

Dated: July 29, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement
and Compliance.

Appendix

**List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and
Decision Memorandum**

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. History of the Order
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - 1. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping
 - 2. Magnitude of the Margins Likely to Prevail
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2016-18649 Filed 8-4-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration
[A-507-502]

**Certain In-Shell (Raw) Pistachios From
the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final
Results of the Expedited Sunset
Review of the Antidumping Duty Order**

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective August 5, 2016.

SUMMARY: As a result of this sunset
review, the Department of Commerce
(the Department) finds that revocation
of the antidumping duty order on
certain in-shell (raw) pistachios
(pistachios) from the Islamic Republic of
(Iran) would be likely to lead to
continuation or recurrence of dumping
at the rates identified in the “Final
Results of Review” section of this
notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jacqueline Arrowsmith or Madeline
Heeren, AD/CVD Operations, Offices VII
and VI, respectively, Enforcement and

Compliance, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;
telephone (202) 482-5255 and (202)
482-9179, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published the
antidumping duty order on pistachios
from Iran on July 17, 1986.¹ On April 1,
2016, pursuant to section 751(c) of the
Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act),
the Department initiated a sunset review
of the antidumping duty order on
pistachios from Iran.² On April 11,
2016, and April 13, 2016, the
Department received notices of intent to
participate from Wonderful Pistachios &
Almonds LLC (WP&A) and American
Pistachio Growers (APG), respectively
(collectively, the Domestic Interested
Parties), within the deadline specified
in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). The
Domestic Interested Parties are
manufacturers of a domestic like
product in the United States and,
accordingly, are domestic interested
parties pursuant to section 771(9)(C) of
the Act.

On April 29, 2016, and May 2, 2016,
the Department received an adequate
substantive response to the notice of
initiation from WP&A and APG,
respectively, within the 30-day deadline
specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).
The Department did not receive any
timely filed responses from the
respondent interested parties, *i.e.*,
pistachio producers and exporters from
Iran. The Department did receive an
untimely substantive response from
Tehran Negah Nima, trading as Nima
Trading Company (Nima). As this
response was untimely, the Department
rejected the submission.³ On the basis of
the notices of intent to participate and
adequate substantive responses filed by
the Domestic Interested Parties and the
inadequate response from any
respondent interested party, the
Department conducted an expedited
sunset review of the order pursuant to

section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19
CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are
raw,⁴ in-shell pistachio nuts from which
the hulls have been removed, leaving
the inner hard shells, and edible meats
from Iran. This merchandise is provided
for in subheading 0802.51.00.00 of the
Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the
United States (HTSUS). Although the
HTSUS subheadings are provided for
convenience and customs purposes, the
written description of the scope of this
order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

The issues discussed in the Decision
Memorandum⁵ are the likelihood of
continuation or recurrence of dumping
and the magnitude of the margins of
dumping likely to prevail if this order
was revoked. Parties can find a
complete discussion of all issues raised
in this review, and the corresponding
recommendations, in the Decision
Memorandum which is on file
electronically via Enforcement and
Compliance’s Antidumping and
Countervailing Duty Centralized
Electronic Service System (ACCESS).
ACCESS is available to registered users
at <http://access.trade.gov> and is
available to all parties in the Central
Records Unit in room B8024 of the main
Commerce building. In addition, a
complete version of the Decision
Memorandum can be accessed directly
on the Internet at [http://trade.gov/
enforcement/](http://trade.gov/enforcement/). The signed Decision
Memorandum and electronic versions of
the Decision Memorandum are identical
in content.

Final Results of Review

Pursuant to sections 752(c)(1) and (3)
of the Act, we determine that revocation
of the antidumping duty order of
pistachios from Iran would be likely to
lead to continuation or recurrence of
dumping at weighted average margins
up to the following:

Exporter/producer	Margin (percent)
Rafsanjan Pistachios Cooperative	241.14
Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc./Maghsoudi Farms	241.14
Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc./Razi Domghan Agricultural and Animal Husbandry Company	241.14
All-Others Rate	241.14

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order; Certain In-Shell
Pistachios from Iran*, 51 FR 25922 (July 17, 1986)
(Iran Order).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review*, 81
FR 18829 (April 1, 2016) (*Sunset Initiation*).

³ See the memorandum to the file from Madeline
Heeren entitled, “Request to Take Action on Certain
Barcodes,” dated May 17, 2016 (Rejection Memo);

see also letter from the Department to Nima, dated
May 17, 2016 (Rejection Letter).

⁴ See *Certain In-Shell Pistachios From Iran;
Clarification of Scope in Antidumping Duty
Investigation*, 51 FR 23254 (June 26, 1986).

⁵ See Memorandum to Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and
Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy

Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Counter
vailing Duty Operations, titled “Issues and Decision
Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Review of
the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain In-Shell
(Raw) Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran;
Final Results,” dated concurrently with this notice
(Decision Memorandum).

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return of destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: July 29, 2016.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-18673 Filed 8-4-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-580-885]

Phosphor Copper From the Republic of Korea: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: Effective August 5, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cindy Robinson at (202) 482-3797, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On April 5, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an antidumping duty investigation of imports of phosphor copper from the Republic of Korea.¹ The notice of initiation stated that, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), we would issue our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of initiation, unless postponed. Currently, the

preliminary determination is due no later than August 16, 2016.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Sections 733(c)(1)(B)(i) and (ii) of the Act permit the Department to postpone the time limit for the preliminary determination if it concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that the case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated or adjustments to be considered, the novelty of the issues presented, or the number of firms whose activities must be investigated, and additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination. Under this section of the Act, the Department may postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 190 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation.

The Department determines that the parties involved in this phosphor copper investigation are cooperating, and that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated. Additional time is required to analyze the questionnaire responses and issue appropriate requests for clarification and additional information.

Therefore, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1), the Department is postponing the time period for the preliminary determination of this investigation by 50 days, to October 5, 2016. Pursuant to section 735(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination, unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: July 29, 2016.

Ronald Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-18544 Filed 8-4-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[C-570-968]

Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China (PRC) would likely lead to the continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

DATES: Effective August 5, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tyler Weinhold, Office VI, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1121.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On April 1, 2016, the Department initiated the first sunset review of the *Order*¹ on aluminum extrusions from the PRC, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² The Aluminum Extrusions Fair Trade Committee and its constituent producers of aluminum extrusions (Petitioners) filed a timely notice of intent to participate on April 18, 2016, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1).³ Petitioners claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(E) (covering trade and business associations) and individually under section 771(9)(C) (covering manufacturers, producers, and wholesalers) of the Act, respectively.

The Department received an adequate substantive response from Petitioners within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁴ The

¹ See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (the *Order*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Review*, 81 FR 18829 (April 1, 2016).

³ See Letter from Petitioner to the Department, "Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate in Review," dated April 18, 2016.

⁴ See Letter to the Department, "Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: AEFTC's Substantive Response to the Department's

¹ See *Phosphor Copper from the Republic of Korea: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 81 FR 19552 (April 5, 2016).