information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/ibr-locations.html.


(ii) [Reserved]

(2) The EPA considered the following statutes and regulations in evaluating the State program but is not incorporating them herein for enforcement purposes:


(C) Exclusion of Oil-Bearing Secondary Materials Processed in a Gasification System to Produce Synthesis Gas [73 FR 52, 1/2/08] (Non-HSWA—Vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Cir. No. 98–1379 and 08–1144; June 27, 2014);

(D) OECD Requirements; Export Shipment of Spent Lead Acid Batteries [75 FR 1236, 1/8/10] (Non-HSWA—Not delegable to States);

(E) Withdrawal of the Emission Comparable Fuel Exclusion [75 FR 33712, 6/15/10] (Non-HSWA—Vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Cir. No. 98–1379 and 08–1144; June 27, 2014); and

(F) Revisions to the Definition of Solid Waste [73 FR 64668, 10/30/08].

(iii) Those Federal rules written under RCRA provisions that predate HSWA (non-HSWA) which the State has adopted, but for which it is not authorized, are not federally enforceable.

(3) The following statutory provisions are broader in scope than the Federal program, are not part of the authorized program, are not incorporated by reference and are not federally enforceable:


(ii) Wyoming Hazardous Waste Management Rules, Chapter 1, General Provisions: Sections 264(e)(i) [with respect to the Wyoming Voluntary Remediation Program only]; 264(e)(ii); and 270(n).

(iii) [Reserved]

(4) Unauthorized state amendments.

(i) Wyoming has adopted but is not authorized for the following Federal final rules:

(A) Imports and Exports of Hazardous Waste: Implementation of OECD Council Division [61 FR 16290, 04/12/96] (HSWA—Not delegable to States);

(B) Hazardous Waste Combustors; Revised Standards [63 FR 33782, 6/19/98] (Non-HSWA—Vacated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit (D.C. Cir. No. 98–1379 and 08–1144; June 27, 2014);

(C) Exclusion of Coal-Byproducts Exported by Inland Shippers from definition of Source [73 FR 64668, 10/30/08].

(D) OECD Requirements; Export Shipment of Spent Lead Acid Batteries [75 FR 1236, 1/8/10] (Non-HSWA—Not delegable to States).

(ii) Those Federal rules written under RCRA provisions that predate HSWA (non-HSWA) which the State has adopted, but for which it is not authorized, are not federally enforceable.

In contrast, the EPA will continue to enforce the Federal HSWA standards for which Wyoming is not authorized until the State receives specific authorization from EPA.

5 Memorandum of Agreement. The Memorandum of Agreement between the EPA, Region 8 and the State of Wyoming, signed by the State of Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality on January 30, 2003, and by the EPA Regional Administrator on July 27, 2012, although not incorporated by reference, is referenced as part of the authorized hazardous waste management program under subtitle C of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6921 et seq.


7 Program Description. The Program Description and any other materials submitted as supplements thereto, although not incorporated by reference, are referenced as part of the authorized hazardous waste management program under subtitle C of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6921 et seq.

A. Program Description.

B. Summary.

C. Program Regulations and References.
personnel commitment during the early stages of contractor performance under the Key Personnel clause. This final rule also provides for minor edits of an administrative nature.

DATES: This final rule is effective on July 25, 2016.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–HQ–OARM–2013–0370. All documents in the docket are listed on the http://www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Holly Hubbell, Policy, Training, and Oversight Division, Acquisition Policy and Training Service Center (3802R), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–564–1091; email address: hubbell.holly@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Executive Summary

This rule incorporates an existing class deviation and makes minor administrative changes to EPAAR parts 1536 and 1537. This rule includes the following content changes: (1) Removes 1536.201 Evaluation of contracting performance; (2) provides administrative updates and adds Chief of the Contracting Office (CCO) to 1536.209(c); (3) under 1536.521, updates the term “small purchases” with “simplified acquisition threshold”; (4) under 1537.110(b) the term “contracting officer’s technical representative(s)” is replaced by “Contracting Officer’s Representative(s)”; (5) amends 1537.110(c) to incorporate the flexibilities provided by a class deviation to the Key Personnel requirements; and (6) removes “CFR 48” from 1537.110. These changes do not incur any costs, but provide flexibility regarding key personnel commitments.

