

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-5910-N-15]

**60-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Disaster Recovery Grant Reporting System**

**AGENCY:** Office of Community Planning and Development, HUD.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* November 21, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, QDAM, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4176, Washington, DC 20410-5000; telephone (202) 402-3400 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at [Colette.Pollard@hud.gov](mailto:Colette.Pollard@hud.gov) for a copy of the proposed forms or other available information. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jessie Handforth Kome, Acting Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410 at (202) 708-3587. This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

**A. Overview of Information Collection**

*Title of Information Collection:* Disaster Recovery Grant Reporting System.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2506-0165.  
*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Form Number:* SF-424 Application for Federal Assistance.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* Disaster Recovery Grant Reporting (DRGR) System is a grants management system used by the Office of Community Planning and Development to monitor special appropriation grants under the Community Development Block Grant program. This collection pertains to Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR), Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), Rural Capacity Building (RCB) for Community Development, and Affordable Housing Capacity Building for Affordable Housing and Community Development Program (Section 4 program) grant appropriations.

The CDBG program is authorized under Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended. Following major disasters, Congress appropriates supplemental CDBG funds for disaster recovery. According to Section 104(e)(1) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, HUD is responsible for reviewing grantees' compliance with applicable requirements and their continuing capacity to carry out their programs. Grant funds are made available to states and units of general local government, Indian tribes, and insular areas, unless provided otherwise by supplemental appropriations statute, based on their unmet disaster recovery needs.

The Rural Capacity Building (RCB) Program enhances the capacity and ability of local governments, Indian tribes, housing development organizations, rural Community

Development Corporations (CDCs), and rural Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs), to carry out community development and affordable housing activities that benefit low- and moderate-income families and persons in rural areas. The original authorizing statute for the RCB program is the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2012, Public Law 112-55.

The Capacity Building for Affordable Housing and Community Development Program, also known as the Section 4 program, was originally authorized under Section 4 of the HUD Demonstration Act of 1993 (Pub. L. 103-120, 107 Stat. 1148, 42 U.S.C. 9816 note), as amended. The program enhances the capacity and ability of community development corporations (CDCs) and community housing development organizations (CHDOs) to carry out community development and affordable housing activities that benefit low-income persons.

*Respondents:* DRGR is used to monitor CDBG-DR, NSP, NSP-TA, RCB and Section 4 grants, as well as several programs that do not fall under the Office of Block Grant Assistance. Separate information collections have been submitted and approved for these programs. CDBG-DR and NSP grant funds are made available to states and units of general local government, Indian tribes, and insular areas, unless provided otherwise by supplemental appropriations statute. NSP-TA grant funds are awarded on a competitive basis and are open to state and local governments, as well as non-profit groups and consortia that may include for-profit entities. RCB grants are competitively awarded to local governments, Indian tribes, housing development organizations, rural Community Development Corporations (CDCs), and rural Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs). Section 4 grant funds are directly awarded to grantees designated in the authorizing statute and subsequent appropriations.

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
<b>CDBG-DR Non-Recurring</b>							
Published Action Plan .....	7	1	7	40 .....	280	\$25 .....	\$7,000
SF 424 .....	7	1	7	1 .....	7	25 .....	175
Procurement, Financial Controls and DOB documentation.	7	1	7	6 .....	42	25 .....	1,050
Performance and Financial Projections .....	7	1	7	8 .....	56	25 .....	1,400
Grant Agreement (HUD 40092) .....	7	1	7	40 .....	280	25 .....	7,000
Grantee's Written Agreements .....	7	1	7	1 .....	7	25 .....	175

Information collection	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Responses per annum	Burden hour per response	Annual burden hours	Hourly cost per response	Annual cost
DRGR Activation, Activity Set-Up and Completion.	7	1	7	6	42	25	1,050
<b>CDBG-DR Recurring</b>							
Average Sized Grants Online Quarterly Reporting via DRGR.	20	1	20	1	20	25	500
Large Grants Online Quarterly Reporting via DRGR.	20	1	20	5	100	25	2,500
Average-sized grants online voucher submissions.	20	1	20	20	400	25	10,000
Large-sized grants online voucher submission.	20	1	20	1	20	25	500
CDBG-DR Subtotal	134	1,023	31,420	N/A	20,821	25	520,527
<b>NSP Recurring</b>							
Online Quarterly Reporting via DRGR	617	4	2,468	4	9,872	25	246,800
DRGR voucher submissions	617	38	23,446	0.18	4,220	25	105,507
NSP Subtotal	617	42	25,914	N/A	14,092	N/A	352,307
<b>NSP3-TA Recurring</b>							
TA work plan submissions	12	5	60	8	480	25	12,000
DRGR voucher submissions	12	38	456	0.18	82	25	2,052
NSP3-TA Subtotal	12	43	516	N/A	562	N/A	14,052
<b>Rural Capacity and Section 4 Non-Recurring</b>							
DRGR Activation and Account Setup	8	1	8	2	16	35	960
Action Plan Setup and Submission	8	1	8	12	96	35	5,760
<b>Rural Capacity and Section 4 Recurring</b>							
Action Plan Revisions	16	2	32	0.5	16	35	560
Semi-Annual Report Submission	16	2	32	8	256	35	8,960
Voucher Submission	16	12	192	0.25	48	35	1,680
RCB and Section 4 Subtotal	16	18	272	N/A	592	N/A	15,120
Total	779	1,126	58,122	N/A	36,067	Varies	902,006

**B. Solicitation of Public Comment**

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

- (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
- (3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- (4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**Authority:** Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35.

Dated: September 14, 2016.

**Harriet Tregoning,**  
Principal Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.  
[FR Doc. 2016-22719 Filed 9-20-16; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Indian Affairs**

[167 A2100DD/AAKC001030/A0A501010.999900]

**Indian Gaming; Approval of a Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact in the State of South Dakota**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe of South Dakota and State of South Dakota entered into a compact replacing and superseding an existing Tribal-State compact governing Class III gaming; this notice announces approval of the compact.

**DATES:** Effective September 21, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Paula L. Hart, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219-4066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA) requires the Secretary of the Interior to publish in the **Federal Register** notice of approved Tribal-State compacts that are for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. See Public Law 100-497, 25 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.* All Tribal-State Class III compacts, including amendments, are subject to review and approval by the Secretary under 25 CFR 293.4. The compact increases the authorized number of gaming machines to 1,000, and establishes Tribal contributions to local governments based on the number of gaming machines in operation. In addition, the term of the compact is subject to review at 10 year intervals, starting from the date of approval of this compact, with an automatic 10 year renewal. The