

Oklahoma that this notice has been published.

Dated: September 6, 2016.

**Melanie O'Brien,**

*Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. 2016–22618 Filed 9–19–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–50–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS–WASO–NAGPRA–21897;  
PPWOCRADN0–PCU00RP14.R50000]

### Notice of Inventory Completion: San Diego Museum of Man, San Diego, CA

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The San Diego Museum of Man has completed an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects, in consultation with the appropriate Indian tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations, and has determined that there is a cultural affiliation between the human remains and associated funerary objects and present-day Indian tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations. Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request to the San Diego Museum of Man. If no additional requestors come forward, transfer of control of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the lineal descendants, Indian tribes, or Native Hawaiian organizations stated in this notice may proceed.

**DATES:** Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to the San Diego Museum of Man at the address in this notice by October 20, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Ben Garcia, Deputy Director, San Diego Museum of Man, 1350 El Prado, San Diego, CA 92101, telephone (619) 239–2001 ext. 17, email [bgarcia@museumofman.org](mailto:bgarcia@museumofman.org).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is here given in accordance with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003, of the completion of an inventory of human remains and associated funerary objects under the control of the

San Diego Museum of Man, San Diego, CA. The human remains and associated funerary objects were removed from Long Island, Kodiak Island Borough, AK.

This notice is published as part of the National Park Service's administrative responsibilities under NAGPRA, 25 U.S.C. 3003(d)(3). The determinations in this notice are the sole responsibility of the museum, institution, or Federal agency that has control of the Native American human remains and associated funerary objects. The National Park Service is not responsible for the determinations in this notice.

### Consultation

A detailed assessment of the human remains and associated funerary objects was made by the San Diego Museum of Man professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak (previously listed as the Shoonaq' Tribe of Kodiak) and the Tangirnaq Native Village (formerly Lesnoi Village (aka Woody Island)).

### History and Description of the Remains

In the summer of 1968, human remains representing, at minimum, one individual were removed from Long Island, Kodiak Island Borough, AK. These remains were removed from a midden by amateur anthropologists from the Long Island Historical Society. The individual is an adult male. These remains and associated funerary objects were donated to the San Diego Museum of Man by Steve and Linda Gassaway in 1984. No known individuals were identified. The 2 associated funerary objects are 1 slate hone and 1 lot of faunal remains.

An examination of the human remains by San Diego Museum of Man physical anthropology professional staff in 1990 determined the individual to be of prehistoric native Alaskan origin. Archeological data indicate that modern Alutiiqs evolved from societies of the Kodiak region, and can trace their ancestry back over 7,500 years in the region. The modern cultural affiliation of this prehistoric individual from Long Island is shared jointly between the Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak (previously listed as the Shoonaq' Tribe of Kodiak) and the Tangirnaq Native Village (formerly Lesnoi Village (aka Woody Island)).

### Determinations Made by the {Museum or Federal Agency}

Officials of the San Diego Museum of Man have determined that:

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(9), the human remains described in this notice

represent the physical remains of one individual of Native American ancestry.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(A), the two objects described in this notice are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony.

- Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity that can be reasonably traced between the Native American human remains and the Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak (previously listed as the Shoonaq' Tribe of Kodiak) and the Tangirnaq Native Village (formerly Lesnoi Village (aka Woody Island)).

### Additional Requestors and Disposition

Lineal descendants or representatives of any Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice that wish to request transfer of control of these human remains and two associated funerary objects should submit a written request with information in support of the request to Ben Garcia, Deputy Director, San Diego Museum of Man, 1350 El Prado, San Diego, CA 92101, telephone (619) 239–2001 ext. 17, email [bgarcia@museumofman.org](mailto:bgarcia@museumofman.org), by October 20, 2016. After that date, if no additional requestors have come forward, transfer of control of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak (previously listed as the Shoonaq' Tribe of Kodiak) and the Tangirnaq Native Village (formerly Lesnoi Village (aka Woody Island)) may proceed.

The San Diego Museum of Man is responsible for notifying the Sun'aq Tribe of Kodiak (previously listed as the Shoonaq' Tribe of Kodiak) and Tangirnaq Native Village (formerly Lesnoi Village (aka Woody Island)) that this notice has been published.

Dated: September 7, 2016.