II. General Information

A. Does this action apply to me?

The EPAAR applies to contractors who have a construction, architect-engineer, or service contract with EPA.

B. What action is the agency taking?

The rule removes the evaluation of contracting performance and incorporate flexibility to identify the required number of days of key personnel commitment during the early stages of contractor performance under the Key Personnel clause. The rule also provides for minor edits of an administrative nature.

III. Background

EPA published a proposed rule in the Federal Register at 79 FR 47044, August 12, 2014, to remove section 1536.201 on the evaluation of contractor performance under construction contracts and the incorporation of flexibilities provided by a class deviation to the Key Personnel requirements under EPAAR Part 1537. A previous review of the EPAAR, determined that the EPAAR requirement for the evaluation of construction contracts should be removed as it was superseded by FAR 42.1502. Additionally, under EPAAR 1552.237–72, EPA provides contracting officers with the flexibility to identify the required number of days of key personnel commitment during the early stages of contractor performance. The length of time will be based on the requirements of individual acquisitions when continued assignment is essential to the successful implementation of the program’s mission. Contracting officers may include a different number of days in excess of the ninety (90) days included in the clause, if approved at one level above the contracting officer. The rule also provides minor administrative edits in the EPAAR sections identified. No comments were received on the previously published proposed rule.

IV. Statutory and Executive Orders Reviews

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review

This action is not a “significant regulatory action” under the terms of Executive Order (EO) 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and therefore, not subject to review under the EO.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act

This action does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq. No information is collected under this action.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), as Amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (SBREFA), 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act generally requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis of any rule subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act or any other statute; unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small organizations, and small governmental jurisdictions. For purposes of assessing the impact of this rule on small entities, “small entity” is defined as: (1) A small business that meets the definition of a small business found in the Small Business Act and codified at 13 CFR 21.201; (2) a small governmental jurisdiction that is a government of a city, county, town, school district or special district with a population of less than 50,000; and (3) a small organization that is any not-for-profit enterprise which is independently owned and operated and is not dominant in its field. After considering the economic impacts of this rule on small entities, I certify that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action revises a current EPAAR provision and does not impose requirements involving capital investment, implementing procedures, or record keeping. This rule will not have a significant economic impact on small entities.

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA), Public Law 104–4, establishes requirements for Federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions on State, Local, and Tribal governments and the private sector. This rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of the Title II of the UMRA) for State, Local, and Tribal governments or the private sector. The rule imposes no enforceable duty on any State, Local or Tribal governments on the private sector. Thus, the rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132, entitled “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by State and Local officials in the development
of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.” “Policies that have federalism implications” is defined in the Executive Order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” This rule does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government as specified in Executive Order 13132.

F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

Executive Order 13175, entitled “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” This rule does not have tribal implications as specified in Executive Order 13175.

G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks

Executive Order 13045, entitled “Protection of Children from Environmental Health and Safety Risks” (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), applies to any rule that: (1) Is determined to be economically significant as defined under Executive Order 12886, and (2) concerns an environmental health or safety risk that may have a proportionate effect on children. This rule is not subject to Executive Order 13045 because it is not an economically significant rule as defined by Executive Order 12886, and because it does not involve decisions on environmental health or safety risks.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use

This final rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28335, May 22, 2001), because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA)

Section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note) of NTTA, Public Law 104–113, directs EPA to use voluntary consensus standards in its regulatory activities unless to do so would be inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical. Voluntary consensus standards are technical standards (e.g., materials specifications, test methods, sampling procedures and business practices) that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies. The NTTA directs EPA to provide Congress, through OMB, explanations when the Agency decides not to use available and applicable voluntary consensus standards. This final rulemaking does not involve technical standards. Therefore, EPA is not considering the use of any voluntary consensus standards.

J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations

Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) establishes federal executive policy on environmental justice. Its main provision directs federal agencies, to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, to make environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations in the United States. EPA has determined that this final rulemaking will not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority or low-income populations because it does not affect the level of protection provided to human health or the environment. This rulemaking does not involve human health or environmental effects.

