

Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by email to [pdr.resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr.resource@nrc.gov). A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by accessing ADAMS Accession No. ADAMS ML16130A184. The supporting statement and NRC Form 314, “Certificate of Disposition of Materials,” is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ADAMS ML16130A186.

- *NRC’s PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *NRC’s Clearance Officer:* A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC’s Clearance Officer, David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: [INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV](mailto:INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV).

### B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2016–0132 in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into ADAMS. The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

## II. Background

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the NRC is requesting public comment on its intention to request OMB’s approval for the information collection summarized below.

1. *The title of the information collection:* NRC Form 314, “Certificate of Disposition of Materials.”

2. *OMB approval number:* OMB approval number 3150–0028.

3. *Type of submission:* Extension.

4. *The form number, if applicable:* NRC Form 314, “Certificate of Disposition of Materials.”

5. *How often the collection is required or requested:* NRC Form 314 is submitted by a materials licensee who wishes to terminate its license. The form provides information needed by the NRC to determine whether the licensee has radioactive materials on hand which must be transferred or otherwise disposed of prior to expiration or termination of the license.

6. *Who will be required or asked to respond:* Respondents are firms, institutions, and individuals holding NRC licenses to possess and use radioactive materials who do not wish to renew those licenses.

7. *The estimated number of annual responses:* 136 responses.

8. *The estimated number of annual respondents:* 136 respondents.

9. *The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request:* Each form requires, on average, approximately 0.5 hours to prepare.  $136 \times 0.5 \text{ hour} = \text{a total annual burden for all respondents of 68 hours.}$

10. *Abstract:* The NRC Form 314 furnishes information to the NRC regarding transfer or other disposition of radioactive material by licensees who wish to terminate their licenses. The information is used by the NRC as part of the basis for its determination that the facility has been cleared of radioactive material before the facility is released for unrestricted use.

## III. Specific Requests for Comments

The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of July 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**David Cullison,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016–16955 Filed 7–18–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

## PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

### Submission of Information Collection for OMB Review; Comment Request; Filings for Reconsideration

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for extension of OMB approval.

**SUMMARY:** The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (“PBGC”) is requesting that the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) extend approval without change, under the Paperwork Reduction Act, of a collection of information under its regulation on Rules for Administrative Review of Agency Decisions. This notice informs the public of PBGC’s request and solicits public comment on the collection of information.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted by August 18, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, via electronic mail at [OIRA\\_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_DOCKET@omb.eop.gov) or by fax to (202) 395–6974.

Copies of the collection of information may also be obtained without charge by writing to the Disclosure Division of the Office of the General Counsel of PBGC at 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026 or by visiting the Disclosure Division or calling 202–326–4400 ext. 3872 during normal business hours. (TTY and TDD users may call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4400 ext. 3872.) PBGC’s regulation on Administrative Appeals may be accessed on PBGC’s Web site at [www.pbgc.gov](http://www.pbgc.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Donald McCabe, Attorney, Regulatory Affairs Group, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026, 202–326–4400 ext. 3872. (For TTY and TDD, call 800–877–8339 and request connection to 202–326–4400 ext. 3872).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** PBGC’s regulation on Rules for Administrative Review of Agency Decisions (29 CFR part 4003) prescribes rules governing the issuance of initial determinations by PBGC and the procedures for requesting and obtaining administrative review of initial determinations through reconsideration or appeal. Subpart A of

the regulation specifies which initial determinations are subject to reconsideration. Subpart C prescribes rules on who may request reconsideration, when to make such a request, where to submit it, form and content of reconsideration requests, and other matters relating to reconsiderations.

Any person aggrieved by an initial determination of PBGC under § 4003.1(b)(1) (determinations that a plan is covered by section 4021 of ERISA), § 4003.1(b)(2) (determinations concerning premiums, interest, and late payment penalties under section 4007 of ERISA), § 4003.1(b)(3) (determinations concerning voluntary terminations), § 4003.1(b)(4) (determinations concerning allocation of assets under section 4044 of ERISA), or § 4003.1(b)(5) (determinations with respect to penalties under section 4071 of ERISA) may request reconsideration of the initial determination. Requests for reconsideration must be in writing, be clearly designated as requests for reconsideration, contain a statement of the grounds for reconsideration and the relief sought, and contain or reference all pertinent information.

OMB has approved the reconsiderations collection of information under control number 1212-0063 through July 31, 2016. PBGC is requesting that OMB extend approval without change of this collection of information for three years. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

PBGC estimates that an average of about 226 appellants per year will respond to this collection of information. PBGC further estimates that the average annual burden of this collection of information is about one-half hour and about \$626 per person, with an average total annual burden of about 112 hours and about \$141,400.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 13th day of July 2016.

**Judith Starr,**

*General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.*

[FR Doc. 2016-16950 Filed 7-18-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7709-02-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### International Product Change— Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement to the Market Dominant Product List.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* July 19, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher C. Meyerson, (202) 268-7820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642, on July 13, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a Request of United States Postal Service to add Inbound Market Dominant Registered Service Agreement to the Market Dominant Product List. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2016-168 and R2016-6.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Attorney, Federal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-16986 Filed 7-18-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-78313; File No. SR-  
BatsEDGX-2016-30]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to EDGX Rule 13.4(a), Stating It Will Utilize IEX Market Data From the CQS/UQDF for Purposes of Order Handling, Routing, and Related Compliance Processes

July 13, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on July 5, 2016, Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to update Rule 13.4(a) regarding the public

disclosure of the sources of data that the Exchange utilizes when performing: (i) Order handling; (ii) order routing; and (iii) related compliance processes to reflect the operation of the Investors Exchange LLC (“IEX”) as a registered national securities exchange<sup>3</sup> beginning on August 19, 2016.<sup>4</sup>

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s Web site at [www.batstrading.com](http://www.batstrading.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

On June 17, 2016, the Commission approved IEX’s application to register as a national securities exchange.<sup>5</sup> As part of its transition to exchange status, IEX announced that it will commence a symbol-by-symbol roll-out on August 19, 2016, concluding on September 2, 2016.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange, therefore, proposes to update Rule 13.4(a) regarding the public disclosure of the sources of data that the Exchange utilizes when performing: (i) Order handling; (ii) order routing; and (iii) related compliance processes to reflect the operation of IEX” [sic] as a registered national securities exchange beginning on August 19, 2016. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend Rule 13.4(a) to include IEX by stating it will utilize IEX market data from the CQS/UQDF for purposes of

<sup>3</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78101 (June 17, 2016), 81 FR 41141 (June 23, 2016) (“IEX Approval Order”).

<sup>4</sup> See Letter from Brad Katsuyama, CEO, IEX, to IEX’s Sell-Side and Buy-Side Partners, dated June 17, 2016 (<https://www.iextrading.com/>) (stating that IEX will commence a symbol-by-symbol roll-out on August 19, 2016, concluding on September 2, 2016).

<sup>5</sup> See *supra* note 3.

<sup>6</sup> See *supra* note 4.

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.