subcommittee discussion.

Information on Services for Individuals with Disabilities: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Tim Benner at (202) 564–6769 or benner.tim@epa.gov. To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Tim Benner, preferably at least ten days prior to the meeting, to give the EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: September 26, 2016.

#### Fred S. Hauchman,

Director, Office of Science Policy. [FR Doc. 2016–23687 Filed 9–29–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OW-2016-0438; FRL-9953-44-OW]

Request for Public Comments To Be Sent to EPA on Peer Review Materials To Inform the Safe Drinking Water Act Decision Making on Perchlorate

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is announcing the release of materials for public comment that will undergo expert peer review in support of the EPA's Safe Drinking Water Act decision making on perchlorate. This request is one of two Federal Register notices being published concurrently, seeking public comment on two separate sets of peer review materials. The Agency requests comment on both the draft Biologically Based Dose-Response Model and an accompanying draft model report entitled "Biologically Based Dose-Response Models for the Effect of Perchlorate on Thyroid Hormones in the Infant, Breast Feeding Mother, Pregnant Mother, and Fetus: Model Development, Revision, and Preliminary Dose-Response Analyses." These materials

will be reviewed by an expert peer review panel and the panelists will consider the public comments received. A companion notice published on this date requests comments on the interim list of peer reviewers and draft peer review charge questions to be sent to EPA's contractor, Versar, Inc.

**DATES:** Comments on the draft Biologically Based Dose-Response (BBDR) model and draft report must be received by EPA on or before November 14, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments. identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2016-0438, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http:// www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/ commenting-epa-dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information concerning the draft BBDR model and the draft report, please contact Russ Perkinson at U.S. EPA, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water, Standards and Risk Management Division (Mail Code 4607M), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone: 202–564–4901; or email: perkinson.russ@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Information on EPA's Biologically

Based Dose-Response (BBDR) Model for Perchlorate in Drinking Water EPA has begun development of a national primary drinking water

national primary drinking water regulation (NPDWR) for perchlorate, in accordance with the requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). One statutory requirement is that the Agency must request comment from EPA's Science Advisory Board (SAB) prior to proposal of a maximum contaminant level goal (MCLG) and a NPDWR.

In 2012, EPA sought guidance from the SAB on how best to consider and interpret life stage information, epidemiologic and biomonitoring data, physiologically-based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) analyses and the totality of perchlorate health information to derive an MCLG for perchlorate.

In 2013, the SAB recommended that, ". . . EPA derive a perchlorate MCLG that addresses sensitive life stages through physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) modeling based upon its mode of action rather than the default MCLG approach using the reference dose and specific chemical exposure parameters" (Advice on Approaches to Derive a Maximum Contaminant Level Goal for Perchlorate, EPA–SAB–13–004).

Based on the SAB's recommendations, EPA, with contributions from Food and Drug Administration scientists, developed a BBDR (also known as a PBPK/PD) model. The BBDR model was developed by integrating PBPK models for perchlorate and iodide with BBDR models for thyroid hormones to predict the effect of perchlorate on the thyroid gland in formula-fed and breast-fed infants for the postnatal period from days 7 to 90, as well as lactating women. The draft model is focused on the condition of hypothyroxinemia as an indicator of the potential adverse health effects. This integrated draft model predicts the effects of perchlorate on serum thyroid hormone concentrations in the pregnant and lactating mother exposed to perchlorate in the diet and in infants exposed via ingestion of perchlorate in formula or breast milk.

#### II. How To Obtain the Draft BBDR Model and Draft Reports

EPA's draft model report entitled "Biologically Based Dose Response Models for the Effect of Perchlorate on Thyroid Hormones in the Infant, Breast Feeding Mother, Pregnant Mother, and Fetus: Model Development, Revision, and Preliminary Dose-Response Analyses" is available electronically and can be accessed using the Public Docket at http://www.regulations.gov (Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2016-0438). The draft BBDR model code files can be accessed at https://hero.epa.gov/ hero/index.cfm/reference/details/ reference id/3352518. All written comments must be submitted during the public comment period.

