

Networks Co., Ltd., Tokyo, JAPAN; and Xura, Ra'anana, ISRAEL.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and the Forum intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1988, the Forum filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 8, 1988 (53 FR 49615).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 25, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 9, 2016 (81 FR 37213).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2016–19691 Filed 8–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice: 16–057]

NASA Advisory Council; Science Committee; Earth Science Subcommittee; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) announces a meeting of the Earth Science Subcommittee of the NASA Advisory Council (NAC). This Subcommittee reports to the Science Committee of the NAC. The meeting will be held for the purpose of soliciting, from the scientific community and other persons, scientific and technical information relevant to program planning.

DATES: Thursday, September 15, 2016, 2:30 p.m.–4:30 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time.

ADDRESSES: This meeting will take place telephonically. Any interested person may call the USA toll free conference call number 888–790–3253, passcode 4030394, to participate in this meeting by telephone.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Ann Delo, Science Mission Directorate, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC

20546, (202) 358–0750, fax (202) 358–2779, or ann.b.delo@nasa.gov.

The agenda for the meeting includes the following topics:

—Earth Science Program Annual Performance Review According to the Government Performance and Results Act Modernization Act.

It is imperative that this meeting be held on this date to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

Patricia D. Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 2016–19734 Filed 8–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13, on or after the date of publication of this notice.

DATES: Comments should be received on or before September 19, 2016 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to (1) Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for NCUA, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, or email at OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.gov and (2) NCUA PRA Clearance Officer, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314–3428 or email at PRAComments@ncua.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the submission may be obtained by emailing PRAComments@ncua.gov or viewing the entire information collection request at www.reginfo.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Number: 3133–0067.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Title: Corporate Credit Union Monthly Call Report.

Form: Form 5310.

Abstract: NCUA is modifying the instrument for collecting call report data from corporate credit unions. This information is currently collected through a standalone application that requires manual input of data by respondents. NCUA is updating its systems to allow this information to be provided through an online portal in a way that allows respondents to automate the submission of this data. This will significantly reduce the burden associated with this collection. Through this action, the NCUA is also combining two currently approved collections—the monthly call report (OMB Number 3133–0067) and the annual report of officials (OMB Number 3133–0053). These collections will both be submitted through the same online portal and the combination of the two collections under a single control number is consistent with the treatment of this data for natural person credit unions (OMB Number 3133–0004).

Affected Public: Private Sector: Businesses or other for-profits.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 588.

By Gerard Poliquin, Secretary of the Board, the National Credit Union Administration, on August 11, 2016.

Dated: August 11, 2016.

Troy S. Hillier,

NCUA PRA Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–19500 Filed 8–17–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2015–0198]

Revisions to Design of Structures, Components, Equipment, and Systems Guidance for NRC Staff

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Standard review plan—final section revision; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final revision to two sections in Chapter 3, “Design of Structures, Components, Equipment, and Systems,” of NUREG–0800, “Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition.” The revisions to these Standard Review Plan (SRP) sections reflect no changes in staff position; rather they clarify the original intent of these SRP sections using plain language throughout in accordance with the NRC’s Plain Writing Action Plan. Additionally, these

revisions reflect operating experience, lessons learned, and updated guidance since the last revision, and address the applicability of regulatory treatment of non-safety systems where appropriate.

DATES: The effective date of this Standard Review Plan (SRP) update is September 19, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2015–0198 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2015–0198. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individuals listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" section of this document.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Notich, telephone: 301–415–3053; email: Mark.Notich@nrc.gov; or Nishka Devasher, telephone: 301–415–5196; email: Nishka.Devasher@nrc.gov; both are staff members of the Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The staff received no comments on the proposed revisions. The staff is issuing the sections in final form for use. There have been no significant

changes made to the sections since being issued in proposed form for public comment. An incorrect statement which stated that the SRP provisions only apply to reviews of applications docketed 6 months or more after the date of issuance was removed. Details of specific changes between current SRP sections and the revised sections issued here are included at the end of each of the revised sections themselves, under the "Description of Changes," subsections.

The Office of New Reactors and the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation are revising these sections from their current revisions. Details of specific changes in the proposed revisions are included at the end of each of the proposed sections.

The changes to these SRP sections reflect current NRC staff review methods and practices based on lessons learned from the NRC's reviews of design certification and combined license applications completed since the last revision of this chapter.

II. Backfitting and Finality Provisions

Issuance of these revised SRP sections does not constitute backfitting as defined in § 50.109 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), "Backfitting," (the Backfit Rule) or otherwise be inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The NRC's position is based upon the following considerations.

1. *The SRP positions do not constitute backfitting, inasmuch as the SRP is internal guidance directed at the NRC staff with respect to their regulatory responsibilities.*

The SRP provides guidance to the NRC staff on how to review an application for the NRC's regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in internal NRC staff guidance are not matters for which either nuclear power plant applicants or licensees are protected under either the Backfit Rule or the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52.