**Melanie O'Brien,**

*Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. 2016–22617 Filed 9–19–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–50–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–933; (Advisory Opinion)]

**Certain Stainless Steel Products, Certain Processes for Manufacturing or Relating to Same, and Certain Products Containing Same; Notice of the Issuance of an Advisory Opinion**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to issue an advisory opinion in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission concurrently issues the advisory opinion and terminates the advisory opinion proceeding.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Amanda P. Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on October 10, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. of Fort Wayne, Indiana; Valbruna Stainless Inc., of Fort Wayne, Indiana; and Acciaierie Valbruna S.p.A. of Italy (collectively, “Valbruna”). 79 *FR* 61339 (Oct. 10, 2014). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain stainless steel products, certain processes for manufacturing or relating to same, and certain products containing same by reason of the misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. *Id.* The notice of investigation named as respondents Viraj Profiles Limited of Mumbai, India (“Viraj”); Viraj Holdings P. Ltd. of Mumbai, India; Viraj—U.S.A., Inc. of Garden City, New York; Flanschenwerk Bebitz GmbH of Könnern, Germany; Bebitz Fanges Works Pvt. Ltd. of Maharashtra, India; Bebitz U.S.A. of Garden City, New York; and Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. of Tainan, Taiwan and Ta Chen

International, Inc. of Long Beach, California. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations also was named as a party to the investigation. *Id.*

On December 8, 2015, the administrative law judge (“ALJ”) (Judge Essex) issued an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 17) finding Viraj in default for spoliation of evidence and ordering the disgorgement of complainants’ operating practices in Viraj’s possession. On February 8, 2016, the Commission determined to review Order No. 17, and, in its notice of review, determined to affirm the default finding against Viraj. 81 *FR* 7584 (Feb. 12, 2016). The Commission also requested briefing from the parties on certain other issues on review, and requested briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.*

On April 4, 2016, the Commission determined not to review an ID (Order No. 19) granting Valbruna’s motion for partial termination of the investigation based on withdrawal of the complaint against all respondents except Viraj. Notice (Apr. 4, 2016).

On May 25, 2016, the Commission modified the reasoning underlying the default finding in Order No. 17 and vacated the ID’s disgorgement order. The Commission terminated the investigation with a finding of violation of section 337 as to Viraj. The Commission also issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

On June 22, 2016, Viraj filed a request for an advisory opinion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.79. On July 6, 2016, Valbruna opposed the request. On July 13, 2016, Viraj filed a motion for leave to file a reply to Valbruna’s opposition. On July 21, 2016, Valbruna filed an opposition to Viraj’s motion. The Commission grants Viraj’s motion.

The Commission has determined that Viraj’s request complies with the requirements for issuance of an advisory opinion under Commission Rule 210.79. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to issue an advisory opinion.

Having considered the parties’ filings, the Commission has determined that Viraj has not provided sufficient information to determine whether any stainless steel products sought to be imported by Viraj would be covered by the limited exclusion order. The Commission’s opinion on violation requires that Viraj establish “that *specific products* that it seeks to import are not manufactured using any of the trade secrets identified in Valbruna’s

complaint.” Comm’n Op. at 31. Here, Viraj has not provided sufficient information to establish that specific stainless steel products would be manufactured without the benefit of Valbruna’s trade secrets. The reasons for the Commission’s determinations are set forth in the accompanying Advisory Opinion.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 14, 2016.

**Katherine Hiner,**

*Acting Supervisory Attorney.*

[FR Doc. 2016–22545 Filed 9–19–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Pistoia Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on August 19, 2016, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Pistoia Alliance, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Agrimetrix Ltd., Harpenden, UNITED KINGDOM; Linguamatics Ltd., Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Daniel Taylor (individual member), Washington, DC; and Repositve, Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, EPAM Systems, Cambridge, MA; DeltaSoft, Hillsborough, NJ; IO-Informatics, Berkeley, CA; Syapse, Palo Alto, CA; Eagle Genomics Ltd., Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Ipsen Biomeasure Incorporated, Acton, MA; Omixon, Nyul, HUNGARY; Sementific, San Diego, CA; Titian Software, Westborough, MA; Advanced Chemistry Development, Inc. (ACD/Labs), Toronto, CANADA; Schrodinger, LLC, New York, NY; GeneStack Limited, Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Molecular Connections, Bangalore, INDIA;