#### III. Exclusion for Peer Review Candidates

Important: Anyone wishing to be considered as an expert peer reviewer must not submit comments during the public comment period. Candidates on the interim list not selected for the panel peer review (see companion Peer Review Federal Register notice, published on September 30, 2016 will be given a limited opportunity to submit public comments once the final peer reviewers are selected by Versar, Inc., the EPA contractor managing this peer review process.

Dated: September 23, 2016.

#### Joel Beauvais,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Water.

[FR Doc. 2016-23606 Filed 9-29-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0667 and 3060-1104]

# Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications

Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), the Federal Communications Commission (FCC or Commission) invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collections. Comments are requested concerning: whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees. The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. No person shall be subject to

any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid OMB control number.

**DATES:** Written PRA comments should be submitted on or before November 29, 2016. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all PRA comments to Cathy Williams, FCC, via email *PRA@ fcc.gov* and to *Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov*.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information about the information collection, contact Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0667. Title: Section 76.630, Compatibility with Consumer Electronics Equipment; Section 76.1621, Equipment Compatibility Offer; Section 76.1622, Consumer Education of Equipment Compatibility.

Form Number: Not applicable. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents and Responses: 8,250 respondents; 66,501 responses.

*Estimated Time per Response:* .017 hours-3 hours.

Frequency of Response: Recordkeeping and third party disclosure requirements; On occasion reporting requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection is contained in Section 4(i) and Section 632 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Total Annual Burden: 17,353 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$1,355.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Needs and Uses: 47 CFR 76.630(a) states a cable system operator shall not scramble or otherwise encrypt signals carried on the basic service tier. This requirement is subject to certain exemptions explained below. Requests for waivers of this prohibition, which are allowed under 47 CFR 76.630(a)(2), must demonstrate either a substantial problem with theft of basic tier service or a strong need to scramble basic signals for other reasons. As part of this showing, cable operators are required to notify subscribers by mail of waiver

requests. The notice to subscribers must be mailed no later than thirty calendar days from the date the request waiver was filed with the Commission, and cable operators must inform the Commission in writing, as soon as possible, of that notification date. The notification to subscribers must state:

On (date of waiver request was filed with the Commission), (cable operator's name) filed with the Federal Communications Commission a request for waiver of the rule prohibiting scrambling of channels on the basic tier of service. The request for waiver states (a brief summary of the waiver request). A copy of the request for waiver is on file for public inspection at (the address of the cable operator's local place of business).

Individuals who wish to comment on this request for waiver should mail comments to the Federal Communications Commission by no later than 30 days from (the date the notification was mailed to subscribers). Those comments should be addressed to the: Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, Washington, DC 20554, and should include the name of the cable operator to whom the comments are applicable. Individuals should also send a copy of their comments to (the cable operator at its local place of business). Cable operators may file comments in reply no later than 7 days from the date subscriber comments must be filed.

47 CFR 76.1621 states a cable system operators that use scrambling, encryption or similar technologies in conjunction with cable system terminal devices, as defined in § 15.3(e) of this chapter, that may affect subscribers reception of signals shall offer to supply each subscriber with special equipment that will enable the simultaneous reception of multiple signals. The equipment offered shall include a single terminal device with dual descramblers/ decoders and/or timers and bypass switches. Other equipment, such as two independent set-top terminal devices may be offered at the same time that the single terminal device with dual tuners/ descramblers is offered. For purposes of this rule, two set-top devices linked by a control system that provides functionality equivalent to that of a single device with dual descramblers is considered to be the same as a terminal device with dual descramblers/ decoders.

(a) The offer of special equipment shall be made to new subscribers at the time they subscribe and to all subscribers at least once each year (i.e., in subscriber billings or pre-printed information on the bill).