K. Congressional Review

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. Section 804 exempts from section 801 the following types of rules: (1) Rules of particular applicability; (2) rules relating to agency management or personnel; and (3) rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice that do not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties. 5 U.S.C. 804(3). EPA is not required to submit a rule report regarding this action under section 801 because this is a rule of agency organization, procedure, or practice that does not substantially affect the rights or obligations of non-agency parties.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1536 and 1537

Environmental protection, Government procurement.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

Denise Polk,

Acting Director, Office of Acquisition Management.

Therefore, 48 CFR Chapter 15 is amended as set forth below:

PART 1536—CONSTRUCTION AND ARCHITECT–ENGINEER CONTRACTS

§ 1536.201 [Removed]

§ 1536.209 [Amended]

§ 1536.521 [Amended]

§ 1536.521 [Removed]

PART 1537—SERVICE CONTRACTING

§ 1537.110 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

(b) The Contracting Officer shall insert a clause substantially the same as the clause at 1552.237–71, Technical Direction, in solicitations and contracts where the Contracting Officer intends to delegate authority to issue technical direction to the Contracting Officer’s Representative(s).

(c) The Contracting Officer shall insert the clause at 1552.237–72, Key
Personnel, in solicitations and contracts when it is necessary for contract performance to identify Contractor key personnel. Contracting Officers have the flexibility to identify the required number of days of key personnel commitment during the early stages of contractor performance. The length of time will be based on the requirements of individual acquisitions when continued assignment is essential to the successful implementation of the program’s mission. Therefore, Contracting Officers may use a clause substantially the same as in 48 CFR 1552.237–72, regarding substitution of key personnel. Contracting Officers may include a different number of days in excess of the ninety (90) days included in this clause, if approved at one level above the Contracting Officer.

(f) To ensure that Agency contracts are administered so as to avoid creating an improper employer-employee relationship, contracting officers shall insert the contract clause at 1552.237–76, “Government-Contractor Relations”, in all solicitations and contracts for non-personal services that exceed the simplified acquisition threshold.

[FR Doc. 2016–15002 Filed 6–23–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Parts 1815 and 1852

RIN 2700–AE27

NASA Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Removal of Grant Handbook References (NFS Case 2016–N001)

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrew O’Rourke, telephone 202–358–4560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

NASA published a proposed rule in the Federal Register at 81 FR 13308 on March 14, 2016, to amend the NFS to remove references to NASA’s Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook, NPR 5800.1, NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A–21 for educational institutions and A–122 for nonprofit organizations.

II. Discussion and Analysis

No public comments were submitted in response to the proposed rule. The proposed rule has been converted to a final rule without change.

III. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

IV. Regulatory Flexibility Act

A final regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., and is summarized as follows: NASA is issuing a final rule amending the NFS to remove references to the NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook and NPR 5800.1, NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook. No changes were made to the final rule. No public comments were received in response to the Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis in the proposed rule. Therefore, the proposed rule has been adopted as final. NASA does not expect this final rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., because it merely removes outdated and unnecessary grant and cooperative agreement references that should not be in the NFS. There are no new reporting requirements or recordkeeping requirements associated with this rule.

In addition, there are no other alternatives that could further minimize the already negligible impact on businesses, small or large.

V. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 1815 and 1852

Government procurement.

Manuel Quinones,
NASA FAR Supplement Manager.

Accordingly, 48 CFR parts 1815 and 1852 are amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for parts 1815 and 1852 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 51 U.S.C. 20113(a) and 48 CFR chapter 1.

PART 1815—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

2. Revise section 1815.602 to read as follows:

1815.602 Policy.

Renewal proposals, (i.e., those for the extension or augmentation of current contracts) are subject to the same FAR and NFS regulations, including the requirements of the Competition in Contracting Act, as are proposals for new contracts.

PART 1852—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

3. Amend section 1852.235–72 by—

a. Removing from the provision heading “DEC 2005” and adding (JUL 2016) in its place; and

b. Revising paragraphs (a)(4) and (c)(8)(iii).

The revisions read as follows:

1852.235–72 Instructions for responding to NASA research announcements.

(a) * * * * * *

(4) A contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement may be used to accomplish an effort funded in response to an NRA. NASA will determine the appropriate award instrument. Contracts resulting from NRAs are subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulation and the NASA FAR Supplement. A grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement resulting from NRAs are subject to policies and procedures outlined in the Guidebook for Proposers Responding to a NASA