2. *The NRC staff has no intention to impose the SRP positions on current licensees and regulatory approvals either now or in the future.*

The NRC staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in the SRP to existing (already issued) licenses and regulatory approvals. Therefore, the issuance of a final SRP—even if considered guidance that is within the purview of the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52—need not

be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the NRC staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP on holders of already issued licenses in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the NRC staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule or address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

3. *Backfitting and issue finality do not—with limited exceptions not applicable here—protect current or future applicants.*

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. This is because neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52—with certain exclusions discussed in the next paragraph—were intended to apply to every NRC action which substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a 10 CFR part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) and/or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The NRC staff does not, at this time, intend to impose the positions represented in the SRP in a manner that is inconsistent with any issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the NRC staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

III. Congressional Review Act

In accordance with the Congressional Review Act, the NRC has determined that this action is not a major rule and has verified this determination with the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the Office of Management and Budget.

IV. Availability of Documents

The ADAMS accession numbers for the revised sections are available in ADAMS under the following accession numbers:

Document	ADAMS Accession No.
SRP Section 3.2.1, "Seismic Classification," Revision 3	ML16084A812
SRP Section 3.2.2, "System Quality Group Classification," Revision 3	ML16084A884

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of August, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Joseph Colaccino,

Chief, New Reactor Rulemaking and Guidance Branch, Division of Engineering, Infrastructure, and Advanced Reactors, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2016-19636 Filed 8-17-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52-027 and 52-028; NRC-2008-0441]

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company and South Carolina Public Service Authority; Virgil C. Sumner Nuclear Station Units 2 and 3

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Exemption; issuance.

SUMMARY: South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) and South Carolina Public Service Authority (Santee Cooper) are the holders of Combined License (COL) Nos. NPF-93 and NPF-94, which authorize the construction and operation of Virgil C. Sumner Nuclear Station, Units 2 and 3 (VCSNS 2 & 3), respectively.¹ The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an exemption from the requirement that applicants for an operator license at VCSNS 2 & 3 provide evidence that the applicant, as a trainee, has successfully manipulated the controls of either the facility for which the license is sought or a plant-referenced simulator (PRS). Applicants will instead use a Commission-approved simulation facility for VCSNS 2 & 3.

DATES: This exemption is effective as of August 18, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2008-0441 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search

¹ SCE&G is authorized by the VCSNS Owners to exercise responsibility and control over the physical construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility, and will be referred to as "facility licensee."

for Docket ID NRC-2008-0441. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "*Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.*" For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced. The facility licensee's Commission-Approved Simulation Facility application and exemption request was submitted to the NRC by letters dated April 21, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16112A256) and June 8, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16161A030), respectively.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Kallan, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2809; email: Paul.Kallan@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The simulation facility for VCSNS 2 & 3 comprises two AP1000 full scope simulators, which are designated "2A" and "2B". Both simulators are referenced to VCSNS Unit 2 and are intended to be maintained functionally identical. The simulators are licensed to conform to the requirements of ANSI/ANS-3.5-1998, "Nuclear Power Plant Simulation Facilities for Use in Operator Training and License Examination," as endorsed by Revision 3 of NRC Regulatory Guide 1.149,

"Nuclear Power Plant Simulation Facilities for Use in Operator Training and License Examinations."

On August 3, 2016, the Commission approved the simulation facility under § 55.46(b) of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) for use in the administration of operating tests after finding that the simulation facility and its proposed use are suitable for the conduct of operating tests for the facility licensee's reference plant under 10 CFR 55.45(a). The safety evaluation is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML16203A116.

II. Request/Action

Section 55.31(a)(5) states that to apply for an operator's or senior operator's license the applicant shall provide evidence that the applicant, as a trainee, has successfully manipulated the controls of either the facility for which a license is sought or a PRS that meets the requirements of 10 CFR 55.46(c). However, the VCSNS 2 & 3 simulators have not yet been found to meet the NRC's requirements for PRSs at 10 CFR 55.46(c) because the design activities required by the AP1000 design certification to establish the human factors engineering design for the main control room are incomplete.

The SCE&G requested an exemption from 10 CFR 55.31(a)(5) on June 8, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16161A030), requesting that the Commission-approved simulation facility be approved in lieu of a PRS for the performance of significant control manipulations. The Commission has determined that an exemption is warranted from the requirement in 10 CFR 55.31(a)(5) that the applicant for a VCSNS 2 & 3 operator's license use a PRS or the facility to provide evidence of having successfully manipulated the controls of the facility. In lieu of that requirement, the Commission will accept evidence that the applicant, as a trainee, has successfully manipulated the controls of the VCSNS 2 & 3 Commission-approved simulation facility meeting the requirements of 10 CFR 55.46(b).

The staff's evaluation of this action follows.

III. Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.11, the Commission may, upon application by an interested person, or upon its own