

Issued: August 19, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–20357 Filed 8–24–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–808 (Third Review)]

### Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products From Russia; Scheduling of an Expedited Five-Year Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of an expedited review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from Russia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* August 5, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Szustakowski ((202) 205–3169), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Background.*—On August 5, 2016, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (81 FR 26256, May 2, 2016) of the subject five-year review was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting a full review.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly,

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

the Commission determined that it would conduct an expedited review pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

*Staff report.*—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the review will be placed in the nonpublic record on August 31, 2016, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for this review. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

*Written submissions.*—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the review and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>2</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the review may file written comments with the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the review. Comments are due on or before September 6, 2016 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year review nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the review by September 6, 2016. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its review, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s rules with respect to filing were revised effective July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission’s Web site at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the review must be

<sup>2</sup> The Commission has found the responses submitted by AK Steel Corporation, ArcelorMittal USA LLC, Nucor Corporation, SSAB Enterprises LLC, Steel Dynamics Inc., and United States Steel Corporation to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

served on all other parties to the review (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 19, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–20334 Filed 8–24–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

### Miscellaneous Tariff Bill (MTB) Petition System Submission of Petition and Comment Forms for OMB Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of submission of request for approval of a questionnaire to the Office of Management and Budget. This notice is being given pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Purpose of Information Collection:* The information requested by these forms is for use by the Commission in connection with evaluating miscellaneous tariff petitions submitted under the authority of American Manufacturing Competitiveness Act of 2016 (Pub. L. 114–159 approved May 20, 2016). Section 3 of this Act establishes a process for the submission and consideration of petitions and public comments for duty suspensions and reductions for imported goods in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The collection periods are 60-day periods starting October 15, 2016 and October 15, 2019.

#### *Summary of Proposal:*

- (1) Number of forms submitted: 2.
- (2) Title of forms: MTB Petition System: Information for Petitions Form and MTB Petition System: Information for Comments Form.
- (3) Type of request: New.
- (4) Frequency of use: Once.
- (5) Description of affected industry: Domestic firms.
- (6) Estimated number of petitioners and commenters: up to 5,000 petitions; 14,000 comments.

(7) Estimated total number of hours to complete the form: 8 hours for compiling information and submitting petitions and 2 hours to draft and submit comments.

(8) Information obtained from the forms that qualifies as confidential business information will be so treated by the Commission.

*Additional Information or Comment:* Copies of the forms and supporting documents may be obtained from Jennifer Rohrbach, USITC MTB Program Manager, Office of Operations ([jennifer.rohrbach@usitc.gov](mailto:jennifer.rohrbach@usitc.gov) or 202-205-2088) or Philip Stone, Office of Industries MTB Coordinator ([philip.stone@usitc.gov](mailto:philip.stone@usitc.gov) or 202-205-3424). Comments about the proposal should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Room 10102 (Docket Library), Washington, DC 20503, ATTENTION: Docket Librarian. All comments should be specific, indicating which part of the form is objectionable, describing the concern in detail, and including specific suggested revisions or language changes. Copies of any comments should be provided to Kirit Amin, Chief Information Officer, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, who is the Commission's designated Senior Official under the Paperwork Reduction Act. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet address (<https://www.usitc.gov>). Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 22, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-20406 Filed 8-24-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1011]

### **Certain Inkjet Printers, Printheads, and Ink Cartridges, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Commission's Determination Not to Review Initial Determinations Terminating Certain Respondents Based on Settlement and Withdrawal of the Complaint as to the Remaining Respondents; Termination of the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determinations ("ID") (Order Nos. 5 and 6) terminating certain respondents based on settlement and withdrawal of the complaint as to the remaining respondents.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on July 1, 2016, based on a complaint filed on behalf of HP Inc. of Palo Alto, California ("complainant"). 81 FR 43244 (July 1, 2016). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation, importation, or sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet printers, printheads, and ink cartridges, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of U.S. Patent No. 6,270,201; U.S. Patent No. 6,491,377; U.S. Patent No. 6,260,952; U.S. Patent No. 7,004,564; U.S. Patent No. 7,090,343; and U.S. Patent No. 7,744,202. The Commission's notice of investigation named the following respondents: Memjet, Ltd. of Dublin, Ireland, Memjet US Services, Inc. of San Diego, California, Memjet Home and Office, Inc. of Eagle, Idaho, Memjet North Ryde Pty Ltd. of New South Wales, Australia, Memjet Technology Ltd. of Dublin, Ireland, Memjet Holdings Ltd. of Dublin, Ireland (collectively "the Memjet respondents"); Afinia LLC (d/b/a Afinia Label) of Chanhassen, Minnesota; Astro

Machine Corporation of Elk Grove Village, Illinois; Colordyne Technologies, LLC of Brookfield, Wisconsin; Formax Technologies, Inc. of Dover, New Hampshire; Neopost USA, Inc. (d/b/a Neopost Northwest, Neopost Northeast, Neopost Priority Systems, and/or Neopost Southeast) of Milford, Connecticut; Printware LLC of Eagan, Minnesota; VIPColor Technologies USA, Inc. of Newark, California; ABC Office (d/b/a Brent Barlow) of Kaysville, Utah; All for Mailers, Inc. of Feasterville, Pennsylvania; Fernqvist Labeling Solutions, Inc. of Mountain View, California; Information Management Services LLC (d/b/a MyBinding.com) of Hillsboro, Oregon; JMP Business Systems, Inc. of Clovis, California; Mono Machines LLC of New York, New York; Ordway Corporation (d/b/a Print & Finishing Solutions) of Placentia, California; Pacific Barcode Inc. of Temecula, California; Pacific Code & Label, Inc. of Portland, Oregon; Parts Now! LLC of Madison, Wisconsin; Trademark Copysystems Inc. (d/b/a Address—Addresser Sales Company) of Cleveland, Ohio; and Vivid Data Group LLC of Dallas, Texas. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party.

On July 7, 2016, complainant and the Memjet respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the Memjet respondents based on settlement. The joint motion asserted that there are no other agreements between complainant and the Memjet respondents.

Also on July 7, 2016, complainant filed a motion to terminate the remaining non-Memjet respondents based on withdrawal of the complaint. The complainant represented that the only agreement concerning the subject matter of the investigation is the settlement agreement with the Memjet respondents. Complainant stated the Memjet respondents do not oppose the motion. On July 18, 2016, OUII filed a response indicating it does not oppose the motion based on settlement, and the motion based on withdrawal of the complaint.

On August 1, 2016, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) terminating the Memjet respondents based on settlement. The ALJ found that all of the requirements of Commission rule 210.21(a)-(b), 19 CFR 210.21(a)-(b), had been met and that there were no public interest concerns that would weigh against termination. No petitions for review were filed.

Also on August 1, 2016, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 6) terminating the non-Memjet respondents based on withdrawal of the complaint. The ALJ