

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 164

Wednesday, August 24, 2016

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

5 CFR Part 532

RIN 3206-AN37

Prevailing Rate Systems; Redefinition of the Asheville, NC, and Charlotte, NC, Appropriated Fund Federal Wage System Wage Areas

AGENCY: U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is issuing a proposed rule to redefine the geographic boundaries of the Asheville, NC, and Charlotte, NC, appropriated fund Federal Wage System (FWS) wage areas. The final rule will redefine Alexander and Catawba Counties, NC, from the Charlotte wage area to the Asheville wage area. These changes are based on a consensus recommendation of the Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee (FPRAC) to best match the counties proposed for redefinition to a nearby FWS survey area.

DATES: *Effective date:* This regulation is effective on August 24, 2016.

Applicability date: This change applies on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after September 23, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Madeline Gonzalez, by telephone at (202) 606-2858 or by email at *pay-leave-policy@opm.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 27, 2016, OPM issued a proposed rule (81 FR 24737) to redefine Alexander and Catawba Counties, NC, from the Charlotte, NC, wage area to the Asheville, NC, wage area. FPRAC, the national labor-management committee responsible for advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of FWS employees, reviewed and recommended

these changes by consensus. There are no FWS employees stationed in Alexander or Catawba Counties.

The proposed rule had a 30-day comment period, during which OPM received no comments.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

I certify that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they will affect only Federal agencies and employees.

List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Beth F. Cobert,
Acting Director.

Accordingly, OPM amends 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

■ 2. Appendix C to subpart B is amended by revising the wage area listings for the Asheville, NC and Charlotte, NC, wage areas to read as follows:

Appendix C to Subpart B of Part 532—Appropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas

* * * * *

NORTH CAROLINA Asheville Survey Area

North Carolina:
Buncombe
Haywood
Henderson
Madison
Transylvania

Area of Application. Survey area plus:

North Carolina:
Alexander
Avery
Burke
Caldwell
Catawba
Cherokee
Clay
Graham
Jackson
McDowell

Macon
Mitchell
Polk
Rutherford
Swain
Yancey

* * * * *

Charlotte

Survey Area
North Carolina:
Cabarrus
Gaston
Mecklenburg
Rowan
Union

Area of Application. Survey area plus:

North Carolina:
Anson
Cleveland
Iredell
Lincoln
Stanly
Wilkes
South Carolina:
Chester
Chesterfield
Lancaster
York

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 2016-20172 Filed 8-23-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-39-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

10 CFR Parts 430 and 431

RIN 1904-AD63

[Docket Number EERE-2016-BT-PET-0016]

Energy Conservation Program: Notice of Partial Grant and Partial Denial of Petitions To Amend the Error Correction Rule

AGENCY: Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Final rule; partial grant and partial denial of petitions.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (“DOE”) is granting in part and denying in part a series of petitions to amend a recently published rule that established a procedure through which a party can, within a prescribed period after DOE posts a rule establishing or amending an energy conservation standard, identify a possible error in such a rule and request that DOE correct the error before the rule is published in the **Federal Register** (“error correction rule”). DOE also provided an